


# 2019 Equity Report

Identifying Access and Academic Progress Gaps  
in the North Carolina Community College System



**NC** COMMUNITY  
COLLEGES  
CREATING SUCCESS



# 2019 Equity Report

## Identifying Access and Academic Progress Gaps in the North Carolina Community College System

May 2019

NORTH CAROLINA  
COMMUNITY  
COLLEGE SYSTEM

*Peter Hans,  
President*

*Jennifer Haygood,  
Chief of Staff*

RESEARCH &  
PERFORMANCE  
MANAGEMENT

*Bill Schneider,  
Associate Vice President*

*Dr. Katie Bao,  
Planning and Research Associate*

*Dr. Ashley Sieman,  
Director of Program Evaluation*

*Bob Hemond,  
Education Research Analyst*

*Elizabeth Stoddard,  
Business Intelligence Analyst*

5/16/19

## CONTENTS

<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>MEASURE DESCRIPTIONS</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>REPORT SERVICE AREAS</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>ACCESS</b>	<b>8</b>
Postsecondary Education Exposure	9
Demographic Distribution by Division	14
Demographic Distribution of Dually Enrolled High School Students	22
Dual Enrollment Rates of High School Students	25
Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates	31
<b>FIRST-TIME CURRICULUM (NON-HIGH SCHOOL) STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS</b>	<b>37</b>
Demographic Distribution of First-Time Curriculum Students	38
Pell Recipients	41
Transfer Program Area Focus	45
Full-Time Status	49
Summer Enrollment	53
Fall Semester Accumulated Hours Attempted	57
Developmental Course Enrollment	61
Fall Semester Accumulated College-Level Hours Attempted	65
<b>FIRST-TERM CURRICULUM (NON-HIGH SCHOOL) STUDENT PROGRESSION</b>	<b>68</b>
Aggregate Grade Point Average	69
Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.50 and Above	73
Accumulated Successful College-Level Hours	77
Successful College-Level Hours as a Percentage of Accumulated Attempted Hours	81
Successful Completion of 8 or More Accumulated College-Level Hours	85
<b>FIRST-YEAR CURRICULUM (NON-HIGH SCHOOL) STUDENT PROGRESSION</b>	<b>88</b>
Fall to Spring Postsecondary Retention	89
Successful Completion of College-Level English	93
Successful Completion of College-Level Math	97
Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.00 or Above	101
Successful Completion of 66.7% of Credits Attempted	105
Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP)	109
Successful Completion of 20 or More College-Level Hours	113



# INTRODUCTION

The North Carolina Community College System’s mission includes a responsibility to “open the door to high-quality, accessible educational opportunities that minimize barriers to post-secondary education” and “maximize student success.” Access and academic progress are essential for North Carolinians as they explore career opportunities, complete credentials of workplace value, seek fulfilling careers, and maximize their personal potential. Unfortunately, rates of access and academic progress differ across subgroups of the population, which impacts the educational outcomes and social and economic mobility of those groups.

The purpose of this report is to call attention to patterns of inequity at the system and college levels by documenting gaps in access and academic progress across demographic populations, specifically race/ethnicity and gender. Hopefully, the report will inspire further analysis and insights that will stimulate dialogue and action among faculty, staff, and administrators resulting in meaningful, data-informed practices that facilitate positive outcomes for all current and future student groups.

The report is organized into four different sections: Access, First-Time Curriculum Student Characteristics, First-Term Curriculum Student Progression, and First-Year Curriculum Student Progression. The Access section contains a mix of college and county measures centered around who is accessing educational opportunities, with a focus on North Carolina community colleges. This section includes the race/ethnicity and gender makeup of the community colleges across the Curriculum, Basic Skills, and Continuing Education divisions. The next three sections focus on a cohort of fall 2017 first-time (non-high school) Curriculum students who have no post-secondary enrollment prior to summer 2017. Concurrently enrolled high school students are excluded from the first-time cohort. The “Characteristics” section describes first-time students’ characteristics at entry, including credits attempted. The last two sections focus on first-term and first-year leading indicators of academic success, including course success, persistence, and credit accumulation.

## Access and Achievement Gaps

Throughout the report, there are tables that include columns highlighting the largest differences and gaps across race/ethnicity and gender. These columns provide different data points that can be leveraged to assess student outcomes. The following example is from the “Fall Semester Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.50 and Above by Race/Ethnicity and Gender” table.

Lowest result associated with a subpopulation	Subpopulation with lowest result	Difference between the highest and lowest	Highest result associated with a subpopulation	Subpopulation with highest result	Highest result divided by the lowest result	
	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-30%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>2.0</b>

Here are a couple of ways to interpret the statewide results for this example:

- 31% of black males have a first semester GPA of 2.50 or above, which is 30% below the rate for white females
- White females are twice as likely as black males to have a first semester GPA of 2.50 or above

## Color Gradients

To assist colleges in identifying strengths, weaknesses, equity gaps, and concentrations of enrollment or participation, color gradients are provided throughout the report. Colors are based on differences between colleges within each measure. For red-yellow-green gradients, green represents higher outcomes and smaller equity gaps while red represents lower outcomes and larger equity gaps. Colors for gaps are only displayed for colleges with results associated with three or more groups. For the white-blue gradients, darker blue represents higher concentrations or rates and lighter blue represents lower. The color scales are below.



“The only valid philosophy for North Carolina is the philosophy of total education; a belief in the incomparable worth of all human beings, whose talents (however great or however limited or however different from the traditional) the state needs and must develop to the fullest possible degree. That is why the doors to the institutions of North Carolina’s system of community colleges must never be closed to anyone of suitable age who can learn what they teach. We must take people where they are and carry them as far as they can go within the assigned functions of the system.”

Dr. W. Dallas Herring  
Chairman, State Board of Education, 1957-77  
“Father of the Community College System”

## MEASURE DESCRIPTIONS

### ACCESS

#### Postsecondary Education Exposure

##### Description

Percentage of individuals 25+ with some college or more education, 2017.

##### Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number with at least some college.

##### Denominator

Number of North Carolina adults aged 25+.

##### Data Sources

2013-2017 5-Year Estimates, American Community Survey, US Census

##### Associated Tables

Postsecondary Education Exposure by Race/Ethnicity  
Postsecondary Education Exposure by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

#### Demographic Distribution by Division

##### Description

Distribution of fall 2017 students by division (Curriculum, Basic Skills, and Continuing Education).

##### Population

Fall 2017 enrolled students, including high school students.

##### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS Continuing Education Registration Report, NCCCS

##### Associated Tables

Race/Ethnicity Distribution by Division  
Curriculum Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender  
Basic Skills Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender  
Continuing Education Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

#### Demographic Distribution of Dually Enrolled High School Students

##### Description

Distribution of fall 2017 dual enrolled students.

##### Population

Fall 2017 Curriculum students concurrently enrolled in high school through a Career and College Pathway or Innovative High School.

##### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS

##### Associated Tables

High School Dual Enrollment Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

#### Dual Enrollment Rates of High School Students

##### Description

Percentage of public high school students dually enrolled at a community college, 2017.

##### Numerator

Of those in the denominator, the number dually enrolled in a North Carolina community college Curriculum program between summer 2016 to spring 2017.

##### Denominator

Number of North Carolina high school students (grades 9-13) enrolled in a public or charter school in 2016-2017.

##### Data Sources

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (DPI) Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS

##### Associated Tables

High School Dual Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity  
High School Dual Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

### Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates

##### Description

Percentage of public high school graduates enrolled at a community college fall after graduation, 2017.

##### Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number who have enrolled at a North Carolina community college in summer 2017 or fall 2017.

##### Denominator

Number of North Carolina public and charter high school students who graduated from a public or charter high school in 2016-2017.

##### Data Sources

North Carolina Department of Public Instruction (DPI) Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS Continuing Education Registration Report, NCCCS

##### Associated Tables

Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity  
Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

### FIRST-TIME CURRICULUM STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS

#### Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students:

Students who were enrolled in the North Carolina Community College System during the Fall of 2017 with no post-secondary experience prior to summer 2017. Students concurrently enrolled in high school are excluded.

#### Demographic Distribution of First-Time Curriculum Students

##### Description

Demographic distribution of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

##### Population

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

##### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

##### Associated Tables

First-Time Curriculum Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

#### Pell Recipients

##### Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students receiving a Pell Grant.

##### Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number receiving a Pell Grant in fall 2017.

##### Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

##### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

##### Associated Tables

Pell Recipients by Race/Ethnicity  
Pell Recipients by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Transfer Program Area Focus	Fall Semester Accumulated Hours Attempted
<p><u>Description</u> Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in a transfer program.</p> <p><u>Numerator</u> Of those in the denominator, number enrolled in university transfer program.</p> <p><u>Denominator</u> Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.</p> <p><u>Data Sources</u> Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse</p> <p><u>Associated Tables</u> Transfer Program Area Focus by Race/Ethnicity Transfer Program Area Focus by Race/Ethnicity and Gender</p>	<p><u>Description</u> Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated hours attempted.</p> <p><u>Numerator</u> For those in the denominator, the aggregate number of hours attempted by the end of fall 2017.</p> <p><u>Denominator</u> Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.</p> <p><u>Data Sources</u> Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse</p> <p><u>Associated Tables</u> Fall Semester Accumulated Hours Attempted by Race/Ethnicity Fall Semester Accumulated Hours Attempted by Race/Ethnicity and Gender</p>
Full-Time Status	Developmental Course Enrollment
<p><u>Description</u> Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled full-time.</p> <p><u>Numerator</u> Of those in the denominator, number enrolling with 12 or more attempted hours in fall 2017.</p> <p><u>Denominator</u> Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.</p> <p><u>Data Sources</u> Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse</p> <p><u>Associated Tables</u> Full-Time Status by Race/Ethnicity Full-Time Status by Race/Ethnicity and Gender</p>	<p><u>Description</u> Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in developmental course(s).</p> <p><u>Numerator</u> Of those in the denominator, number enrolling in a developmental course in fall 2017. Developmental courses are defined as those with course numbers less than 100.</p> <p><u>Denominator</u> Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.</p> <p><u>Data Sources</u> Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse</p> <p><u>Associated Tables</u> Developmental Course Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity Developmental Course Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity and Gender</p>
Summer Enrollment	Fall Semester Accumulated College-Level Hours Attempted
<p><u>Description</u> Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students who started in summer 2017.</p> <p><u>Numerator</u> Of those in the denominator, number whose first semester was summer 2017.</p> <p><u>Denominator</u> Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.</p> <p><u>Data Sources</u> Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse</p> <p><u>Associated Tables</u> Summer Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity Summer Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity and Gender</p>	<p><u>Description</u> Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) college-level hours attempted.</p> <p><u>Numerator</u> For those in the denominator, the aggregate number of college-level hours attempted by the end of fall 2017. College-level hours are based on course numbers of 100 or above.</p> <p><u>Denominator</u> Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.</p> <p><u>Data Sources</u> Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse</p> <p><u>Associated Tables</u> Fall Semester Accumulated College-Level Hours Attempted by Race/Ethnicity Fall Semester Accumulated College-Level Hours Attempted by Race/Ethnicity and Gender</p>

## FIRST-TERM CURRICULUM STUDENT PROGRESSION

**Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students:** Students who were enrolled in the North Carolina Community College System during the Fall of 2017 with no post-secondary experience prior to summer 2017. Students concurrently enrolled in high school are excluded.

### Aggregate Grade Point Average

#### Description

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student aggregate (including summer) grade point average.

#### Numerator

For those in the denominator, the sum of cumulative grade point averages at the end of fall 2017. The grade point average is calculated as the number of grade points divided by the number of attempted hours for courses in which a student has earned a standard letter grade of A, B, C, P, D, or F.

#### Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

#### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

#### Associated Tables

Fall Semester Aggregate Grade Point Average by Race/Ethnicity  
Fall Semester Aggregate Grade Point Average by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

### Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.50 and Above

#### Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with an aggregate (including summer) 2.50 GPA or above.

#### Numerator

Of those in the denominator, the number with a grade point average of 2.50 or above at the end of fall 2017. The grade point average is calculated as the number of grade points divided by the number of attempted hours for courses in which a student has earned a standard letter grade of A, B, C, P, D, or F.

#### Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

#### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

#### Associated Tables

Fall Semester Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.50 and Above by Race/Ethnicity  
Fall Semester Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.50 and Above by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

### Accumulated Successful College-Level Hours

#### Description

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) successful college-level hours.

#### Numerator

For those in the denominator, sum of hours completed with a passing grade of C or better in course numbers greater than or equal to 100 through the end of fall 2017.

#### Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

#### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

#### Associated Tables

Fall Semester Accumulated Successful College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity  
Fall Semester Accumulated Successful College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

### Successful College-Level Hours as a Percentage of Accumulated Attempted Hours

#### Description

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student percentage of accumulated attempted hours (including summer) that are successful college-level hours.

#### Numerator

For those in the denominator, sum of individual percentages of all accumulated attempted hours that completed with a passing grade of C or better in course numbers greater than or equal to 100 through the end of fall 2017.

#### Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

#### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

#### Associated Tables

Fall Semester Successful College-Level Hours as a Percentage of Accumulated Attempted Hours by Race/Ethnicity  
Fall Semester Successful College-Level Hours as a Percentage of Accumulated Attempted Hours by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

### Successful Completion of 8 or More Accumulated College-Level Hours

#### Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 8 or more accumulated (including summer) successful college-level credit hours.

#### Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number completing at least 8 credit hours with a passing grade of C or better in course numbers greater than or equal to 100 through the end of fall 2017.

#### Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

#### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

#### Associated Tables

Fall Semester Successful Completion of 8 or More Accumulated College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity  
Fall Semester Successful Completion of 8 or More Accumulated College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

## FIRST-YEAR CURRICULUM STUDENT PROGRESSION

**Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students:** Students who were enrolled in the North Carolina Community College System during the Fall of 2017 with no post-secondary experience prior to summer 2017. Students concurrently enrolled in high school are excluded.

### Fall to Spring Postsecondary Retention

#### Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in postsecondary education in spring 2018.

#### Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number enrolled at any postsecondary college or university in spring 2018.

#### Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

#### Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

#### Associated Tables

Fall to Spring Postsecondary Retention by Race/Ethnicity  
Fall to Spring Postsecondary Retention by Race/Ethnicity and Gender



**Successful Completion of College-Level English**Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level English in first year.

Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number passing a college-level English course with a grade of C or better by the end of summer 2018.

Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

Associated Tables

First Academic Year Successful Completion of College-Level English by Race/Ethnicity

First Academic Year Successful Completion of College-Level English by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

**Successful Completion of College-Level Math**Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level Math in first year.

Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number passing a college-level Math course with a grade of C or better by the end of summer 2018.

Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

Associated Tables

First Academic Year Successful Completion of College-Level Math by Race/Ethnicity

First Academic Year Successful Completion of College-Level Math by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

**Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.00 or Above**Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a grade point average of 2.00 or above during their first academic year.

Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number with a grade point average of 2.00 or above at the end of summer 2018. The grade point average is calculated as the number of grade points divided by the number of attempted hours for courses in which a student has earned a mapped grade of A, B, C, P, D, or F. Coursework is inclusive of college-level and developmental.

Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

Associated Tables

First Academic Year Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.00 or Above by Race/Ethnicity

First Academic Year Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.00 or Above by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

**Successful Completion of 66.7% of Credits Attempted**Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students successfully completing 66.7% of credits during their first year.

Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number passing 66.7% of credit hours attempted with a C or better. Coursework is inclusive of college-level and developmental.

Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

Associated Tables

First Academic Year Successful Completion of 66.7% of Credits Attempted by Race/Ethnicity

First Academic Year Successful Completion of 66.7% of Credits Attempted by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

**Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP)**Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a GPA of 2.00 or above and successfully completing 66.7% of credits.

Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number with a grade point average of 2.00 or above and successfully completing at least 66.7% of credit hours attempted with a C or better at the end of summer 2018. The grade point average is calculated as the number of grade points divided by the number of attempted hours for courses in which a student has earned a mapped grade of A, B, C, P, D, or F. Coursework is inclusive of college-level and developmental.

Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

Associated Tables

First Academic Year Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP) by Race/Ethnicity

First Academic Year Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP) by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

**Successful Completion of 20 or More College-Level Hours**Description

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 20 or more successful college-level hours during their first academic year.

Numerator

Of those in the denominator, number completing at least 20 credit hours with a passing grade of C or better in course numbers greater than or equal to 100 through the end of summer 2018.

Denominator

Number of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students.

Data Sources

Curriculum, Registration, Progress, Financial Aid (CRPFA) Report, NCCCS National Student Clearinghouse

Associated Tables

First Academic Year Successful Completion of 20 or More College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity

First Academic Year Successful Completion of 20 or More College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

## REPORT SERVICE AREAS

In the Access section of the report, there are multiple measures disaggregated at the county level. To assist colleges as they navigate counties in their service area, counties are organized by the primary college by which they are served by. The corresponding numbers, colleges, and counties are listed below.

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| (1) Alamance CC – Alamance   | (30) Martin CC – Bertie and Martin                               |
| (2) Asheville–Buncombe Tech CC – Buncombe and Madison  | (31) Mayland CC – Avery, Mitchell, and Yancey                    |
| (3) Beaufort CC – Beaufort, Hyde, Tyrrell, and Washington  | (32) McDowell CC – McDowell                                      |
| (4) Bladen CC – Bladen   | (33) Mitchell CC – Iredell                                       |
| (5) Blue Ridge CC – Henderson and Transylvania   | (34) Montgomery CC – Montgomery                                  |
| (6) Brunswick CC – Brunswick   | (35) Nash CC – Nash  |
| (7) Caldwell CC&TI – Caldwell and Watauga  | (36) Pamlico CC – Pamlico  |
| (8) Cape Fear CC – New Hanover and Pender  | (37) Piedmont CC – Caswell and Person                            |
| (9) Carteret CC – Carteret   | (38) Pitt CC – Pitt  |
| (10) Catawba Valley CC – Alexander and Catawba   | (39) Randolph CC – Randolph                                      |
| (11) Central Carolina CC – Chatham, Harnett, and Lee   | (40) Richmond CC – Richmond and Scotland                         |
| (12) Central Piedmont CC – Mecklenburg   | (41) Roanoke–Chowan CC – Hertford                                |
| (13) Cleveland CC – Cleveland  | (42) Robeson CC – Robeson  |
| (14) Coastal Carolina CC – Onslow  | (43) Rockingham CC – Rockingham                                  |
| (15) College of The Albemarle – Camden, Chowan, Currituck, Dare, Gates, Pasquotank, and Perquimans | (44) Rowan–Cabarrus – Cabarrus and Rowan                         |
| (16) Craven CC – Craven  | (45) Sampson CC – Sampson  |
| (17) Davidson CC – Davidson and Davie  | (46) Sandhills CC – Hoke and Moore                               |
| (18) Durham Tech CC – Durham and Orange  | (47) South Piedmont CC – Anson and Union                         |
| (19) Edgecombe CC – Edgecombe  | (48) Southeastern CC – Columbus                                  |
| (20) Fayetteville Tech CC – Cumberland   | (49) Southwestern CC – Jackson, Macon, and Swain                 |
| (21) Forsyth Tech CC – Forsyth and Stokes  | (50) Stanly CC – Stanly  |
| (22) Gaston College – Gaston and Lincoln   | (51) Surry CC – Surry and Yadkin                                 |
| (23) Guilford Tech CC – Guilford   | (52) Tri–County CC – Cherokee, Clay, and Graham                  |
| (24) Halifax CC – Halifax and Northampton  | (53) Vance–Granville CC – Franklin, Granville, Vance, and Warren |
| (25) Haywood CC – Haywood  | (54) Wake Tech CC – Wake   |
| (26) Isothermal CC – Polk and Rutherford   | (55) Wayne CC – Wayne  |
| (27) James Sprunt CC – Duplin  | (56) Western Piedmont CC – Burke                                 |
| (28) Johnston CC – Johnston  | (57) Wilkes CC – Alleghany, Ashe, and Wilkes                     |
| (29) Lenoir CC – Greene, Jones and Lenoir  | (58) Wilson CC – Wilson  |

### Associated Tables

Postsecondary Education Exposure by Race/Ethnicity

Postsecondary Education Exposure by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

High School Dual Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity

High School Dual Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity

Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender



**Postsecondary Education Exposure**

Percentage of individuals 25+ with some college or more education, 2017

**Demographic Distribution by Division**

Distribution of fall 2017 students by division (Curriculum, Basic Skills, and Continuing Education)

**Demographic Distribution of Dually Enrolled High School Students**

Distribution of fall 2017 dual enrollment students

**Dual Enrollment Rates of High School Students**

Percentage of public high school students dually enrolled at a community college, 2017



**Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates**

Percentage of public high school graduates enrolled at a community college fall after graduation, 2017

## Postsecondary Education Exposure

Percentage of individuals 25+ with some college or more education, 2017

See tables for notes about disaggregation



### Postsecondary Education Exposure by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of individuals 25+ with some college or more education, 2017

County	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>6808220</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>75707</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>177008</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>1383129</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>451302</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>4625957</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>HI</b>	<b>-37%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>2.1</b>
Alamance	106846	57%	418	51%	1559	64%	19904	50%	9279	24%	74149	63%	24%	HI	-41%	64%	AS	2.7
Buncombe	184074	67%	703	52%	2185	69%	10485	53%	8450	34%	159855	70%	34%	HI	-36%	70%	WH	2.1
Madison	15206	53%		*		*		*		*	14486	54%	54%	WH	-	54%	WH	-
Beaufort	33879	52%		*		*	8142	33%		*	23977	61%	33%	BL	-28%	61%	WH	1.9
Hyde	3968	41%		*		*	1375	26%		*	2348	50%	26%	BL	-24%	50%	WH	1.9
Tyrrell	3233	33%		*		*	1106	18%		*	1837	43%	18%	BL	-25%	43%	WH	2.4
Washington	8521	41%		*		*	3858	36%		*	4390	48%	36%	BL	-12%	48%	WH	1.3
Bladen	24363	46%	557	31%		*	8091	37%		*	14104	55%	31%	AI	-24%	55%	WH	1.8
Henderson	83030	65%		*	867	55%	2364	46%	5725	37%	72907	68%	37%	HI	-31%	68%	WH	1.9
Transylvania	24930	60%		*		*	677	55%		*	23291	61%	55%	BL	-6%	61%	WH	1.1
Brunswick	95419	62%		*	580	64%	9401	48%	3061	30%	80810	65%	30%	HI	-35%	65%	WH	2.2
Caldwell	58543	46%		*	407	93%	2620	45%	2096	15%	52844	47%	15%	HI	-78%	93%	AS	6.2
Watauga	30250	69%		*		*		*	587	40%	28615	70%	40%	HI	-30%	70%	WH	1.8
New Hanover	149568	70%		*	1787	62%	19558	51%	5985	42%	120203	75%	42%	HI	-33%	75%	WH	1.8
Pender	40536	58%		*	210	83%	6404	47%	1884	20%	31391	63%	20%	HI	-63%	83%	AS	4.1
Carteret	51642	65%	130	65%	571	48%	2843	50%	1478	34%	45848	67%	34%	HI	-33%	67%	WH	2.0
Alexander	26578	42%		*		*	1521	19%		*	23721	44%	19%	BL	-24%	44%	WH	2.3
Catawba	107539	54%		*	3427	45%	8590	39%	6944	21%	87392	58%	21%	HI	-36%	58%	WH	2.7
Chatham	50162	66%		*	845	62%	6215	49%	4149	27%	38176	73%	27%	HI	-46%	73%	WH	2.7
Harnett	82470	56%	800	53%	926	75%	16961	49%	7605	41%	54747	59%	41%	HI	-34%	75%	AS	1.8
Lee	39815	56%		*	415	62%	7380	48%	5578	26%	25717	65%	26%	HI	-39%	65%	WH	2.5
Mecklenburg	690809	72%	2196	45%	38767	71%	203598	65%	70738	37%	362822	82%	37%	HI	-46%	82%	WH	2.2
Cleveland	66399	49%		*	647	55%	12705	38%	1522	30%	50933	52%	30%	HI	-24%	55%	AS	1.8
Onslow	103059	62%	572	51%	2486	50%	15962	56%	9419	66%	71036	63%	50%	AS	-17%	66%	HI	1.3
Camden	7145	59%		*		*	952	57%		*	5844	60%	57%	BL	-3%	60%	WH	1.0
Chowan	10529	49%		*		*	3412	34%		*	6662	58%	34%	BL	-23%	58%	WH	1.7
Currituck	17860	59%		*	107	74%	969	51%	519	57%	15871	59%	51%	BL	-23%	74%	AS	1.5
Dare	26311	68%		*	181	83%	525	50%	1269	37%	23802	69%	37%	HI	-47%	83%	AS	2.3
Gates	8277	50%		*		*	2805	44%		*	5274	54%	44%	BL	-10%	54%	WH	1.2
Pasquotank	26644	54%		*	438	72%	9206	48%	909	45%	15746	57%	45%	HI	-27%	72%	AS	1.6
Perquimans	10162	55%		*		*	2273	49%		*	7492	56%	49%	BL	-7%	56%	WH	1.1
Craven	67459	62%	404	60%	1640	38%	13979	51%	3172	45%	47305	67%	38%	AS	-29%	67%	WH	1.7
Davidson	114493	50%	358	56%	1665	49%	9933	44%	5264	28%	96403	52%	28%	HI	-28%	56%	AI	2.0
Davie	29734	56%		*		*	1859	43%	1404	28%	26042	58%	28%	HI	-30%	58%	WH	2.0
Durham	205904	71%	649	61%	9745	83%	72808	65%	20836	29%	98124	83%	29%	HI	-54%	83%	AS	2.8
Orange	87993	77%	330	59%	6388	84%	10205	58%	5782	39%	63781	83%	39%	HI	-45%	84%	AS	2.2
Edgecombe	36693	41%		*		*	20597	39%		*	14857	46%	39%	BL	-7%	46%	WH	1.2
Cumberland	207019	65%	3052	47%	5826	57%	74929	64%	18626	63%	97534	66%	47%	AI	-19%	66%	WH	1.4
Forsyth	245968	63%	735	61%	5246	75%	59883	60%	21442	27%	155790	69%	27%	HI	-48%	75%	AS	2.8
Stokes	33663	43%		*		*	1378	40%		*	30949	43%	40%	BL	-3%	43%	WH	1.1
Gaston	147184	53%	613	51%	2056	80%	21533	51%	7224	34%	114249	54%	34%	HI	-45%	80%	AS	2.3
Lincoln	57288	53%		*		*	3233	50%	2994	27%	49960	55%	27%	HI	-28%	55%	WH	2.0
Guilford	344918	65%	1513	52%	15523	55%	107180	59%	19578	34%	196630	71%	34%	HI	-37%	71%	WH	2.1
Halifax	36669	40%	1311	33%	305	69%	18682	31%	608	41%	15270	50%	31%	BL	-38%	69%	AS	2.2
Northampton	15114	41%	121	67%		*	8362	36%		*	6290	47%	36%	BL	-31%	67%	AI	1.8
Haywood	44905	60%		*	308	83%	447	52%	1172	45%	42375	60%	45%	HI	-38%	83%	AS	1.9
Polk	15677	64%		*		*	765	23%	573	34%	14185	67%	23%	BL	-44%	67%	WH	2.9
Rutherford	47525	49%		*		*	4458	42%	1431	36%	40906	50%	36%	HI	-14%	50%	WH	1.4
Duplin	39944	41%		*		*	10245	39%	6124	15%	23022	48%	15%	HI	-33%	48%	WH	3.3
Johnston	123707	56%	972	38%	741	44%	18592	56%	11898	25%	90117	60%	25%	HI	-35%	60%	WH	2.4
Greene	14950	44%		*		*	5454	36%	1577	16%	7625	55%	16%	HI	-39%	55%	WH	3.5
Jones	7229	53%		*		*	2233	50%		*	4575	56%	50%	BL	-6%	56%	WH	1.1
Lenoir	40416	48%		*		*	15216	41%		*	21591	57%	41%	BL	-16%	57%	WH	1.4

## Postsecondary Education Exposure by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of individuals 25+ with some college or more education, 2017

County	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	6808220	<b>61%</b>	75707	<b>44%</b>	177008	<b>71%</b>	1383129	<b>54%</b>	451302	<b>34%</b>	4625957	<b>65%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>HI</b>	<b>-37%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>2.1</b>
Bertie	14725	39%	*	*	*	*	8709	34%	*	*	5472	47%	34%	BL	-13%	47%	WH	1.4
Martin	16696	47%	*	*	*	*	6767	37%	*	*	9133	55%	37%	BL	-18%	55%	WH	1.5
Avery	13241	50%	*	*	*	*	631	36%	*	*	11795	53%	36%	BL	-17%	53%	WH	1.5
Mitchell	11205	50%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	10710	50%	50%	WH	-	50%	WH	-
Yancey	13051	50%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	12415	51%	51%	WH	-	51%	WH	-
McDowell	32280	49%	*	*	245	81%	1177	38%	1217	32%	29322	50%	32%	HI	-49%	81%	AS	2.5
Iredell	116032	60%	364	38%	2716	73%	13127	47%	6503	38%	92331	63%	38%	HI	-36%	73%	AS	1.9
Montgomery	18913	45%	*	*	*	*	3460	30%	1738	17%	13287	52%	17%	HI	-35%	52%	WH	3.0
Nash	64776	51%	496	46%	593	67%	24340	42%	2987	28%	35835	60%	28%	HI	-40%	67%	AS	2.4
Pamlico	9840	56%	*	*	*	*	1923	39%	240	43%	7515	60%	39%	BL	-21%	60%	WH	1.5
Caswell	16626	44%	*	*	*	*	5488	35%	*	*	10442	50%	35%	BL	-16%	50%	WH	1.5
Person	27827	49%	217	57%	*	*	7154	39%	803	47%	19208	52%	39%	BL	-18%	57%	AI	1.4
Pitt	105774	66%	218	62%	1914	76%	36004	53%	5189	46%	61078	74%	46%	HI	-30%	76%	AS	1.7
Randolph	98257	46%	404	48%	949	52%	5729	47%	7431	23%	82702	48%	23%	HI	-29%	52%	AS	2.3
Richmond	30592	44%	*	*	*	*	8932	42%	1353	11%	19144	47%	11%	HI	-36%	47%	WH	4.2
Scotland	23669	45%	2330	33%	148	62%	8806	39%	573	29%	11424	53%	29%	HI	-33%	62%	AS	2.1
Hertford	16960	48%	201	51%	166	48%	9525	40%	545	47%	6152	57%	40%	BL	-18%	57%	WH	1.4
Robeson	85682	42%	33126	41%	526	66%	20103	39%	4917	14%	25771	51%	14%	HI	-52%	66%	AS	4.7
Rockingham	65635	47%	*	*	353	50%	12063	38%	2590	29%	49682	50%	29%	HI	-20%	50%	WH	1.7
Cabarrus	130054	64%	329	71%	3964	80%	20320	64%	9175	33%	94524	66%	33%	HI	-47%	80%	AS	2.4
Rowan	95299	51%	*	*	886	39%	13924	51%	5545	21%	73579	54%	21%	HI	-33%	54%	WH	2.6
Sampson	42654	43%	750	34%	*	*	11124	46%	5495	13%	24372	49%	13%	HI	-36%	49%	WH	3.8
Hoke	33801	57%	2623	33%	443	65%	11682	55%	3537	43%	14466	66%	33%	AI	-32%	66%	WH	2.0
Moore	67980	67%	*	*	734	65%	7818	51%	2924	48%	55161	71%	48%	HI	-23%	71%	WH	1.5
Anson	18091	39%	*	*	*	*	8359	30%	*	*	8722	47%	30%	BL	-17%	47%	WH	1.6
Union	141198	64%	535	62%	3473	80%	15641	54%	12028	38%	107813	68%	38%	HI	-42%	80%	AS	2.1
Columbus	39351	47%	1366	49%	*	*	11494	40%	*	*	24832	52%	40%	BL	-12%	52%	WH	1.3
Jackson	26812	62%	2290	45%	*	*	331	46%	957	48%	22745	64%	45%	AI	-19%	64%	WH	1.4
Macon	25573	56%	*	*	*	*	226	54%	1124	36%	23620	58%	36%	HI	-21%	58%	WH	1.6
Swain	9966	48%	2385	47%	*	*	*	*	*	*	6791	48%	47%	AI	-1%	48%	WH	1.0
Stanly	42363	51%	*	*	697	58%	4346	41%	1078	23%	35788	53%	23%	HI	-35%	58%	AS	2.5
Surry	51087	48%	*	*	*	*	1902	53%	3365	24%	44887	50%	24%	HI	-29%	53%	BL	2.2
Yadkin	26680	45%	*	*	*	*	904	42%	*	*	23690	47%	42%	BL	-4%	47%	WH	1.1
Cherokee	20924	51%	*	*	*	*	267	52%	*	*	19426	52%	52%	WH	-1%	52%	BL	1.0
Clay	8212	56%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	7930	56%	56%	WH	-	56%	WH	-
Graham	6053	44%	455	34%	*	*	*	*	*	*	5519	46%	34%	AI	-11%	46%	WH	1.3
Franklin	44168	54%	*	*	*	*	11059	46%	2479	24%	29329	60%	24%	HI	-36%	60%	WH	2.5
Granville	41320	52%	*	*	170	94%	12687	42%	2209	39%	25216	58%	39%	HI	-55%	94%	AS	2.4
Vance	30017	41%	*	*	*	*	14262	38%	1469	26%	13686	46%	26%	HI	-19%	46%	WH	1.7
Warren	14562	46%	656	29%	*	*	7002	38%	*	*	6119	56%	29%	AI	-27%	56%	WH	1.9
Wake	679486	77%	2260	60%	44595	83%	130748	67%	53170	40%	437530	83%	40%	HI	-43%	83%	WH	2.1
Wayne	82444	53%	283	58%	1088	43%	25319	47%	6474	24%	48224	61%	24%	HI	-36%	61%	WH	2.5
Burke	63631	50%	*	*	1767	38%	3524	45%	2634	27%	55040	52%	27%	HI	-25%	52%	WH	2.0
Alleghany	8185	48%	*	*	*	*	184	74%	490	23%	7356	49%	23%	HI	-52%	74%	BL	3.3
Ashe	20249	53%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	18986	55%	55%	WH	-	55%	WH	-
Wilkes	48985	46%	*	*	*	*	2114	45%	1918	23%	44329	48%	23%	HI	-25%	48%	WH	2.1
Wilson	55075	48%	*	*	426	67%	21175	41%	3829	18%	28989	57%	18%	HI	-49%	67%	AS	3.8

\* Suppressed when margin of error is at least 50% of the estimate

Note: Disaggregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Margin of error increases with smaller counts

### Postsecondary Education Exposure by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of individuals 25+ with some college or more education, 2017

County	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	765610	60%	617519	47%	212862	38%	238440	30%	2413401	67%	2212556	63%	30%	HM	-37%	67%	WF	2.2
Alamance	11304	56%	8600	43%	4501	26%	4778	22%	39662	66%	34487	60%	22%	HM	-44%	66%	WF	3.0
Buncombe	5559	57%	4926	48%	4022	37%	4428	31%	85161	73%	74694	66%	31%	HM	-41%	73%	WF	2.3
Madison	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	7555	60%	6931	47%	47%	WM	-14%	60%	WF	1.3
Beaufort	4621	41%	3521	22%	*	*	*	*	12608	63%	11369	59%	22%	BM	-41%	63%	WF	2.9
Hyde	*	*	864	27%	*	*	*	*	1240	44%	1108	56%	27%	BM	-29%	56%	WM	2.1
Tyrrell	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	814	49%	1023	38%	38%	WM	-10%	49%	WF	1.3
Washington	2289	40%	1569	31%	*	*	*	*	2369	53%	2021	42%	31%	BM	-22%	53%	WF	1.7
Bladen	4634	42%	3457	29%	*	*	*	*	7401	59%	6703	51%	29%	BM	-30%	59%	WF	2.0
Henderson	1188	46%	1176	46%	2663	54%	3062	22%	38980	69%	33927	67%	22%	HM	-47%	69%	WF	3.2
Transylvania	380	53%	*	*	*	*	*	*	12434	63%	10857	59%	53%	BF	-10%	63%	WF	1.2
Brunswick	5175	54%	4226	39%	1360	37%	1701	24%	42637	65%	38173	64%	24%	HM	-42%	65%	WF	2.7
Caldwell	1294	50%	1326	41%	*	*	*	*	27265	50%	25579	45%	41%	BM	-9%	50%	BF	1.2
Watauga	*	*	*	*	205	70%	*	*	14572	72%	14043	68%	68%	WM	-4%	72%	WF	1.1
New Hanover	11024	56%	8534	44%	2842	51%	3143	35%	63202	75%	57001	74%	35%	HM	-41%	75%	WF	2.2
Pender	3370	55%	3034	38%	862	24%	*	*	15946	66%	15445	60%	24%	HF	-41%	66%	WF	2.7
Carteret	1346	57%	1497	43%	708	45%	770	24%	23921	70%	21927	64%	24%	HM	-46%	70%	WF	2.9
Alexander	547	30%	*	*	*	*	*	*	12032	47%	11689	41%	30%	BF	-17%	47%	WF	1.6
Catawba	4505	43%	4085	35%	3193	26%	3751	18%	45777	60%	41615	55%	18%	HM	-43%	60%	WF	3.4
Chatham	3384	56%	2831	41%	1901	30%	2248	25%	20295	75%	17881	71%	25%	HM	-50%	75%	WF	3.0
Harnett	8816	55%	8145	43%	3432	50%	4173	34%	28164	61%	26583	57%	34%	HM	-27%	61%	WF	1.8
Lee	4072	58%	3308	35%	2568	25%	3010	26%	13531	66%	12186	64%	25%	HF	-41%	66%	WF	2.6
Mecklenburg	116084	69%	87514	60%	34454	40%	36284	34%	188012	82%	174810	83%	34%	HM	-49%	83%	WM	2.4
Cleveland	7198	45%	5507	27%	743	34%	779	27%	26756	55%	24177	49%	27%	HM	-29%	55%	WF	2.1
Onslow	7971	62%	7991	50%	4260	64%	5159	68%	35131	67%	35905	60%	50%	BM	-18%	68%	HM	1.4
Camden	536	69%	416	41%	*	*	*	*	2962	66%	2882	53%	41%	BM	-28%	69%	BF	1.7
Chowan	1982	40%	1430	26%	*	*	*	*	3491	57%	3171	59%	26%	BM	-33%	59%	WM	2.2
Currituck	*	*	*	*	278	59%	241	55%	8078	62%	7793	57%	55%	HM	-7%	62%	WF	1.1
Dare	*	*	*	*	*	*	749	38%	12293	73%	11509	66%	38%	HM	-35%	73%	WF	1.9
Gates	1478	57%	1327	28%	*	*	*	*	2692	62%	2582	45%	28%	BM	-34%	62%	WF	2.2
Pasquotank	4925	54%	4281	41%	466	44%	443	47%	8056	60%	7690	54%	41%	BM	-19%	60%	WF	1.5
Perquimans	1295	63%	978	32%	*	*	*	*	3877	59%	3615	53%	32%	BM	-31%	63%	BF	2.0
Craven	7447	55%	6532	47%	1395	45%	1777	44%	24401	68%	22904	66%	44%	HM	-24%	68%	WF	1.5
Davidson	5432	47%	4501	39%	2429	35%	2835	22%	50145	55%	46258	48%	22%	HM	-33%	55%	WF	2.5
Davie	941	48%	918	38%	610	38%	*	*	13669	62%	12373	53%	38%	HF	-25%	62%	WF	1.7
Durham	40780	69%	32028	59%	9392	33%	11444	26%	52014	83%	46110	82%	26%	HM	-57%	83%	WF	3.2
Orange	5616	67%	4589	46%	2743	47%	3039	31%	33600	85%	30181	82%	31%	HM	-54%	85%	WF	2.7
Edgecombe	11783	46%	8814	30%	*	*	*	*	7965	53%	6892	39%	30%	BM	-23%	53%	WF	1.8
Cumberland	41249	66%	33680	62%	9299	63%	9327	63%	49435	64%	48099	67%	62%	BM	-5%	67%	WM	1.1
Forsyth	33971	66%	25912	52%	10437	28%	11005	26%	83087	70%	72703	69%	26%	HM	-44%	70%	WF	2.7
Stokes	651	37%	727	43%	*	*	*	*	16142	46%	14807	40%	37%	BF	-9%	46%	WF	1.2
Gaston	12073	57%	9460	44%	3574	40%	3650	29%	60205	55%	54044	54%	29%	HM	-27%	57%	BF	1.9
Lincoln	1744	61%	1489	38%	1451	29%	1543	26%	25695	57%	24265	53%	26%	HM	-35%	61%	BF	2.4
Guilford	60757	64%	46423	54%	9533	39%	10045	30%	103819	71%	92811	72%	30%	HM	-42%	72%	WM	2.4
Halifax	10228	38%	8454	23%	*	*	*	*	7988	56%	7282	44%	23%	BM	-33%	56%	WF	2.4
Northampton	4552	45%	3810	26%	*	*	*	*	3244	53%	3046	41%	26%	BM	-27%	53%	WF	2.0
Haywood	*	*	*	*	578	54%	594	36%	22520	62%	19855	58%	36%	HM	-26%	62%	WF	1.7
Polk	432	33%	*	*	*	*	*	*	7583	71%	6602	63%	33%	BF	-39%	71%	WF	2.2
Rutherford	2387	57%	2071	25%	689	33%	742	39%	21549	53%	19357	48%	25%	BM	-33%	57%	BF	2.3
Duplin	5805	48%	4440	27%	2759	19%	3365	11%	12092	52%	10930	44%	11%	HM	-41%	52%	WF	4.7
Johnston	10127	62%	8465	49%	5394	30%	6504	21%	47328	63%	42789	58%	21%	HM	-41%	63%	WF	2.9
Greene	2404	51%	3050	24%	*	*	*	*	3650	60%	3975	50%	24%	BM	-37%	60%	WF	2.6
Jones	1234	55%	999	43%	*	*	*	*	2349	59%	2226	52%	43%	BM	-16%	59%	WF	1.4
Lenoir	8723	45%	6493	35%	*	*	*	*	11437	59%	10154	55%	35%	BM	-24%	59%	WF	1.7



# Postsecondary Education Exposure by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of individuals 25+ with some college or more education, 2017

County	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	765610	60%	617519	47%	212862	38%	238440	30%	2413401	67%	2212556	63%	30%	HM	-37%	67%	WF	2.2
Bertie	4480	39%	4229	29%	*	*	*	*	2799	52%	2673	43%	29%	BM	-23%	52%	WF	1.8
Martin	3903	43%	2864	28%	*	*	*	*	4812	58%	4321	53%	28%	BM	-29%	58%	WF	2.0
Avery	*	*	558	38%	*	*	*	*	5564	56%	6231	50%	38%	BM	-18%	56%	WF	1.5
Mitchell	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5606	52%	5104	48%	48%	WM	-4%	52%	WF	1.1
Yancey	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	6488	55%	5927	46%	46%	WM	-8%	55%	WF	1.2
McDowell	448	45%	729	33%	*	*	*	*	15136	54%	14186	47%	33%	BM	-21%	54%	WF	1.6
Iredell	7249	52%	5878	42%	3181	41%	3322	34%	47549	65%	44782	61%	34%	HM	-31%	65%	WF	1.9
Montgomery	1940	38%	1520	19%	*	*	*	*	6920	56%	6367	48%	19%	BM	-37%	56%	WF	2.9
Nash	13570	47%	10770	36%	1368	34%	1619	22%	18749	61%	17086	58%	22%	HM	-39%	61%	WF	2.8
Pamlico	926	47%	997	33%	*	*	*	*	3762	65%	3753	56%	33%	BM	-32%	65%	WF	2.0
Caswell	2754	43%	2734	27%	*	*	*	*	5268	53%	5174	48%	27%	BM	-26%	53%	WF	2.0
Person	3855	46%	3299	31%	327	67%	*	*	10071	55%	9137	48%	31%	BM	-36%	67%	HF	2.2
Pitt	20677	61%	15327	43%	2468	48%	2721	43%	32096	76%	28982	72%	43%	BM	-33%	76%	WF	1.8
Randolph	3008	54%	2721	40%	3461	27%	3970	19%	43145	52%	39557	44%	19%	HM	-36%	54%	BF	2.9
Richmond	4858	50%	4074	32%	*	*	*	*	10033	52%	9111	41%	32%	BM	-20%	52%	WF	1.6
Scotland	4463	48%	4343	30%	*	*	*	*	5957	56%	5467	49%	30%	BM	-25%	56%	WF	1.8
Hertford	5004	48%	4521	31%	*	*	448	41%	3088	59%	3064	56%	31%	BM	-28%	59%	WF	1.9
Robeson	11004	46%	9099	30%	2124	17%	2793	11%	13655	55%	12116	46%	11%	HM	-44%	55%	WF	4.9
Rockingham	6619	47%	5444	27%	1110	35%	1480	25%	26267	53%	23415	46%	25%	HM	-28%	53%	WF	2.1
Cabarrus	11500	66%	8820	62%	4618	36%	4557	29%	49298	67%	45226	65%	29%	HM	-38%	67%	WF	2.3
Rowan	7624	56%	6300	44%	2516	23%	3029	19%	38011	57%	35568	51%	19%	HM	-38%	57%	WF	3.0
Sampson	6079	53%	5045	38%	2384	14%	3111	13%	12760	55%	11612	43%	13%	HM	-43%	55%	WF	4.4
Hoke	6201	59%	5481	51%	1787	47%	1750	39%	7147	67%	7319	64%	39%	HM	-28%	67%	WF	1.7
Moore	4460	59%	3358	41%	1388	51%	1536	46%	29148	71%	26013	71%	41%	BM	-30%	71%	WF	1.7
Anson	3998	33%	4361	27%	*	*	*	*	4266	51%	4456	43%	27%	BM	-24%	51%	WF	1.9
Union	8424	58%	7217	49%	5861	40%	6167	35%	55864	69%	51949	67%	35%	HM	-34%	69%	WF	2.0
Columbus	5890	50%	5604	31%	*	*	*	*	12948	60%	11884	44%	31%	BM	-29%	60%	WF	2.0
Jackson	*	*	*	*	469	56%	*	*	11776	69%	10969	59%	56%	HF	-13%	69%	WF	1.2
Macon	*	*	*	*	514	36%	610	37%	12534	59%	11086	56%	36%	HF	-22%	59%	WF	1.6
Swain	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	3583	54%	3208	42%	42%	WM	-13%	54%	WF	1.3
Stanly	2211	45%	2135	37%	478	28%	*	*	18464	58%	17324	49%	28%	HF	-30%	58%	WF	2.1
Surry	1033	53%	869	53%	1574	30%	1791	19%	23702	55%	21185	44%	19%	HM	-37%	55%	WF	3.0
Yadkin	466	45%	438	39%	*	*	*	*	12390	49%	11300	44%	39%	BM	-10%	49%	WF	1.3
Cherokee	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	10186	55%	9240	48%	48%	WM	-7%	55%	WF	1.1
Clay	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	4126	59%	3804	54%	54%	WM	-5%	59%	WF	1.1
Graham	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	2897	52%	2622	38%	38%	WM	-15%	52%	WF	1.4
Franklin	6031	55%	5028	35%	1040	29%	1439	21%	15052	63%	14277	56%	21%	HM	-42%	63%	WF	3.1
Granville	6412	53%	6275	30%	1002	45%	1207	34%	12694	59%	12522	57%	30%	BM	-29%	59%	WF	2.0
Vance	8224	44%	6038	30%	*	*	*	*	7307	50%	6379	41%	30%	BM	-20%	50%	WF	1.7
Warren	3688	47%	3314	28%	*	*	*	*	3098	58%	3021	54%	28%	BM	-30%	58%	WF	2.1
Wake	73325	72%	57423	61%	26170	45%	27000	36%	226405	83%	211125	84%	36%	HM	-48%	84%	WM	2.3
Wayne	14087	54%	11232	39%	2982	28%	3492	21%	24831	63%	23393	57%	21%	HM	-42%	63%	WF	3.0
Burke	1789	48%	1735	43%	1115	21%	1519	31%	28859	56%	26181	47%	21%	HF	-35%	56%	WF	2.7
Alleghany	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	3895	51%	3461	46%	46%	WM	-5%	51%	WF	1.1
Ashe	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	9917	56%	9069	54%	54%	WM	-3%	56%	WF	1.1
Wilkes	1016	54%	1098	37%	*	*	1073	19%	23066	50%	21263	45%	19%	HM	-35%	54%	BF	2.9
Wilson	12108	47%	9067	31%	1726	24%	*	*	15381	59%	13608	54%	24%	HF	-35%	59%	WF	2.5

\* Suppressed when margin of error is at least 50% of the estimate


Note: Disaggregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Margin of error increases with smaller counts

## Demographic Distribution by Division

Distribution of fall 2017 students by division  
(Curriculum, Basic Skills, and Continuing Education)

See tables for notes about disaggregation



# Race/Ethnicity Distribution by Division




Distribution of fall 2017 students by division (Curriculum, Basic Skills, and Continuing Education)

College	Total			American Indian			Asian			Black			Hawaiian/Pacific Isl			Hispanic			Multiple			White			Unknown		
	CU	BS	CE	CU	BS	CE	CU	BS	CE	CU	BS	CE	CU	BS	CE	CU	BS	CE	CU	BS	CE	CU	BS	CE	CU	BS	CE
<b>State Total</b>	<b>223251</b>	<b>41763</b>	<b>174850</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>9%</b>
Alamance CC	4249	1052	3605	1%	1%	0%	2%	5%	1%	19%	22%	14%	0%	0%	0%	16%	47%	5%	3%	0%	0%	57%	24%	65%	2%	0%	16%
Asheville-Buncombe	7286	996	5087	1%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	5%	15%	7%	0%	0%	0%	9%	27%	3%	3%	1%	0%	78%	50%	88%	3%	1%	1%
Beaufort County CC	1527	322	2028	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	43%	23%	0%	0%	0%	11%	21%	3%	2%	1%	0%	61%	32%	73%	4%	2%	1%
Bladen CC	1210	230	1096	15%	3%	5%	0%	0%	0%	25%	31%	14%	0%	0%	0%	8%	25%	2%	3%	0%	1%	44%	37%	39%	4%	3%	40%
Blue Ridge CC	2118	518	3814	1%	0%	0%	1%	3%	1%	4%	17%	5%	0%	0%	0%	11%	31%	4%	2%	0%	0%	76%	40%	70%	4%	9%	20%
Brunswick CC	1427	338	2129	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	13%	20%	9%	0%	0%	0%	8%	16%	5%	3%	1%	0%	70%	62%	81%	4%	1%	3%
Caldwell CC and TI	3560	522	3238	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	5%	8%	7%	0%	0%	0%	7%	25%	4%	2%	1%	0%	82%	63%	85%	2%	0%	2%
Cape Fear CC	8547	1231	4757	1%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	11%	26%	15%	0%	0%	0%	8%	33%	5%	3%	1%	1%	73%	33%	76%	2%	1%	2%
Carteret CC	1538	266	1707	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	7%	25%	7%	0%	0%	0%	6%	13%	2%	3%	3%	1%	81%	58%	89%	1%	0%	0%
Catawba Valley CC	4855	676	3467	1%	1%	0%	8%	5%	1%	7%	16%	6%	0%	0%	0%	11%	35%	4%	2%	1%	0%	69%	38%	81%	2%	4%	8%
Central Carolina CC	5287	1179	3260	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	18%	21%	17%	0%	0%	0%	17%	45%	8%	3%	1%	1%	57%	30%	60%	2%	1%	14%
Central Piedmont CC	19621	3695	9267	0%	1%	0%	6%	10%	2%	29%	32%	22%	0%	0%	0%	14%	39%	6%	3%	3%	1%	44%	14%	52%	4%	2%	16%
Cleveland CC	2704	23	2754	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	18%	17%	16%	0%	0%	0%	5%	4%	2%	3%	0%	0%	71%	78%	78%	2%	0%	2%
Coastal Carolina CC	4133	619	3374	2%	1%	1%	3%	5%	2%	16%	20%	14%	1%	0%	0%	15%	18%	10%	1%	0%	2%	62%	31%	69%	0%	23%	2%
Coll of the Albemarle	2529	381	2008	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	13%	34%	18%	0%	0%	0%	4%	21%	2%	3%	1%	0%	72%	40%	70%	6%	0%	9%
Craven CC	3101	329	2362	1%	1%	1%	3%	9%	1%	16%	33%	21%	0%	0%	0%	9%	18%	4%	4%	2%	1%	62%	36%	70%	4%	2%	1%
Davidson County CC	3627	803	2816	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	14%	21%	10%	0%	0%	0%	8%	19%	4%	3%	2%	1%	71%	51%	78%	2%	3%	6%
Durham TCC	5489	1660	3765	1%	1%	0%	6%	10%	4%	37%	32%	26%	0%	0%	0%	16%	42%	7%	3%	0%	1%	34%	8%	51%	4%	7%	12%
Edgecombe CC	2214	339	1423	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	56%	74%	42%	0%	0%	0%	5%	6%	2%	2%	0%	0%	36%	18%	54%	1%	1%	1%
Fayetteville TCC	11942	1956	6621	2%	2%	2%	2%	6%	2%	38%	37%	33%	0%	1%	0%	12%	29%	8%	5%	1%	2%	37%	21%	49%	4%	2%	4%
Forsyth TCC	7781	1612	7796	0%	1%	0%	2%	5%	1%	23%	26%	20%	0%	0%	0%	12%	43%	5%	3%	1%	0%	57%	25%	43%	2%	0%	29%
Gaston College	5545	674	4451	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	14%	21%	13%	0%	0%	0%	7%	22%	5%	3%	1%	0%	61%	52%	77%	14%	1%	3%
Guilford TCC	10010	2575	7336	1%	1%	1%	5%	18%	2%	37%	38%	28%	0%	0%	0%	10%	27%	4%	2%	0%	0%	42%	16%	62%	3%	0%	4%
Halifax CC	1121	240	1685	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	0%	51%	55%	26%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	1%	1%	0%	0%	39%	21%	31%	3%	16%	40%
Haywood CC	1702	279	1284	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	6%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	10%	2%	1%	0%	0%	85%	84%	93%	3%	2%	1%
Isothermal CC	1930	330	1851	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	9%	18%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	22%	2%	3%	0%	0%	76%	59%	85%	6%	1%	5%
James Sprunt CC	1218	178	1575	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	27%	36%	31%	0%	0%	0%	23%	43%	11%	2%	1%	0%	45%	19%	56%	1%	1%	1%
Johnston CC	4185	728	2968	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	14%	26%	17%	0%	0%	0%	15%	43%	9%	2%	0%	0%	62%	28%	69%	7%	0%	4%
Lenoir CC	2873	792	3942	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	28%	46%	29%	0%	0%	0%	11%	20%	9%	1%	0%	0%	57%	29%	59%	0%	1%	1%
Martin CC	840	260	725	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	22%	60%	14%	0%	0%	0%	3%	11%	1%	0%	0%	0%	47%	26%	14%	26%	2%	71%
Mayland CC	1056	437	1039	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	25%	7%	0%	0%	0%	5%	11%	1%	0%	2%	0%	85%	61%	89%	7%	0%	2%
McDowell TCC	1070	246	1496	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	3%	15%	5%	0%	1%	0%	9%	25%	2%	1%	0%	0%	84%	55%	91%	1%	1%	1%
Mitchell CC	3208	472	2232	1%	0%	0%	3%	5%	1%	11%	21%	10%	0%	0%	0%	11%	31%	3%	3%	1%	0%	70%	43%	69%	1%	0%	17%
Montgomery CC	918	163	1045	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%	0%	18%	31%	13%	0%	0%	0%	17%	18%	4%	2%	0%	0%	60%	40%	75%	1%	7%	6%
Nash CC	2971	366	2854	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	33%	42%	31%	0%	0%	0%	7%	20%	3%	2%	1%	0%	52%	31%	63%	1%	1%	1%
Pamlico CC	489	78	374	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	29%	45%	16%	0%	0%	0%	6%	14%	3%	0%	0%	0%	57%	36%	77%	4%	4%	1%
Piedmont CC	1311	261	1772	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	27%	48%	38%	0%	0%	0%	5%	13%	2%	2%	2%	0%	63%	34%	57%	2%	1%	2%
Pitt CC	8249	857	3586	1%	0%	0%	1%	6%	1%	36%	47%	25%	0%	0%	0%	8%	23%	4%	2%	2%	1%	47%	19%	56%	6%	3%	14%
Randolph CC	2793	705	3544	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	6%	8%	9%	0%	0%	0%	10%	40%	4%	1%	1%	0%	60%	44%	65%	23%	5%	21%
Richmond CC	2534	682	2942	8%	8%	6%	1%	0%	0%	22%	39%	24%	0%	0%	0%	4%	22%	2%	3%	1%	0%	36%	29%	66%	26%	0%	1%
Roanoke Chowan CC	802	135	1176	1%	4%	1%	1%	0%	0%	55%	67%	41%	0%	0%	0%	3%	8%	1%	0%	0%	0%	34%	18%	56%	6%	3%	1%
Robeson CC	1928	653	2746	46%	20%	36%	1%	1%	0%	20%	34%	21%	0%	0%	0%	9%	29%	3%	3%	0%	1%	20%	14%	38%	1%	2%	1%
Rockingham CC	1948	351	1989	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	16%	19%	12%	0%	0%	0%	7%	27%	3%	3%	6%	0%	69%	45%	81%	4%	1%	3%
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	5848	972	5916	0%	1%	0%	2%	3%	1%	19%	26%	16%	0%	0%	0%	12%	35%	5%	3%	1%	1%	62%	34%	76%	2%	0%	1%
Sampson CC	1466	482	2019	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	0%	19%	32%	26%	0%	0%	0%	27%	40%	12%	2%	0%	0%	47%	21%	55%	2%	4%	3%
Sandhills CC	4213	374	2850	3%	5%	4%	1%	5%	0%	18%	26%	19%	0%	1%	0%	12%	35%	5%	4%	3%	1%	59%	26%	65%	3%	0%	5%
South Piedmont CC	2996	714	2357	0%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	17%	20%	28%	0%	0%	0%	14%	50%	5%	3%	1%	0%	57%	25%	62%	8%	0%	2%
Southeastern CC	1452	552	3252	6%	5%	4%	0%	0%	0%	21%	42%	27%	0%	0%	0%	5%	10%	2%	3%	0%	0%	62%	23%	33%	3%	20%	35%
Southwestern CC	2548	455	2039	8%	7%	6%	1%	1%	0%	1%	21%	11%	0%	0%	0%	8%	37%	3%	2%	0%	1%	79%	33%	77%	1%	1%	2%
Stanly CC	2629	539	2776	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	16%	32%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4%	16%	2%	2%	1%	0%	67%	46%	38%	7%	2%	48%
Surry CC	3286	481	3592	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	3%	6%	5%	0%	0%	0%	17%	28%	4%	1%	0%	0%	76%	62%	88%	2%	2%	1%
Tri-County CC	1025	121	710	2%	0%	3%	1%	3%	0%	1%	4%	2%	0%	0%	0%	3%	19%	2%	0%	0%	0%	92%	73%	92%	0%	1%	0%
Vance-Granville CC	3312	770	3144	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	32%	51%																

# Curriculum Student Demographic Distribution

Distribution of fall 2017 Curriculum students

See tables for notes about disaggregation



# Curriculum Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender




Distribution of fall 2017 Curriculum students

College	Total	American Indian		Asian		Black		Hawaiian/ Pacific Isl		Hispanic		Multiple		White		Unknown	
		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<b>State Total</b>	<b>224249</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Alamance CC	4249	0%	0%	1%	1%	13%	6%	0%	0%	10%	6%	2%	1%	32%	24%	2%	1%
Asheville-Buncombe	7286	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	5%	4%	2%	1%	45%	33%	1%	1%
Beaufort County CC	1527	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	5%	0%	0%	7%	4%	1%	1%	38%	23%	2%	2%
Bladen CC	1210	12%	3%	0%	0%	16%	9%	0%	0%	4%	5%	2%	1%	28%	16%	3%	1%
Blue Ridge CC	2118	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	6%	6%	2%	1%	40%	36%	2%	2%
Brunswick CC	1427	1%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	1%	43%	27%	2%	2%
Caldwell CC and TI	3560	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	4%	3%	1%	1%	47%	36%	1%	1%
Cape Fear CC	8547	0%	0%	1%	1%	7%	4%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	1%	40%	33%	1%	1%
Carteret CC	1538	0%	0%	1%	0%	5%	2%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	1%	55%	26%	1%	1%
Catawba Valley CC	4855	0%	0%	4%	3%	5%	3%	0%	0%	6%	5%	1%	1%	39%	30%	1%	1%
Central Carolina CC	5287	0%	0%	1%	0%	12%	6%	0%	0%	11%	6%	2%	1%	35%	22%	1%	1%
Central Piedmont CC	19621	0%	0%	3%	3%	18%	11%	0%	0%	8%	6%	2%	1%	22%	22%	2%	2%
Cleveland CC	2704	0%	0%	1%	0%	13%	5%	0%	0%	3%	2%	2%	1%	44%	28%	1%	1%
Coastal Carolina CC	4133	1%	1%	2%	1%	10%	7%	1%	0%	9%	6%	0%	0%	36%	26%	0%	0%
Coll of the Albemarle	2529	0%	0%	1%	1%	9%	4%	0%	0%	3%	2%	2%	1%	46%	25%	4%	2%
Craven CC	3101	0%	0%	2%	1%	11%	5%	0%	0%	4%	4%	2%	2%	35%	28%	2%	2%
Davidson County CC	3627	0%	0%	1%	1%	10%	4%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	1%	45%	26%	1%	1%
Durham TCC	5489	0%	0%	3%	2%	25%	12%	0%	0%	10%	6%	2%	1%	18%	15%	3%	2%
Edgecombe CC	2214	1%	0%	0%	0%	45%	10%	0%	0%	3%	2%	1%	0%	25%	11%	1%	0%
Fayetteville TCC	11942	1%	1%	1%	1%	23%	15%	0%	0%	7%	5%	3%	2%	20%	17%	2%	2%
Forsyth TCC	7781	0%	0%	1%	1%	15%	8%	0%	0%	7%	5%	2%	1%	32%	24%	1%	1%
Gaston College	5545	0%	0%	1%	0%	10%	4%	0%	0%	4%	3%	2%	1%	38%	22%	9%	5%
Guilford TCC	10010	0%	0%	3%	2%	24%	14%	0%	0%	5%	4%	1%	1%	22%	20%	1%	1%
Halifax CC	1121	2%	1%	0%	0%	31%	21%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	26%	13%	1%	2%
Haywood CC	1702	1%	1%	0%	0%	6%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	49%	36%	1%	1%
Isothermal CC	1930	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	0%	3%	2%	2%	1%	46%	30%	4%	3%
James Sprunt CC	1218	0%	0%	0%	0%	20%	7%	0%	0%	15%	8%	2%	0%	29%	17%	1%	0%
Johnston CC	4185	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	0%	10%	4%	1%	1%	39%	23%	4%	2%
Lenoir CC	2873	0%	0%	1%	0%	19%	10%	0%	0%	7%	5%	1%	1%	35%	22%	0%	0%
Martin CC	840	0%	0%	0%	1%	15%	7%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	29%	18%	18%	9%
Mayland CC	1056	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	50%	35%	5%	2%
McDowell TCC	1070	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	0%	53%	31%	0%	0%
Mitchell CC	3208	0%	0%	2%	1%	7%	4%	0%	0%	7%	4%	2%	1%	42%	27%	1%	1%
Montgomery CC	918	1%	0%	1%	0%	14%	4%	0%	0%	10%	7%	1%	1%	36%	25%	1%	0%
Nash CC	2971	1%	1%	1%	1%	23%	10%	0%	0%	4%	3%	1%	1%	29%	23%	1%	1%
Pamlico CC	489	0%	1%	0%	1%	13%	17%	0%	0%	2%	4%	0%	0%	34%	23%	2%	2%
Piedmont CC	1311	1%	0%	0%	0%	18%	9%	0%	0%	3%	2%	1%	1%	35%	27%	1%	1%
Pitt CC	8249	0%	0%	1%	1%	24%	12%	0%	0%	4%	3%	1%	1%	27%	20%	4%	2%
Randolph CC	2793	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	0%	6%	4%	1%	0%	38%	22%	13%	10%
Richmond CC	2534	5%	3%	1%	1%	16%	6%	0%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	24%	12%	15%	11%
Roanoke Chowan CC	802	1%	0%	0%	0%	39%	15%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	21%	13%	4%	2%
Robeson CC	1928	33%	14%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	1%	13%	7%	1%	1%
Rockingham CC	1948	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	1%	40%	28%	3%	2%
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	5848	0%	0%	1%	1%	13%	6%	0%	0%	7%	5%	2%	1%	38%	24%	1%	1%
Sampson CC	1466	2%	0%	0%	0%	15%	5%	0%	0%	18%	9%	1%	1%	31%	16%	1%	1%
Sandhills CC	4213	3%	1%	1%	1%	12%	6%	0%	0%	7%	4%	3%	1%	35%	23%	2%	1%
Southeastern CC	1452	4%	2%	0%	0%	15%	6%	0%	0%	3%	2%	2%	1%	40%	23%	2%	1%
South Piedmont CC	2996	0%	0%	1%	1%	13%	4%	0%	0%	9%	5%	2%	1%	35%	21%	5%	3%
Southwestern CC	2548	6%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	2%	1%	47%	32%	0%	1%
Stanly CC	2629	0%	0%	2%	1%	12%	4%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	45%	23%	4%	3%
Surry CC	3286	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	11%	6%	1%	0%	46%	30%	1%	1%
Tri-County CC	1025	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	56%	36%	0%	0%
Vance-Granville CC	3312	1%	1%	1%	1%	23%	9%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	1%	31%	18%	3%	2%
Wake TCC	22293	0%	0%	3%	3%	15%	9%	0%	0%	8%	5%	2%	1%	25%	26%	1%	1%
Wayne CC	3392	0%	0%	1%	1%	14%	7%	0%	0%	8%	6%	1%	1%	34%	25%	1%	0%
Western Piedmont CC	1839	0%	0%	4%	3%	4%	3%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	0%	47%	30%	0%	0%
Wilkes CC	2671	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	1%	1%	45%	35%	2%	3%
Wilson CC	1833	0%	0%	0%	1%	26%	10%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	0%	28%	16%	4%	2%

# Basic Skills Student Demographic Distribution

Distribution of fall 2017 Basic Skills students

See tables for notes about disaggregation



## Basic Skills Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender




Distribution of fall 2017 Basic Skills students

College	Total	American Indian		Asian		Black		Hawaiian/ Pacific Isl		Hispanic		Multiple		White		Unknown	
		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<b>State Total</b>	<b>41749</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Alamance CC	1052	0%	0%	3%	2%	13%	9%	0%	0%	31%	16%	0%	0%	15%	9%	0%	0%
Asheville-Buncombe	996	2%	0%	3%	1%	8%	7%	0%	0%	15%	12%	0%	0%	30%	20%	1%	0%
Beaufort County CC	322	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	29%	0%	0%	16%	4%	1%	0%	15%	17%	1%	1%
Bladen CC	230	2%	2%	0%	0%	15%	17%	0%	0%	17%	7%	0%	0%	22%	15%	2%	1%
Blue Ridge CC	518	0%	0%	2%	1%	3%	14%	0%	0%	16%	15%	0%	0%	18%	21%	6%	3%
Brunswick CC	338	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	11%	0%	0%	10%	6%	1%	0%	35%	27%	0%	0%
Caldwell CC and TI	522	0%	1%	3%	0%	3%	5%	0%	0%	15%	10%	0%	1%	32%	31%	0%	0%
Cape Fear CC	1231	1%	1%	2%	2%	13%	13%	0%	0%	18%	15%	0%	0%	15%	19%	0%	0%
Carteret CC	266	0%	0%	2%	0%	9%	16%	0%	0%	9%	4%	2%	0%	27%	30%	0%	0%
Catawba Valley CC	676	0%	1%	3%	3%	7%	9%	0%	0%	22%	13%	1%	0%	19%	19%	2%	2%
Central Carolina CC	1179	0%	1%	1%	1%	9%	12%	0%	0%	30%	16%	0%	0%	14%	16%	0%	1%
Central Piedmont CC	3695	0%	0%	6%	4%	18%	14%	0%	0%	26%	13%	2%	1%	8%	5%	1%	1%
Cleveland CC	23	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	4%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	43%	35%	0%	0%
Coastal Carolina CC	619	0%	0%	4%	1%	12%	8%	0%	0%	11%	7%	0%	0%	17%	14%	11%	13%
Coll of the Albemarle	381	1%	1%	1%	1%	13%	21%	0%	0%	8%	13%	0%	1%	24%	16%	0%	0%
Craven CC	329	0%	0%	5%	4%	20%	12%	0%	0%	14%	4%	1%	1%	21%	15%	1%	1%
Davidson County CC	803	1%	1%	2%	1%	11%	10%	0%	0%	13%	5%	1%	1%	31%	20%	2%	1%
Durham TCC	1660	0%	0%	7%	3%	17%	15%	0%	0%	28%	14%	0%	0%	4%	4%	4%	3%
Edgecombe CC	339	1%	0%	0%	0%	31%	43%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	0%	9%	9%	1%	0%
Fayetteville TCC	1954	1%	1%	3%	2%	18%	20%	0%	1%	16%	14%	1%	1%	8%	13%	1%	1%
Forsyth TCC	1612	0%	0%	3%	1%	14%	12%	0%	0%	30%	12%	1%	0%	14%	11%	0%	0%
Gaston College	674	0%	0%	1%	1%	10%	11%	0%	0%	14%	8%	1%	0%	30%	22%	1%	0%
Guilford TCC	2575	0%	0%	10%	7%	21%	17%	0%	0%	20%	8%	0%	0%	10%	6%	0%	0%
Halifax CC	240	1%	0%	1%	1%	24%	31%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	8%	13%	13%	3%
Haywood CC	279	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	7%	3%	0%	0%	41%	43%	1%	0%
Isothermal CC	330	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	12%	0%	0%	14%	8%	0%	0%	28%	31%	0%	1%
James Sprunt CC	178	0%	1%	0%	1%	23%	13%	0%	0%	28%	15%	1%	0%	12%	7%	1%	0%
Johnston CC	728	0%	1%	1%	1%	12%	14%	0%	0%	30%	13%	0%	0%	15%	13%	0%	0%
Lenoir CC	792	2%	0%	1%	0%	23%	23%	0%	0%	14%	6%	0%	0%	16%	13%	1%	0%
Martin CC	260	0%	0%	1%	0%	21%	39%	0%	0%	5%	6%	0%	0%	9%	17%	1%	1%
Mayland CC	437	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	24%	0%	0%	6%	5%	0%	1%	16%	45%	0%	0%
McDowell TCC	246	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	15%	1%	0%	13%	12%	0%	0%	24%	31%	1%	0%
Mitchell CC	472	0%	0%	4%	1%	13%	8%	0%	0%	19%	11%	0%	0%	22%	20%	0%	0%
Montgomery CC	163	3%	0%	1%	1%	24%	7%	0%	0%	13%	5%	0%	0%	26%	14%	4%	2%
Nash CC	366	1%	1%	0%	2%	23%	19%	0%	0%	14%	7%	1%	1%	15%	17%	1%	0%
Pamlico CC	78	0%	0%	0%	1%	4%	41%	0%	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	13%	23%	0%	4%
Piedmont CC	261	0%	1%	0%	0%	12%	36%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	1%	13%	21%	1%	0%
Pitt CC	857	0%	0%	3%	3%	27%	20%	0%	0%	17%	6%	1%	0%	10%	10%	2%	1%
Randolph CC	705	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%	5%	0%	0%	27%	13%	1%	0%	25%	19%	4%	1%
Richmond CC	682	4%	4%	0%	0%	15%	24%	0%	0%	6%	16%	1%	1%	13%	16%	0%	0%
Roanoke Chowan CC	135	1%	2%	0%	0%	23%	44%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	0%	6%	12%	1%	2%
Robeson CC	653	13%	7%	0%	1%	13%	21%	0%	0%	13%	16%	0%	0%	6%	8%	1%	1%
Rockingham CC	351	0%	0%	0%	1%	12%	8%	0%	0%	18%	9%	4%	2%	26%	19%	1%	0%
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	972	0%	0%	2%	1%	14%	12%	0%	0%	23%	12%	1%	1%	18%	16%	0%	0%
Sampson CC	482	1%	1%	0%	1%	11%	21%	0%	0%	27%	13%	0%	0%	9%	12%	2%	2%
Sandhills CC	374	2%	2%	3%	1%	12%	14%	1%	0%	23%	12%	2%	1%	13%	14%	0%	0%
South Piedmont CC	714	0%	1%	1%	1%	8%	12%	0%	0%	33%	16%	0%	0%	11%	15%	0%	0%
Southeastern CC	552	2%	3%	0%	0%	8%	34%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	8%	15%	11%	9%
Southwestern CC	455	3%	4%	1%	0%	4%	17%	0%	0%	21%	16%	0%	0%	16%	17%	0%	0%
Stanly CC	539	1%	1%	1%	0%	13%	20%	0%	0%	8%	9%	1%	0%	27%	19%	1%	1%
Surry CC	481	0%	1%	0%	1%	4%	3%	0%	0%	20%	8%	0%	0%	33%	29%	1%	0%
Tri-County CC	121	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	12%	7%	0%	0%	36%	36%	0%	1%
Vance-Granville CC	770	0%	2%	0%	0%	18%	33%	0%	0%	11%	7%	0%	0%	11%	14%	2%	2%
Wake TCC	3655	1%	0%	8%	4%	11%	9%	0%	0%	29%	16%	0%	0%	13%	7%	1%	0%
Wayne CC	1041	0%	1%	2%	1%	16%	18%	0%	0%	19%	10%	0%	0%	12%	19%	0%	0%
Western Piedmont CC	556	0%	1%	2%	1%	4%	30%	0%	0%	11%	15%	0%	1%	15%	18%	1%	0%
Wilkes CC	494	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	8%	0%	0%	15%	8%	0%	0%	33%	32%	0%	0%
Wilson CC	463	0%	0%	2%	1%	29%	17%	0%	0%	20%	8%	0%	0%	10%	6%	2%	4%

# Continuing Education Student Demographic Distribution

Distribution of fall 2017 Continuing Education students

See tables for notes about disaggregation





## Continuing Education Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender




Distribution of fall 2017 Continuing Education students

College	Total	American Indian		Asian		Black		Hawaiian/ Pacific Isl		Hispanic		Multiple		White		Unknown	
		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<b>State Total</b>	<b>174850</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>5%</b>
Alamance CC	3604	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	6%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	23%	42%	6%	10%
Asheville-Buncombe	5085	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	39%	49%	0%	0%
Beaufort County CC	2021	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	12%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	27%	45%	0%	1%
Bladen CC	1094	3%	2%	0%	0%	9%	5%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	13%	26%	20%	19%
Blue Ridge CC	3813	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	26%	43%	11%	10%
Brunswick CC	2127	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	5%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	0%	32%	49%	2%	2%
Caldwell CC and TI	3238	0%	1%	0%	1%	3%	4%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	32%	53%	1%	1%
Cape Fear CC	4753	0%	1%	0%	1%	7%	8%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	26%	50%	1%	1%
Carteret CC	1705	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	5%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	36%	52%	0%	0%
Catawba Valley CC	3466	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	4%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	23%	57%	3%	5%
Central Carolina CC	3260	0%	0%	0%	0%	10%	7%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	0%	19%	40%	4%	11%
Central Piedmont CC	9219	0%	0%	1%	1%	14%	8%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	1%	19%	33%	7%	8%
Cleveland CC	2751	0%	0%	0%	2%	7%	9%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	22%	55%	0%	1%
Coastal Carolina CC	3374	0%	1%	1%	1%	7%	8%	0%	0%	4%	6%	1%	1%	26%	43%	1%	1%
Coll of the Albemarle	2006	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	7%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	37%	33%	5%	4%
Craven CC	2362	0%	1%	1%	1%	9%	11%	0%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	21%	49%	1%	1%
Davidson County CC	2816	0%	1%	1%	1%	4%	6%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	24%	53%	3%	3%
Durham TCC	3758	0%	0%	2%	1%	15%	11%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	18%	33%	5%	7%
Edgecombe CC	1422	0%	0%	0%	0%	24%	18%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	20%	34%	1%	0%
Fayetteville TCC	6617	1%	1%	1%	1%	20%	13%	0%	0%	5%	4%	1%	1%	20%	29%	2%	2%
Forsyth TCC	7796	0%	0%	0%	1%	11%	10%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	16%	28%	14%	16%
Gaston College	4450	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	8%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	20%	57%	1%	1%
Guilford TCC	7333	0%	0%	1%	1%	16%	12%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	19%	43%	2%	2%
Halifax CC	1684	0%	1%	0%	0%	11%	15%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	9%	22%	14%	26%
Haywood CC	1280	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	32%	62%	0%	1%
Isothermal CC	1851	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	33%	52%	3%	2%
James Sprunt CC	1575	0%	0%	0%	0%	15%	16%	0%	0%	5%	6%	0%	0%	17%	40%	0%	0%
Johnston CC	2968	0%	0%	0%	1%	9%	8%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	22%	47%	2%	2%
Lenoir CC	3941	0%	1%	0%	0%	17%	12%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	0%	23%	36%	1%	0%
Martin CC	723	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	9%	27%	44%
Mayland CC	1037	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	22%	67%	0%	1%
McDowell TCC	1494	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	25%	66%	0%	1%
Mitchell CC	2228	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	4%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	25%	44%	8%	9%
Montgomery CC	1043	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	0%	1%	3%	0%	0%	23%	52%	2%	4%
Nash CC	2851	0%	1%	0%	0%	14%	17%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	16%	47%	0%	1%
Pamlico CC	374	0%	1%	0%	1%	3%	13%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	28%	49%	0%	1%
Piedmont CC	1769	0%	1%	0%	0%	15%	22%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	16%	41%	1%	1%
Pitt CC	3586	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	12%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	18%	38%	6%	8%
Randolph CC	3544	0%	1%	0%	0%	4%	5%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	20%	45%	10%	11%
Richmond CC	2942	3%	3%	0%	0%	11%	13%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	33%	33%	0%	1%
Roanoke Chowan CC	1174	0%	1%	0%	0%	20%	21%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	20%	37%	0%	1%
Robeson CC	2743	15%	21%	0%	0%	10%	12%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	9%	29%	1%	1%
Rockingham CC	1987	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	26%	55%	2%	1%
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	5916	0%	0%	0%	1%	7%	8%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	21%	55%	1%	1%
Sampson CC	2019	1%	1%	0%	0%	11%	16%	0%	0%	6%	7%	0%	0%	16%	39%	1%	2%
Sandhills CC	2845	2%	2%	0%	0%	11%	9%	0%	0%	2%	3%	1%	0%	26%	39%	2%	3%
South Piedmont CC	2356	0%	0%	1%	1%	12%	16%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	21%	41%	1%	1%
Southeastern CC	3249	1%	3%	0%	0%	10%	17%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	13%	20%	15%	19%
Southwestern CC	2039	3%	3%	0%	0%	4%	7%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	24%	53%	1%	2%
Stanly CC	2775	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%	8%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	11%	27%	3%	45%
Surry CC	3592	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	31%	58%	1%	1%
Tri-County CC	710	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	45%	47%	0%	0%
Vance-Granville CC	3127	0%	1%	0%	0%	11%	16%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	13%	35%	7%	12%
Wake TCC	16637	0%	0%	2%	1%	13%	11%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	21%	37%	2%	1%
Wayne CC	2775	0%	1%	0%	1%	10%	15%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	14%	52%	0%	0%
Western Piedmont CC	2492	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	6%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	24%	61%	1%	1%
Wilkes CC	2958	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	28%	58%	1%	3%
Wilson CC	1631	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	15%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	14%	51%	3%	5%

# Demographic Distribution of Dually Enrolled High School Students

Distribution of fall 2017 dual enrollment students

See tables for notes about disaggregation



## High School Dual Enrollment Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Distribution of fall 2017 dual enrollment students

College	Total	American Indian		Asian		Black		Hawaiian/ Pacific Isl		Hispanic		Multiple		White		Unknown	
		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<b>State Total</b>	<b>45500</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Alamance CC	853	0%	0%	1%	1%	8%	7%	0%	0%	11%	6%	2%	2%	32%	27%	1%	1%
Asheville-Buncombe	1795	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	6%	4%	1%	1%	43%	35%	2%	2%
Beaufort County CC	636	0%	0%	0%	0%	14%	5%	0%	0%	9%	5%	1%	1%	32%	27%	3%	2%
Bladen CC	285	1%	1%	0%	0%	11%	14%	0%	0%	6%	11%	1%	0%	27%	24%	1%	2%
Blue Ridge CC	514	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	1%	1%	35%	40%	1%	3%
Brunswick CC	373	0%	0%	1%	2%	6%	2%	0%	0%	8%	5%	5%	1%	43%	25%	2%	1%
Caldwell CC and TI	1267	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	4%	3%	1%	1%	42%	41%	1%	1%
Cape Fear CC	1061	0%	0%	0%	1%	7%	2%	0%	0%	6%	3%	2%	0%	42%	30%	4%	2%
Carteret CC	335	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	0%	59%	28%	1%	1%
Catawba Valley CC	1290	0%	0%	5%	2%	3%	1%	0%	0%	7%	5%	1%	1%	38%	34%	2%	1%
Central Carolina CC	1668	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	0%	0%	14%	7%	2%	1%	35%	25%	1%	1%
Central Piedmont CC	1965	0%	0%	3%	3%	19%	8%	0%	0%	5%	3%	2%	1%	30%	24%	2%	1%
Cleveland CC	238	0%	0%	2%	0%	8%	3%	0%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	43%	33%	2%	3%
Coastal Carolina CC	244	1%	0%	5%	1%	10%	9%	0%	0%	9%	6%	2%	2%	30%	24%	0%	0%
Coll of the Albemarle	947	0%	0%	1%	1%	5%	2%	0%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	44%	29%	7%	4%
Craven CC	641	0%	0%	3%	1%	8%	3%	0%	0%	4%	3%	2%	1%	32%	29%	6%	6%
Davidson County CC	931	1%	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	7%	4%	1%	1%	46%	32%	1%	1%
Durham TCC	528	0%	0%	2%	2%	18%	8%	0%	0%	12%	7%	2%	1%	21%	19%	4%	4%
Edgecombe CC	354	0%	0%	0%	0%	27%	11%	0%	0%	5%	4%	0%	0%	27%	25%	0%	1%
Fayetteville TCC	1215	1%	1%	1%	1%	18%	10%	1%	0%	7%	5%	5%	3%	22%	19%	4%	3%
Forsyth TCC	885	0%	0%	2%	1%	6%	5%	0%	0%	7%	4%	2%	1%	38%	29%	2%	2%
Gaston College	1191	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	1%	32%	21%	19%	12%
Guilford TCC	785	0%	0%	5%	3%	24%	10%	0%	0%	8%	5%	0%	1%	22%	21%	0%	1%
Halifax CC	382	1%	0%	0%	0%	39%	18%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%	21%	15%	1%	0%
Haywood CC	360	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%	45%	47%	0%	0%
Isothermal CC	676	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	3%	4%	2%	1%	45%	30%	5%	5%
James Sprunt CC	466	0%	0%	0%	0%	13%	8%	0%	0%	22%	12%	1%	0%	24%	18%	0%	0%
Johnston CC	1328	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	0%	0%	12%	6%	2%	1%	39%	24%	4%	2%
Lenoir CC	901	0%	0%	1%	0%	13%	10%	0%	0%	12%	9%	1%	1%	29%	23%	0%	0%
Martin CC	539	0%	0%	0%	1%	11%	8%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	30%	23%	16%	9%
Mayland CC	518	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	3%	0%	0%	51%	39%	0%	0%
McDowell TCC	449	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	10%	5%	0%	0%	50%	31%	0%	0%
Mitchell CC	1101	1%	1%	2%	1%	6%	3%	0%	0%	7%	3%	2%	1%	45%	24%	1%	2%
Montgomery CC	317	0%	0%	2%	1%	12%	4%	0%	0%	18%	11%	2%	1%	33%	15%	1%	0%
Nash CC	736	1%	0%	2%	0%	16%	10%	0%	0%	4%	4%	0%	1%	30%	30%	0%	0%
Pamlico CC	89	0%	0%	1%	0%	9%	3%	0%	0%	6%	2%	0%	0%	51%	26%	1%	1%
Piedmont CC	435	1%	0%	0%	0%	15%	7%	0%	0%	3%	2%	0%	0%	37%	32%	1%	0%
Pitt CC	916	0%	0%	1%	0%	16%	9%	0%	0%	7%	5%	1%	1%	33%	25%	0%	1%
Randolph CC	984	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	0%	26%	18%	24%	20%
Richmond CC	820	1%	1%	1%	1%	6%	3%	0%	0%	5%	2%	2%	1%	16%	9%	30%	23%
Roanoke Chowan CC	328	1%	1%	1%	1%	36%	11%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	0%	16%	18%	7%	4%
Robeson CC	408	29%	13%	0%	0%	14%	3%	0%	0%	10%	6%	1%	3%	12%	7%	1%	1%
Rockingham CC	491	0%	0%	1%	0%	9%	5%	0%	0%	7%	5%	3%	1%	36%	30%	2%	2%
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	1360	0%	0%	2%	1%	9%	3%	0%	0%	12%	5%	1%	0%	38%	24%	2%	1%
Sampson CC	553	2%	0%	1%	0%	9%	3%	0%	0%	20%	13%	1%	0%	32%	18%	1%	0%
Sandhills CC	1418	2%	1%	1%	0%	10%	4%	0%	0%	8%	6%	3%	2%	32%	27%	2%	2%
South Piedmont CC	1325	0%	0%	1%	1%	9%	5%	0%	0%	8%	5%	2%	1%	31%	22%	10%	5%
Southeastern CC	484	2%	1%	0%	0%	12%	5%	0%	0%	4%	2%	3%	2%	39%	25%	3%	2%
Southwestern CC	844	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	7%	5%	1%	0%	40%	39%	0%	1%
Stanly CC	523	0%	0%	2%	3%	4%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	43%	26%	8%	4%
Surry CC	1189	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	16%	10%	0%	0%	39%	31%	0%	0%
Tri-County CC	464	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	0%	0%	54%	40%	0%	0%
Vance-Granville CC	1138	1%	1%	1%	1%	19%	9%	0%	0%	8%	4%	1%	1%	25%	19%	5%	4%
Wake TCC	1249	0%	0%	4%	2%	12%	5%	0%	0%	10%	5%	1%	0%	31%	26%	2%	1%
Wayne CC	811	0%	0%	2%	1%	13%	5%	0%	0%	10%	7%	2%	1%	34%	24%	0%	0%
Western Piedmont CC	313	1%	0%	5%	2%	4%	2%	0%	0%	3%	3%	0%	0%	51%	30%	0%	0%
Wilkes CC	933	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	8%	6%	1%	1%	33%	37%	6%	7%
Wilson CC	733	0%	0%	1%	1%	19%	8%	0%	0%	10%	7%	0%	0%	27%	18%	6%	3%



PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Dual Enrollment Rates of High School Students

Percentage of public high school students dually enrolled at a community college, 2017

See tables for notes about disaggregation

Note: Scale 0-25% to illuminate group differences



# High School Dual Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of public high school students dually enrolled at a community college, 2017

County	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>494836</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>6396</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>14123</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>130799</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>73257</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>251095</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-6%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>2.2</b>
Alamance	8120	11%	24	*	117	13%	1783	9%	1741	9%	4119	12%	9%	BL	-4%	13%	AS	1.5
Buncombe	10316	15%	30	*	153	25%	919	10%	1332	12%	7354	17%	10%	BL	-15%	25%	AS	2.5
Madison	855	46%	1	*	8	*	1	*	26	*	810	46%	46%	WH	-	46%	WH	-
Beaufort	2366	18%	3	*	6	*	795	9%	329	22%	1160	24%	9%	BL	-15%	24%	WH	2.7
Hyde	190	48%		*		*	36	*	29	*	120	53%	53%	WH	-	53%	WH	-
Tyrrell	183	62%		*	13	*	58	59%	23	*	71	76%	59%	BL	-17%	76%	WH	1.3
Washington	684	20%		*	3	*	388	15%	52	12%	222	31%	12%	HI	-20%	31%	WH	2.7
Bladen	1606	14%	26	*	7	*	643	8%	255	11%	609	23%	8%	BL	-15%	23%	WH	3.0
Henderson	4430	11%	8	*	54	26%	177	14%	857	9%	3156	11%	9%	HI	-17%	26%	AS	3.0
Transylvania	1220	12%	5	*	15	*	60	10%	61	2%	1007	13%	2%	HI	-11%	13%	WH	7.9
Brunswick	4386	9%	35	*	35	*	664	6%	481	8%	2995	10%	6%	BL	-4%	10%	WH	1.7
Caldwell	4084	24%	5	*	29	*	240	12%	394	24%	3274	23%	12%	BL	-12%	24%	HI	2.1
Watauga	1448	25%	1	*	17	*	13	*	90	22%	1278	26%	22%	HI	-3%	26%	WH	1.2
New Hanover	8758	7%	40	5%	175	7%	1788	4%	897	4%	5558	8%	4%	BL	-4%	8%	WH	2.1
Pender	3116	12%	14	*	15	*	479	6%	390	10%	2125	14%	6%	BL	-9%	14%	WH	2.6
Carteret	2885	9%	14	*	30	*	191	7%	202	8%	2280	10%	7%	BL	-3%	10%	WH	1.4
Alexander	1562	13%	2	*	26	*	67	12%	124	14%	1291	13%	12%	BL	-2%	14%	HI	1.1
Catawba	8060	13%	8	*	493	17%	760	7%	1338	10%	5050	14%	7%	BL	-10%	17%	AS	2.5
Chatham	3143	15%	6	*	36	*	395	13%	810	15%	1779	16%	13%	BL	-3%	16%	WH	1.3
Harnett	6655	7%	72	10%	44	9%	1838	4%	1210	6%	3087	10%	4%	BL	-6%	10%	AI	2.4
Lee	3184	26%	21	*	31	*	670	17%	1039	23%	1331	33%	17%	BL	-16%	33%	WH	1.9
Mecklenburg	49395	4%	204	5%	2571	4%	20537	3%	9590	2%	14997	6%	2%	HI	-5%	6%	WH	3.8
Cleveland	5015	18%	5	*	52	31%	1294	13%	231	22%	3214	19%	13%	BL	-18%	31%	AS	2.4
Onslow	7736	3%	43	5%	132	13%	1690	2%	887	3%	4414	4%	2%	BL	-11%	13%	AS	6.6
Camden	631	24%	0	*	9	*	78	17%	18	*	496	24%	17%	BL	-7%	24%	WH	1.4
Chowan	637	7%	1	*	6	*	291	1%	34	*	286	12%	1%	BL	-11%	12%	WH	11.5
Currituck	1371	24%	3	*	6	*	76	14%	63	27%	1105	25%	14%	BL	-13%	27%	HI	1.9
Dare	1548	9%	7	*	19	*	39	*	191	4%	1236	10%	4%	HI	-6%	10%	WH	2.7
Gates	548	13%	6	*	2	*	196	7%	7	*	320	15%	7%	BL	-8%	15%	WH	2.3
Pasquotank	1884	5%	11	*	20	*	829	3%	95	4%	849	8%	3%	BL	-5%	8%	WH	2.9
Perquimans	539	9%		*	2	*	158	4%	18	*	348	12%	4%	BL	-9%	12%	WH	3.3
Craven	4403	15%	13	*	195	13%	1274	7%	376	17%	2349	20%	7%	BL	-13%	20%	WH	2.7
Davidson	8214	9%	26	*	128	14%	892	5%	1033	6%	5881	10%	5%	BL	-9%	14%	AS	3.1
Davie	2009	13%	4	*	15	*	124	5%	248	21%	1529	12%	5%	BL	-16%	21%	HI	4.3
Durham	12954	2%	36	*	349	1%	6309	2%	3207	2%	2665	3%	1%	AS	-2%	3%	WH	2.2
Orange	6511	5%	25	*	596	2%	844	4%	1023	5%	3685	5%	2%	AS	-4%	5%	WH	3.6
Edgecombe	2275	18%	3	*	5	*	1264	13%	220	17%	737	28%	13%	BL	-15%	28%	WH	2.2
Cumberland	17063	7%	282	8%	314	13%	7912	4%	2085	7%	5110	10%	4%	BL	-9%	13%	AS	3.2
Forsyth	18297	3%	50	6%	426	6%	5297	2%	3989	2%	7788	4%	2%	HI	-5%	6%	AS	3.5
Stokes	2161	11%	2	*	7	*	68	6%	90	17%	1917	12%	6%	BL	-11%	17%	HI	2.8
Gaston	11825	6%	34	*	182	10%	2626	2%	1230	5%	7282	7%	2%	BL	-8%	10%	AS	4.6
Lincoln	4419	8%	4	*	36	*	269	4%	474	7%	3457	9%	4%	BL	-5%	9%	WH	2.2
Guilford	25540	3%	115	3%	1560	3%	10334	3%	3129	3%	9327	3%	3%	BL	-1%	3%	AI	1.2
Halifax	2208	15%	37	*	19	*	1323	13%	78	12%	702	19%	12%	HI	-8%	19%	WH	1.7
Northampton	882	20%	3	*		*	713	15%	28	*	115	49%	15%	BL	-33%	49%	WH	3.2
Haywood	2465	15%	26	*	17	*	31	*	155	12%	2172	15%	12%	HI	-3%	15%	WH	1.2
Polk	741	17%	4	*		*	44	16%	77	17%	587	18%	16%	BL	-2%	18%	WH	1.2
Rutherford	3287	18%	9	*	29	*	365	13%	195	22%	2498	19%	13%	BL	-9%	22%	HI	1.7
Duplin	3084	19%	21	*	9	*	724	17%	1202	16%	1063	23%	16%	HI	-7%	23%	WH	1.4
Johnston	11591	10%	51	8%	85	9%	1994	6%	2268	9%	6782	12%	6%	BL	-6%	12%	WH	2.0
Greene	1015	31%	1	*		*	393	21%	276	34%	334	39%	21%	BL	-18%	39%	WH	1.9
Jones	343	38%	1	*	1	*	148	30%	24	*	162	44%	30%	BL	-13%	44%	WH	1.4
Lenoir	2840	20%	3	*	20	*	1384	11%	321	18%	1042	34%	11%	BL	-23%	34%	WH	3.2

# High School Dual Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of public high school students dually enrolled at a community college, 2017

County	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>494836</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>6396</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>14123</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>130799</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>73257</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>251095</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-6%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>2.2</b>
Bertie	825	11%	6	*	2	*	662	9%	12	*	130	21%	9%	BL	-12%	21%	WH	2.3
Martin	1131	18%	0	*	6	*	494	11%	49	10%	555	25%	10%	HI	-14%	25%	WH	2.4
Avery	748	47%	2	*	5	*	22	*	56	38%	648	50%	38%	HI	-12%	50%	WH	1.3
Mitchell	1002	23%	2	*	6	*	241	0%	120	13%	612	35%	0%	BL	-34%	35%	WH	83.9
Yancey	732	33%	1	*	1	*	3	*	63	32%	651	33%	32%	HI	-1%	33%	WH	1.0
McDowell	2073	15%	10	*	26	*	60	3%	262	18%	1650	15%	3%	BL	-15%	18%	HI	5.5
Iredell	10245	8%	17	*	296	9%	1359	7%	1037	8%	7225	8%	7%	BL	-2%	9%	AS	1.3
Montgomery	1304	22%	4	*	23	*	293	14%	412	21%	542	29%	14%	BL	-15%	29%	WH	2.1
Nash	5384	13%	36	*	44	43%	2766	7%	557	8%	1811	23%	7%	BL	-36%	43%	AS	6.4
Pamlico	589	15%	2	*	3	*	109	13%	37	*	414	16%	13%	BL	-3%	16%	WH	1.2
Caswell	897	13%	4	*	3	*	353	9%	72	10%	436	17%	9%	BL	-8%	17%	WH	1.8
Person	1861	12%	10	*	6	*	567	8%	143	7%	1042	16%	7%	HI	-9%	16%	WH	2.3
Pitt	7733	13%	16	*	116	10%	3691	7%	798	13%	2904	20%	7%	BL	-13%	20%	WH	2.7
Randolph	7691	10%	38	*	110	8%	483	5%	1596	10%	5235	10%	5%	BL	-5%	10%	HI	2.1
Richmond	2390	12%	99	5%	21	*	914	7%	203	21%	1061	16%	5%	AI	-16%	21%	HI	4.1
Scotland	1814	8%	278	7%	21	*	828	5%	45	13%	559	10%	5%	BL	-9%	13%	HI	2.8
Hertford	894	31%	6	*	5	*	704	22%	30	*	133	77%	22%	BL	-55%	77%	WH	3.5
Robeson	7305	8%	3196	8%	45	9%	1763	5%	879	10%	1122	11%	5%	BL	-5%	11%	WH	2.0
Rockingham	4367	11%	23	*	29	*	842	9%	521	10%	2728	11%	9%	BL	-3%	11%	WH	1.3
Cabarrus	12729	6%	70	6%	309	8%	2948	4%	2051	6%	6849	7%	4%	BL	-5%	8%	AS	2.2
Rowan	6484	8%	14	*	74	23%	1256	3%	936	11%	4011	8%	3%	BL	-20%	23%	AS	6.9
Sampson	3777	15%	47	28%	11	*	971	10%	1131	15%	1481	20%	10%	BL	-18%	28%	AI	2.9
Hoke	2685	11%	290	8%	24	*	1147	10%	465	8%	601	18%	8%	AI	-10%	18%	WH	2.3
Moore	4335	20%	46	24%	49	18%	745	14%	473	23%	2873	22%	14%	BL	-10%	24%	AI	1.7
Anson	1103	26%	3	*	21	*	638	18%	43	21%	363	42%	18%	BL	-23%	42%	WH	2.3
Union	14882	6%	37	*	386	5%	1984	3%	2163	8%	9962	7%	3%	BL	-5%	8%	HI	2.8
Columbus	2982	14%	134	13%	4	*	979	7%	236	15%	1524	19%	7%	BL	-11%	19%	WH	2.5
Jackson	1298	17%	91	11%	16	*	23	*	131	14%	1004	18%	11%	AI	-7%	18%	WH	1.6
Macon	1438	32%	8	*	13	*	11	*	217	33%	1161	32%	32%	WH	-1%	33%	HI	1.0
Swain	639	19%	140	16%	2	*	7	*	23	*	436	20%	16%	AI	-4%	20%	WH	1.2
Stanly	3153	8%	8	*	117	22%	340	6%	225	7%	2338	8%	6%	BL	-16%	22%	AS	3.8
Surry	3845	20%	3	*	20	*	123	11%	762	24%	2820	19%	11%	BL	-13%	24%	HI	2.1
Yadkin	1805	16%	5	*	7	*	58	10%	395	23%	1302	15%	10%	BL	-13%	23%	HI	2.2
Cherokee	1218	26%	31	*	5	*	21	*	51	24%	1078	28%	24%	HI	-4%	28%	WH	1.2
Clay	410	24%	1	*	2	*	6	*	22	*	370	25%	25%	WH	-	25%	WH	-
Graham	395	29%	57	9%		*	1	*	14	*	318	34%	9%	AI	-25%	34%	WH	3.9
Franklin	2894	9%	14	*	17	*	889	6%	446	8%	1434	10%	6%	BL	-4%	10%	WH	1.7
Granville	3083	8%	3	*	17	*	953	8%	383	10%	1603	8%	8%	BL	-3%	10%	HI	1.3
Vance	2170	12%	3	*	17	*	1317	8%	297	11%	476	23%	8%	BL	-15%	23%	WH	3.0
Warren	776	18%	90	13%	2	*	492	15%	53	40%	118	28%	13%	AI	-26%	40%	HI	3.0
Wake	53961	2%	166	2%	3713	2%	13744	2%	8415	2%	25764	2%	2%	AS	-1%	2%	WH	1.5
Wayne	6103	15%	13	*	99	29%	2194	8%	1157	14%	2417	21%	8%	BL	-22%	29%	AS	3.8
Burke	4229	10%	11	*	247	12%	237	9%	491	4%	3066	11%	4%	HI	-8%	12%	AS	3.0
Alleghany	466	16%	3	*	1	*	5	*	88	13%	364	17%	13%	HI	-5%	17%	WH	1.4
Ashe	968	15%		*	4	*	4	*	74	18%	874	14%	14%	WH	-3%	18%	HI	1.2
Wilkes	3217	22%	2	*	12	*	137	7%	422	21%	2550	23%	7%	BL	-16%	23%	WH	3.5
Wilson	4124	16%	11	*	47	23%	1930	9%	659	15%	1355	27%	9%	BL	-18%	27%	WH	2.9

\* Less than 40

Note: Disaggregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Margin of error increases with smaller counts

# High School Dual Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of public high school students dually enrolled at a community college, 2017

County	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>64018</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>66781</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>35667</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>37590</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>122179</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>128916</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-10%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>4.0</b>
Alamance	825	12%	958	6%	871	12%	870	7%	1957	15%	2162	9%	6%	BM	-9%	15%	WF	2.4
Buncombe	457	13%	462	8%	639	13%	693	11%	3560	19%	3794	15%	8%	BM	-12%	19%	WF	2.5
Madison	*	*	1	*	8	*	18	*	376	47%	434	45%	45%	WM	-2%	47%	WF	1.1
Beaufort	407	12%	388	6%	165	29%	164	15%	563	26%	597	23%	6%	BM	-23%	29%	HF	5.1
Hyde	17	*	19	*	17	*	12	*	49	57%	71	51%	51%	WM	-6%	57%	WF	1.1
Tyrrell	34	*	24	*	12	*	11	*	31	*	40	75%	75%	WM	-	75%	WM	-
Washington	188	17%	200	14%	26	*	26	*	106	33%	116	29%	14%	BM	-19%	33%	WF	2.4
Bladen	307	10%	336	5%	120	12%	135	10%	281	28%	328	18%	5%	BM	-22%	28%	WF	5.2
Henderson	82	15%	95	13%	418	11%	439	6%	1552	11%	1604	11%	6%	HM	-8%	15%	BF	2.3
Transylvania	28	*	32	*	24	*	37	*	485	12%	522	14%	12%	WF	-2%	14%	WM	1.2
Brunswick	325	8%	339	3%	217	9%	264	7%	1456	11%	1539	9%	3%	BM	-8%	11%	WF	3.7
Caldwell	127	17%	113	6%	176	31%	218	18%	1596	24%	1678	23%	6%	BM	-25%	31%	HF	5.0
Watauga	5	*	8	*	47	21%	43	23%	633	21%	645	30%	21%	WF	-9%	30%	WM	1.4
New Hanover	894	6%	894	1%	449	6%	448	2%	2725	10%	2833	6%	1%	BM	-8%	10%	WF	7.8
Pender	248	6%	231	6%	177	11%	213	8%	1013	18%	1112	11%	6%	BM	-12%	18%	WF	3.2
Carteret	94	9%	97	6%	100	13%	102	3%	1113	15%	1167	6%	3%	HM	-12%	15%	WF	5.0
Alexander	30	*	37	*	53	25%	71	6%	630	14%	661	11%	6%	HM	-19%	25%	HF	4.4
Catawba	365	10%	395	4%	642	13%	696	8%	2455	16%	2595	13%	4%	BM	-12%	16%	WF	4.2
Chatham	209	17%	186	8%	392	18%	418	12%	920	17%	859	15%	8%	BM	-10%	18%	HF	2.2
Harnett	890	7%	948	2%	582	8%	628	3%	1503	14%	1584	6%	2%	BM	-12%	14%	WF	8.6
Lee	345	25%	325	10%	530	30%	509	16%	630	38%	701	29%	10%	BM	-29%	38%	WF	4.0
Mecklenburg	10110	4%	10427	2%	4643	2%	4947	1%	7562	8%	7435	5%	1%	HM	-6%	8%	WF	6.2
Cleveland	611	18%	683	9%	106	24%	125	20%	1507	21%	1707	18%	9%	BM	-15%	24%	HF	2.7
Onslow	831	3%	859	1%	418	3%	469	2%	2160	5%	2254	2%	1%	BM	-4%	5%	WF	5.3
Camden	36	*	42	17%	9	*	9	*	242	32%	254	16%	16%	WM	-16%	32%	WF	2.0
Chowan	147	1%	144	1%	15	*	19	*	139	16%	147	8%	1%	BM	-15%	16%	WF	22.8
Currituck	32	*	44	7%	35	*	28	*	550	32%	555	19%	7%	BM	-25%	32%	WF	4.7
Dare	18	*	21	*	89	4%	102	3%	613	13%	623	7%	3%	HM	-10%	13%	WF	4.4
Gates	96	9%	100	4%	3	*	4	*	152	16%	168	14%	4%	BM	-12%	16%	WF	4.1
Pasquotank	403	4%	426	1%	52	8%	43	0%	430	9%	419	7%	0%	HM	-9%	9%	WF	99.9
Perquimans	78	5%	80	3%	11	*	7	*	156	15%	192	10%	3%	BM	-13%	15%	WF	6.2
Craven	628	11%	646	4%	193	21%	183	13%	1166	23%	1183	17%	4%	BM	-19%	23%	WF	5.6
Davidson	451	6%	441	3%	514	7%	519	4%	2849	13%	3032	8%	3%	BM	-10%	13%	WF	4.0
Davie	55	7%	69	3%	114	29%	134	13%	724	15%	805	9%	3%	BM	-26%	29%	HF	10.0
Durham	3109	2%	3200	1%	1558	3%	1649	2%	1245	4%	1420	2%	1%	BM	-3%	4%	WF	4.1
Orange	381	5%	463	3%	486	7%	537	3%	1802	6%	1883	5%	3%	HM	-4%	7%	HF	2.4
Edgecombe	610	17%	654	9%	108	19%	112	15%	354	31%	383	26%	9%	BM	-21%	31%	WF	3.3
Cumberland	3875	5%	4037	3%	1044	9%	1041	6%	2497	12%	2613	8%	3%	BM	-9%	12%	WF	4.2
Forsyth	2549	2%	2748	2%	1974	2%	2015	1%	3849	5%	3939	3%	1%	HM	-3%	5%	WF	3.4
Stokes	26	*	42	2%	46	15%	44	18%	932	14%	985	10%	2%	BM	-16%	18%	HM	7.6
Gaston	1251	4%	1375	1%	631	8%	599	3%	3566	9%	3716	5%	1%	BM	-8%	9%	WF	9.0
Lincoln	134	4%	135	4%	236	6%	238	7%	1615	11%	1842	8%	4%	BM	-7%	11%	WF	2.9
Guilford	5124	4%	5210	2%	1536	4%	1593	3%	4476	4%	4851	3%	2%	BM	-2%	4%	HF	2.0
Halifax	658	18%	665	8%	47	15%	31	*	362	25%	340	13%	8%	BM	-17%	25%	WF	3.2
Northampton	336	20%	377	11%	15	*	13	*	60	62%	55	35%	11%	BM	-51%	62%	WF	5.7
Haywood	15	*	16	*	78	18%	77	6%	1018	16%	1154	14%	6%	HM	-11%	18%	HF	2.8
Polk	18	*	26	*	37	*	40	18%	281	25%	306	12%	12%	WM	-12%	25%	WF	2.0
Rutherford	152	18%	213	9%	94	23%	101	21%	1241	23%	1257	15%	9%	BM	-14%	23%	HF	2.5
Duplin	358	23%	366	11%	560	22%	642	11%	493	28%	570	19%	11%	BM	-17%	28%	WF	2.6
Johnston	972	8%	1022	4%	1101	14%	1167	5%	3319	15%	3463	9%	4%	BM	-12%	15%	WF	4.2
Greene	206	24%	187	17%	143	38%	133	30%	151	48%	183	32%	17%	BM	-31%	48%	WF	2.8
Jones	73	40%	75	21%	16	*	8	*	81	48%	81	40%	21%	BM	-27%	48%	WF	2.3
Lenoir	679	14%	705	8%	152	18%	169	18%	521	40%	521	28%	8%	BM	-32%	40%	WF	4.9



# High School Dual Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of public high school students dually enrolled at a community college, 2017

County	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>64018</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>66781</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>35667</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>37590</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>122179</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>128916</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-10%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>4.0</b>
Bertie	315	12%	347	6%	8	*	4	*	63	21%	67	21%	6%	BM	-15%	21%	WM	3.3
Martin	262	16%	232	6%	17	*	32	*	278	33%	277	17%	6%	BM	-27%	33%	WF	5.4
Avery	15	*	7	*	36	*	20	*	341	52%	307	47%	47%	WM	-5%	52%	WF	1.1
Mitchell	110	0%	131	1%	59	14%	61	13%	306	36%	306	34%	0%	BF	-36%	36%	WF	99.9
Yancey		*	3	*	27	*	36	*	307	39%	344	28%	28%	WM	-11%	39%	WF	1.4
McDowell	26	*	34	*	128	24%	134	13%	775	19%	875	11%	11%	WM	-14%	24%	HF	2.3
Iredell	661	10%	698	4%	471	12%	566	5%	3531	10%	3694	6%	4%	BM	-8%	12%	HF	2.9
Montgomery	125	18%	168	10%	192	30%	220	13%	259	41%	283	17%	10%	BM	-31%	41%	WF	4.0
Nash	1342	8%	1424	5%	271	6%	286	9%	849	23%	962	24%	5%	BM	-18%	24%	WM	4.4
Pamlico	55	20%	54	6%	23	*	14	*	199	15%	215	17%	6%	BM	-14%	20%	BF	3.6
Caswell	160	14%	193	5%	30	*	42	7%	189	19%	247	16%	5%	BM	-14%	19%	WF	3.7
Person	285	11%	282	5%	62	6%	81	7%	490	17%	552	14%	5%	BM	-12%	17%	WF	3.2
Pitt	1819	10%	1872	5%	388	17%	410	10%	1382	23%	1522	18%	5%	BM	-18%	23%	WF	4.8
Randolph	210	10%	273	1%	777	14%	819	6%	2497	13%	2738	7%	1%	BM	-13%	14%	HF	9.8
Richmond	446	10%	468	4%	97	29%	106	13%	525	19%	536	13%	4%	BM	-25%	29%	HF	6.8
Scotland	376	6%	452	4%	19	*	26	*	260	15%	299	6%	4%	BM	-11%	15%	WF	4.0
Hertford	367	32%	337	12%	15	*	15	*	56	89%	77	68%	12%	BM	-78%	89%	WF	7.7
Robeson	860	9%	903	2%	435	12%	444	9%	532	14%	590	8%	2%	BM	-12%	14%	WF	7.1
Rockingham	410	12%	432	6%	251	12%	270	7%	1319	13%	1409	10%	6%	BM	-7%	13%	WF	2.3
Cabarrus	1444	5%	1504	2%	1018	9%	1033	4%	3335	8%	3514	5%	2%	BM	-6%	9%	HF	3.5
Rowan	560	5%	696	2%	456	15%	480	6%	1991	10%	2020	6%	2%	BM	-14%	15%	HF	8.9
Sampson	449	15%	522	5%	548	22%	583	9%	723	26%	758	14%	5%	BM	-21%	26%	WF	5.1
Hoke	558	15%	589	5%	228	9%	237	7%	292	24%	309	11%	5%	BM	-19%	24%	WF	4.8
Moore	361	20%	384	9%	230	28%	243	17%	1394	26%	1479	17%	9%	BM	-20%	28%	HF	3.3
Anson	315	22%	323	15%	18	*	25	*	179	56%	184	27%	15%	BM	-42%	56%	WF	3.8
Union	952	5%	1032	1%	1012	11%	1151	6%	4829	8%	5133	6%	1%	BM	-10%	11%	HF	10.0
Columbus	504	11%	475	4%	122	20%	114	10%	770	23%	754	14%	4%	BM	-20%	23%	WF	6.2
Jackson	8	*	15	*	56	16%	75	12%	493	20%	511	16%	12%	HM	-8%	20%	WF	1.7
Macon	3	*	8	*	106	28%	111	37%	562	28%	599	36%	28%	WF	-9%	37%	HM	1.3
Swain	4	*	3	*	11	*	12	*	196	18%	240	23%	18%	WF	-5%	23%	WM	1.3
Stanly	175	9%	165	2%	114	10%	111	5%	1141	9%	1197	7%	2%	BM	-7%	10%	HF	4.0
Surry	58	22%	65	2%	376	31%	386	17%	1393	20%	1427	18%	2%	BM	-29%	31%	HF	19.9
Yadkin	26	*	32	*	197	30%	198	16%	614	16%	688	13%	13%	WM	-18%	30%	HF	2.4
Cherokee	10	*	11	*	36	*	15	*	515	31%	563	25%	25%	WM	-7%	31%	WF	1.3
Clay	3	*	3	*	10	*	12	*	172	30%	198	20%	20%	WM	-9%	30%	WF	1.5
Graham		*	1	*	5	*	9	*	148	36%	170	32%	32%	WM	-5%	36%	WF	1.1
Franklin	441	8%	448	4%	215	11%	231	6%	702	12%	732	8%	4%	BM	-9%	12%	WF	3.5
Granville	454	11%	499	5%	186	17%	197	4%	767	11%	836	6%	4%	HM	-13%	17%	HF	4.2
Vance	674	10%	643	5%	158	13%	139	10%	208	29%	268	19%	5%	BM	-23%	29%	WF	5.3
Warren	237	22%	255	8%	19	*	34	*	65	31%	53	25%	8%	BM	-23%	31%	WF	3.9
Wake	6800	3%	6944	1%	4124	2%	4291	1%	12689	3%	13075	2%	1%	BM	-2%	3%	WF	3.6
Wayne	1062	10%	1132	5%	554	18%	603	10%	1121	26%	1296	17%	5%	BM	-21%	26%	WF	5.0
Burke	106	15%	131	5%	217	5%	274	3%	1459	14%	1607	9%	3%	HM	-12%	15%	BF	4.6
Alleghany	1	*	4	*	41	15%	47	11%	176	18%	188	16%	11%	HM	-8%	18%	WF	1.7
Ashe	2	*	2	*	35	*	39	*	450	15%	424	14%	14%	WM	-1%	15%	WF	1.0
Wilkes	77	8%	60	5%	218	23%	204	19%	1212	20%	1338	26%	5%	BM	-21%	26%	WM	5.1
Wilson	991	13%	939	6%	322	19%	337	10%	678	35%	677	19%	6%	BM	-29%	35%	WF	6.0

\* Less than 40

Note: Disaggregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Margin of error increases with smaller counts

Note: Ratio = 99.9 indicates lowest represented group has zero students



PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates

Percentage of public high school graduates enrolled at a community college fall after graduation, 2017

See tables for notes about disaggregation

Note: Scale 0-50% to illuminate group differences



### Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of public high school graduates enrolled at a community college fall after graduation, 2017

County	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b> <sup>SA</sup>	<b>104113</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>1427</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>3040</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>27336</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>13018</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>55591</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-10%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>AI</b>	<b>1.5</b>
Alamance <sup>1</sup>	1682	26%	6	*	24	*	362	19%	291	27%	939	27%	19%	BL	-8%	27%	HI	1.4
Buncombe <sup>2</sup>	2172	20%	12	*	35	*	195	15%	241	26%	1584	20%	15%	BL	-11%	26%	HI	1.8
Madison <sup>2</sup>	180	17%		*		*		*	6	*	172	15%	15%	WH	-	15%	WH	-
Beaufort <sup>3</sup>	463	35%	1	*	1	*	154	28%	63	38%	230	38%	28%	BL	-10%	38%	HI	1.4
Hyde <sup>3</sup>	39	18%		*		*	7	*	6	*	24	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tyrrell <sup>3</sup>	34	24%		*	2	*	10	*	4	*	13	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington <sup>3</sup>	131	17%		*	1	*	81	16%	9	*	37	*	16%	BL	-	16%	BL	-
Bladen <sup>4</sup>	338	34%	6	*	2	*	131	22%	47	32%	136	38%	22%	BL	-15%	38%	WH	1.7
Henderson <sup>5</sup>	973	29%	1	*	11	*	40	25%	176	27%	707	30%	25%	BL	-5%	30%	WH	1.2
Transylvania <sup>5</sup>	240	38%	1	*	3	*	14	*	13	*	194	34%	34%	WH	-	34%	WH	-
Brunswick <sup>6</sup>	896	31%	9	*	8	*	160	24%	88	31%	606	33%	24%	BL	-9%	33%	WH	1.4
Caldwell <sup>7</sup>	925	28%	2	*	9	*	71	20%	82	38%	732	28%	20%	BL	-18%	38%	HI	1.9
Watauga <sup>7</sup>	319	21%	1	*	2	*	2	*	20	*	283	20%	20%	WH	-	20%	WH	-
New Hanover <sup>8</sup>	1817	28%	6	*	34	*	354	21%	150	33%	1220	29%	21%	BL	-12%	33%	HI	1.6
Pender <sup>8</sup>	611	32%	3	*	3	*	92	22%	65	35%	437	34%	22%	BL	-14%	35%	HI	1.6
Carteret <sup>9</sup>	584	28%	3	*	5	*	46	24%	39	*	462	29%	24%	BL	-5%	29%	WH	1.2
Alexander <sup>10</sup>	338	28%		*	5	*	20	*	20	*	283	30%	30%	WH	-	30%	WH	-
Catawba <sup>10</sup>	1819	29%	3	*	118	42%	153	28%	251	29%	1204	29%	28%	BL	-14%	42%	AS	1.5
Chatham <sup>11</sup>	670	24%	2	*	6	*	86	14%	139	29%	411	26%	14%	BL	-15%	29%	HI	2.1
Harnett <sup>11</sup>	1286	20%	15	*	4	*	389	14%	198	21%	615	24%	14%	BL	-10%	24%	WH	1.7
Lee <sup>11</sup>	744	41%	3	*	12	*	161	32%	199	35%	351	45%	32%	BL	-13%	45%	WH	1.4
Mecklenburg <sup>12</sup>	9939	21%	52	21%	567	27%	4169	18%	1575	25%	3299	21%	18%	BL	-8%	27%	AS	1.5
Cleveland <sup>13</sup>	1115	25%	1	*	12	*	288	14%	32	*	739	27%	14%	BL	-13%	27%	WH	1.9
Onslow <sup>14</sup>	1729	28%	14	*	27	*	375	20%	168	38%	1015	30%	20%	BL	-18%	38%	HI	1.9
Camden <sup>15</sup>	134	20%		*	0	*	19	*	2	*	106	22%	22%	WH	-	22%	WH	-
Chowan <sup>15</sup>	132	29%		*	2	*	58	22%	5	*	62	35%	22%	BL	-13%	35%	WH	1.6
Currituck <sup>15</sup>	309	15%		*	3	*	20	*	11	*	246	15%	15%	WH	-	15%	WH	-
Dare <sup>15</sup>	344	21%	1	*	4	*	8	*	26	*	298	19%	19%	WH	-	19%	WH	-
Gates <sup>15</sup>	110	22%	1	*		*	38	*	1	*	67	19%	19%	WH	-	19%	WH	-
Pasquotank <sup>15</sup>	390	24%	1	*	2	*	190	18%	11	*	172	29%	18%	BL	-11%	29%	WH	1.6
Perquimans <sup>15</sup>	132	18%		*	1	*	38	*	3	*	85	22%	22%	WH	-	22%	WH	-
Craven <sup>16</sup>	936	31%	4	*	37	*	245	23%	61	28%	545	33%	23%	BL	-10%	33%	WH	1.4
Davidson <sup>17</sup>	1650	22%	3	*	26	*	157	15%	172	20%	1257	23%	15%	BL	-8%	23%	WH	1.5
Davie <sup>17</sup>	438	26%		*	5	*	20	*	49	22%	340	28%	22%	HI	-5%	28%	WH	1.2
Durham <sup>18</sup>	2576	16%	12	*	78	19%	1303	13%	528	23%	585	16%	13%	BL	-9%	23%	HI	1.7
Orange <sup>18</sup>	1392	13%	2	*	130	12%	185	11%	176	25%	838	11%	11%	BL	-14%	25%	HI	2.3
Edgecombe <sup>19</sup>	437	35%	1	*	1	*	233	25%	43	30%	145	52%	25%	BL	-27%	52%	WH	2.1
Cumberland <sup>20</sup>	3571	22%	48	31%	83	28%	1612	19%	422	27%	1146	25%	19%	BL	-12%	31%	AI	1.6
Forsyth <sup>21</sup>	3840	22%	12	*	87	14%	1139	17%	721	25%	1735	23%	14%	AS	-11%	25%	HI	1.8
Stokes <sup>21</sup>	494	25%		*	4	*	21	*	19	*	432	26%	26%	WH	-	26%	WH	-
Gaston <sup>22</sup>	2466	18%	5	*	39	*	558	10%	204	22%	1568	19%	10%	BL	-12%	22%	HI	2.2
Lincoln <sup>22</sup>	987	21%	1	*	14	*	66	12%	116	23%	751	23%	12%	BL	-11%	23%	HI	1.9
Guilford <sup>23</sup>	5559	16%	30	*	386	20%	2265	14%	558	21%	2090	16%	14%	BL	-7%	21%	HI	1.5
Halifax <sup>24</sup>	428	25%	10	*	2	*	254	19%	13	*	142	35%	19%	BL	-17%	35%	WH	1.9
Northampton <sup>24</sup>	161	18%		*		*	130	10%	5	*	23	*	10%	BL	-	10%	BL	-
Haywood <sup>25</sup>	544	29%	10	*	4	*	7	*	30	*	484	30%	30%	WH	-	30%	WH	-
Polk <sup>26</sup>	168	23%	1	*	0	*	15	*	13	*	133	23%	23%	WH	-	23%	WH	-
Rutherford <sup>26</sup>	717	31%	3	*	6	*	79	29%	35	*	550	30%	29%	BL	-1%	30%	WH	1.0
Duplin <sup>27</sup>	562	41%	5	*	3	*	125	38%	195	40%	225	44%	38%	BL	-5%	44%	WH	1.1
Johnston <sup>28</sup>	2535	22%	6	*	14	*	438	15%	431	25%	1571	24%	15%	BL	-10%	25%	HI	1.7
Greene <sup>29</sup>	249	27%		*		*	108	18%	67	19%	70	46%	18%	BL	-28%	46%	WH	2.6
Jones <sup>29</sup>	78	41%		*		*	40	15%	5	*	32	*	15%	BL	-	15%	BL	-
Lenoir <sup>29</sup>	617	38%		*	3	*	292	25%	62	37%	247	53%	25%	BL	-28%	53%	WH	2.1

### Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of public high school graduates enrolled at a community college fall after graduation, 2017

County	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Access Gap						
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio	
<b>State Total</b>	<b>5A</b> 104113	<b>24%</b>	<b>1427</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>3040</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>27336</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>13018</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>55591</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-10%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>AI</b>	<b>1.5</b>	
Bertie	30	171	21%	3	*	1	*	141	13%	3	*	20	*	13%	BL	-	13%	BL	-
Martin	30	264	37%		*	2	*	117	35%	4	*	133	39%	35%	BL	-4%	39%	WH	1.1
Avery	31	149	35%		*	2	*	1	*	14	*	130	37%	37%	WH	-	37%	WH	-
Mitchell	31	142	27%		*		*	4	*	13	*	123	28%	28%	WH	-	28%	WH	-
Yancey	31	142	30%	1	*	1	*	0	*	7	*	130	30%	30%	WH	-	30%	WH	-
McDowell	32	449	19%	3	*	6	*	24	*	54	15%	349	19%	15%	HI	-4%	19%	WH	1.3
Iredell	33	2136	20%	4	*	47	17%	285	15%	188	30%	1572	20%	15%	BL	-15%	30%	HI	2.0
Montgomery	34	315	38%	2	*	4	*	75	31%	89	38%	137	45%	31%	BL	-15%	45%	WH	1.5
Nash	35	1168	28%	7	*	6	*	581	18%	107	31%	431	38%	18%	BL	-20%	38%	WH	2.1
Pamlico	36	146	28%		*	1	*	24	*	7	*	109	28%	28%	WH	-	28%	WH	-
Caswell	37	169	23%		*		*	72	19%	10	*	85	26%	19%	BL	-6%	26%	WH	1.3
Person	37	403	33%	1	*	1	*	109	21%	26	*	244	39%	21%	BL	-17%	39%	WH	1.8
Pitt	38	1625	39%	3	*	26	*	805	34%	166	45%	584	43%	34%	BL	-11%	45%	HI	1.3
Randolph	39	1682	21%	6	*	19	*	102	22%	348	15%	1158	23%	15%	HI	-8%	23%	WH	1.5
Richmond	40	489	21%	20	*	7	*	214	17%	38	*	199	25%	17%	BL	-8%	25%	WH	1.5
Scotland	40	401	13%	64	11%	5	*	189	8%	7	*	118	22%	8%	BL	-14%	22%	WH	2.8
Hertford	41	179	28%		*	1	*	147	17%	7	*	20	*	17%	BL	-	17%	BL	-
Robeson	42	1586	23%	730	27%	14	*	395	15%	151	22%	236	25%	15%	BL	-11%	27%	AI	1.7
Rockingham	43	942	28%	4	*	6	*	217	24%	88	16%	585	32%	16%	HI	-16%	32%	WH	2.0
Cabarrus	44	2674	22%	10	*	62	19%	597	19%	372	28%	1543	22%	19%	BL	-9%	28%	HI	1.5
Rowan	44	1290	23%	3	*	16	*	249	14%	161	24%	839	24%	14%	BL	-9%	24%	WH	1.6
Sampson	45	741	27%	6	*	3	*	194	20%	212	28%	303	33%	20%	BL	-13%	33%	WH	1.7
Hoke	46	519	20%	61	23%	3	*	223	13%	76	25%	128	24%	13%	BL	-12%	25%	HI	1.9
Moore	46	994	38%	9	*	13	*	182	35%	84	42%	681	37%	35%	BL	-7%	42%	HI	1.2
Anson	47	191	20%	1	*	4	*	117	14%	9	*	56	32%	14%	BL	-18%	32%	WH	2.4
Union	47	3150	20%	5	*	67	15%	400	15%	404	31%	2213	18%	15%	BL	-16%	31%	HI	2.1
Columbus	48	634	36%	38	*	2	*	212	21%	49	39%	316	45%	21%	BL	-24%	45%	WH	2.1
Jackson	49	298	19%	25	*	3	*	3	*	35	*	225	19%	19%	WH	-	19%	WH	-
Macon	49	327	25%	1	*	3	*	4	*	37	*	279	27%	27%	WH	-	27%	WH	-
Swain	49	133	29%	35	*		*		*	3	*	90	31%	31%	WH	-	31%	WH	-
Stanly	50	699	27%	2	*	30	*	63	21%	38	*	543	27%	21%	BL	-7%	27%	WH	1.3
Surry	51	808	40%		*	3	*	17	*	144	44%	629	40%	40%	WH	-5%	44%	HI	1.1
Yadkin	51	400	34%	1	*	2	*	11	*	75	31%	304	34%	31%	HI	-4%	34%	WH	1.1
Cherokee	52	270	32%	7	*	1	*		*	11	*	245	33%	33%	WH	-	33%	WH	-
Clay	52	71	37%		*		*		*	4	*	66	39%	39%	WH	-	39%	WH	-
Graham	52	100	24%	12	*		*		*	3	*	84	24%	24%	WH	-	24%	WH	-
Franklin	53	584	25%		*	3	*	180	18%	84	20%	299	30%	18%	BL	-13%	30%	WH	1.7
Granville	53	697	24%		*	6	*	210	14%	65	26%	386	28%	14%	BL	-14%	28%	WH	2.0
Vance	53	456	23%	1	*	4	*	293	17%	52	19%	95	42%	17%	BL	-25%	42%	WH	2.5
Warren	53	152	20%	24	*		*	98	15%	9	*	18	*	15%	BL	-	15%	BL	-
Wake	54	11309	22%	34	*	749	19%	2819	18%	1397	30%	5882	23%	18%	BL	-12%	30%	HI	1.7
Wayne	55	1303	31%	1	*	24	*	445	21%	199	28%	576	40%	21%	BL	-19%	40%	WH	1.9
Burke	56	907	30%	2	*	60	43%	56	45%	95	29%	644	30%	29%	HI	-15%	45%	BL	1.5
Alleghany	57	103	42%		*		*		*	16	*	86	42%	42%	WH	-	42%	WH	-
Ashe	57	227	33%		*		*	2	*	18	*	203	34%	34%	WH	-	34%	WH	-
Wilkes	57	673	36%	1	*	2	*	25	*	80	40%	545	35%	35%	WH	-5%	40%	HI	1.1
Wilson	58	845	29%	3	*	6	*	411	22%	93	44%	312	35%	22%	BL	-22%	44%	HI	2.0

\* Less than 40

Note: Disaggregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Margin of error increases with smaller counts

### Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of public high school graduates enrolled at a community college fall after graduation, 2017

County	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>14062</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>13274</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>6648</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>6370</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>27532</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>28059</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>HF</b>	<b>1.8</b>
Alamance	183	22%	179	16%	166	36%	125	16%	474	27%	465	26%	16%	BM	-21%	36%	HF	2.3
Buncombe	101	12%	94	18%	116	23%	125	29%	814	21%	770	20%	12%	BF	-17%	29%	HM	2.4
Madison		*		*	3	*	3	*	80	18%	92	12%	12%	WM	-6%	18%	WF	1.5
Beaufort	85	32%	69	23%	40	40%	23	*	105	44%	125	33%	23%	BM	-21%	44%	WF	1.9
Hyde	2	*	5	*	5	*	1	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tyrrell	8	*	2	*	1	*	3	*	3	*	10	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	40	20%	41	12%	2	*	7	*	20	*	17	*	12%	BM	-8%	20%	BF	1.6
Bladen	63	21%	68	24%	22	*	25	*	62	39%	74	36%	21%	BF	-18%	39%	WF	1.9
Henderson	17	*	23	*	91	24%	85	29%	348	28%	359	32%	24%	HF	-8%	32%	WM	1.3
Transylvania	9	*	5	*	6	*	7	*	91	33%	103	34%	33%	WF	-1%	34%	WM	1.0
Brunswick	85	26%	75	21%	41	32%	47	30%	313	39%	293	27%	21%	BM	-17%	39%	WF	1.8
Caldwell	37	*	34	*	38	*	44	41%	333	32%	399	24%	24%	WM	-17%	41%	HM	1.7
Watauga	1	*	1	*	11	*	9	*	141	23%	142	18%	18%	WM	-4%	23%	WF	1.2
New Hanover	174	22%	180	19%	78	42%	72	22%	609	31%	611	26%	19%	BM	-23%	42%	HF	2.2
Pender	54	22%	38	*	31	*	34	*	217	37%	220	31%	22%	BF	-15%	37%	WF	1.7
Carteret	24	*	22	*	20	*	19	*	243	29%	219	29%	29%	WM	0%	29%	WF	1.0
Alexander	11	*	9	*	10	*	10	*	134	37%	149	24%	24%	WM	-13%	37%	WF	1.5
Catawba	84	29%	69	28%	120	30%	131	28%	592	32%	612	26%	26%	WM	-5%	32%	WF	1.2
Chatham	49	10%	37	*	63	38%	76	21%	212	25%	199	26%	10%	BF	-28%	38%	HF	3.7
Harnett	205	14%	184	14%	113	21%	85	20%	326	24%	289	23%	14%	BM	-11%	24%	WF	1.8
Lee	93	39%	68	22%	104	39%	95	31%	171	49%	180	41%	22%	BM	-27%	49%	WF	2.2
Mecklenburg	2246	19%	1923	17%	786	28%	789	22%	1666	22%	1633	20%	17%	BM	-11%	28%	HF	1.6
Cleveland	129	13%	159	14%	14	*	18	*	340	32%	399	23%	13%	BF	-19%	32%	WF	2.4
Onslow	190	24%	185	16%	83	42%	85	33%	520	36%	495	24%	16%	BM	-26%	42%	HF	2.7
Camden	7	*	12	*	1	*	1	*	53	28%	53	15%	15%	WM	-13%	28%	WF	1.9
Chowan	23	*	35	*	4	*	1	*	32	*	30	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Currituck	4	*	16	*	7	*	4	*	135	23%	111	6%	6%	WM	-17%	23%	WF	3.6
Dare	4	*	4	*	11	*	15	*	149	28%	149	11%	11%	WM	-17%	28%	WF	2.6
Gates	16	*	22	*	1	*	*	*	32	*	35	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pasquotank	100	16%	90	21%	9	*	2	*	90	38%	82	20%	16%	BF	-22%	38%	WF	2.4
Perquimans	22	*	16	*	3	*	*	*	33	*	52	17%	17%	WM	-	17%	WM	-
Craven	113	32%	132	15%	33	*	28	*	281	39%	264	26%	15%	BM	-24%	39%	WF	2.6
Davidson	74	11%	83	19%	83	16%	89	25%	636	26%	621	20%	11%	BF	-15%	26%	WF	2.4
Davie	9	*	11	*	26	*	23	*	150	31%	190	25%	25%	WM	-6%	31%	WF	1.2
Durham	691	12%	612	15%	280	24%	248	21%	275	14%	310	17%	12%	BF	-13%	24%	HF	2.1
Orange	89	11%	96	10%	91	21%	85	29%	406	12%	432	10%	10%	WM	-19%	29%	HM	2.9
Edgecombe	118	29%	115	22%	21	*	22	*	71	55%	74	50%	22%	BM	-33%	55%	WF	2.5
Cumberland	818	18%	794	20%	215	29%	207	24%	589	28%	557	21%	18%	BF	-11%	29%	HF	1.6
Forsyth	569	16%	570	18%	384	26%	337	23%	886	22%	849	24%	16%	BF	-10%	26%	HF	1.7
Stokes	6	*	15	*	8	*	11	*	203	24%	229	28%	24%	WF	-4%	28%	WM	1.2
Gaston	284	9%	274	11%	99	26%	105	17%	790	22%	778	17%	9%	BF	-17%	26%	HF	3.0
Lincoln	31	*	35	*	55	18%	61	28%	358	26%	393	19%	18%	HF	-10%	28%	HM	1.5
Guilford	1166	15%	1099	14%	276	25%	282	16%	1007	15%	1083	16%	14%	BM	-12%	25%	HF	1.9
Halifax	124	19%	130	18%	9	*	4	*	74	34%	68	37%	18%	BM	-19%	37%	WM	2.1
Northampton	57	7%	73	12%	2	*	3	*	13	*	10	*	7%	BF	-5%	12%	BM	1.8
Haywood	5	*	2	*	18	*	12	*	239	30%	245	29%	29%	WM	-1%	30%	WF	1.0
Polk	7	*	8	*	8	*	5	*	77	26%	56	18%	18%	WM	-8%	26%	WF	1.5
Rutherford	32	*	47	21%	13	*	22	*	286	35%	264	25%	21%	BM	-14%	35%	WF	1.7
Duplin	72	47%	53	26%	99	47%	96	32%	105	50%	120	38%	26%	BM	-24%	50%	WF	1.9
Johnston	213	16%	225	14%	227	30%	204	20%	779	24%	792	23%	14%	BM	-17%	30%	HF	2.2
Greene	56	20%	52	15%	35	*	32	*	32	*	38	*	15%	BM	-4%	20%	BF	1.3
Jones	17	*	23	*	3	*	2	*	14	*	18	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lenoir	156	28%	136	21%	25	*	37	*	137	55%	110	49%	21%	BM	-35%	55%	WF	2.7

### Subsequent Enrollment of High School Graduates by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of public high school graduates enrolled at a community college fall after graduation, 2017

County	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Access Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>14062</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>13274</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>6648</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>6370</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>27532</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>28059</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>HF</b>	<b>1.8</b>
Bertie	65	11%	76	14%	2	*	1	*	12	*	8	*	11%	BF	-4%	14%	BM	1.3
Martin	65	42%	52	27%	1	*	3	*	76	46%	57	30%	27%	BM	-19%	46%	WF	1.7
Avery		*	1	*	7	*	7	*	72	39%	58	34%	34%	WM	-4%	39%	WF	1.1
Mitchell	2	*	2	*	8	*	5	*	63	33%	60	23%	23%	WM	-10%	33%	WF	1.4
Yancey		*		*	1	*	6	*	57	37%	73	25%	25%	WM	-12%	37%	WF	1.5
McDowell	11	*	13	*	32	*	22	*	167	23%	182	16%	16%	WM	-7%	23%	WF	1.4
Iredell	137	16%	148	15%	91	27%	97	33%	741	21%	831	19%	15%	BM	-18%	33%	HM	2.2
Montgomery	29	*	46	28%	41	44%	48	33%	72	57%	65	32%	28%	BM	-29%	57%	WF	2.0
Nash	302	21%	279	14%	55	42%	52	19%	204	38%	227	38%	14%	BM	-27%	42%	HF	2.9
Pamlico	10	*	14	*	7	*		*	44	36%	65	23%	23%	WM	-13%	36%	WF	1.6
Caswell	37	*	35	*	8	*	2	*	32	*	53	15%	15%	WM	-	15%	WM	-
Person	59	25%	50	16%	11	*	15	*	114	46%	130	32%	16%	BM	-30%	46%	WF	2.9
Pitt	412	39%	393	29%	88	45%	78	44%	270	51%	314	36%	29%	BM	-22%	51%	WF	1.8
Randolph	46	30%	56	14%	183	20%	165	10%	573	28%	585	19%	10%	HM	-21%	30%	BF	3.1
Richmond	110	20%	104	13%	22	*	16	*	91	23%	108	27%	13%	BM	-13%	27%	WM	2.0
Scotland	91	10%	98	6%	5	*	2	*	58	33%	60	12%	6%	BM	-27%	33%	WF	5.4
Hertford	83	22%	64	11%	3	*	4	*	8	*	12	*	11%	BM	-11%	22%	BF	2.0
Robeson	212	19%	183	11%	79	25%	72	18%	111	33%	125	18%	11%	BM	-22%	33%	WF	3.1
Rockingham	109	27%	108	20%	37	*	51	14%	290	35%	295	29%	14%	HM	-21%	35%	WF	2.6
Cabarrus	296	19%	301	20%	200	33%	172	23%	772	23%	771	22%	19%	BF	-14%	33%	HF	1.7
Rowan	108	20%	141	10%	85	21%	76	26%	431	26%	408	22%	10%	BM	-16%	26%	HM	2.7
Sampson	99	26%	95	14%	107	37%	105	18%	149	44%	154	23%	14%	BM	-30%	44%	WF	3.2
Hoke	119	13%	104	14%	43	30%	33	*	69	30%	59	17%	13%	BF	-18%	30%	WF	2.4
Moore	88	33%	94	36%	36	*	48	33%	342	41%	339	33%	33%	BF	-8%	41%	WF	1.3
Anson	64	11%	53	17%	4	*	5	*	29	*	27	*	11%	BF	-6%	17%	BM	1.6
Union	193	18%	207	11%	197	31%	207	30%	1068	18%	1145	18%	11%	BM	-20%	31%	HF	2.8
Columbus	121	24%	91	18%	26	*	23	*	160	53%	156	37%	18%	BM	-35%	53%	WF	3.0
Jackson	1	*	2	*	14	*	21	*	103	24%	122	15%	15%	WM	-10%	24%	WF	1.6
Macon	1	*	3	*	17	*	20	*	137	33%	142	20%	20%	WM	-12%	33%	WF	1.6
Swain		*		*	1	*	2	*	49	33%	41	29%	29%	WM	-3%	33%	WF	1.1
Stanly	34	*	29	*	21	*	17	*	256	31%	287	24%	24%	WM	-7%	31%	WF	1.3
Surry	5	*	12	*	70	54%	74	35%	324	42%	305	38%	35%	HM	-19%	54%	HF	1.5
Yadkin	5	*	6	*	40	30%	35	*	155	40%	149	28%	28%	WM	-12%	40%	WF	1.4
Cherokee		*		*	5	*	6	*	113	36%	132	30%	30%	WM	-7%	36%	WF	1.2
Clay		*		*		*	4	*	36	*	30	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graham		*		*	2	*	1	*	35	*	49	16%	16%	WM	-	16%	WM	-
Franklin	92	24%	88	11%	39	*	45	16%	162	30%	137	31%	11%	BM	-19%	31%	WM	2.7
Granville	107	18%	103	11%	30	*	35	*	194	28%	192	28%	11%	BM	-18%	28%	WF	2.7
Vance	168	16%	125	18%	30	*	22	*	44	50%	51	35%	16%	BF	-34%	50%	WF	3.1
Warren	49	16%	49	14%	6	*	3	*	8	*	10	*	14%	BM	-2%	16%	BF	1.1
Wake	1466	18%	1353	18%	729	33%	668	28%	2919	21%	2963	25%	18%	BM	-15%	33%	HF	1.8
Wayne	223	24%	222	18%	105	32%	94	23%	265	47%	311	35%	18%	BM	-29%	47%	WF	2.7
Burke	25	*	31	*	44	30%	51	29%	303	34%	341	26%	26%	WM	-8%	34%	WF	1.3
Alleghany		*		*	5	*	11	*	43	60%	43	23%	23%	WM	-37%	60%	WF	2.6
Ashe		*	2	*	11	*	7	*	110	36%	93	31%	31%	WM	-5%	36%	WF	1.2
Wilkes	14	*	11	*	44	48%	36	*	267	37%	278	33%	33%	WM	-14%	48%	HF	1.4
Wilson	231	24%	180	20%	46	57%	47	32%	175	36%	137	34%	20%	BM	-37%	57%	HF	2.8

\* Less than 40

Note: Disaggregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Margin of error increases with smaller counts

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



# FIRST-TIME CURRICULUM (NON-HIGH SCHOOL) STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS

## **Demographic Distribution of First-Time Curriculum Students**

Demographic distribution of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students

## **Pell Recipients**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students receiving a Pell Grant

## **Transfer Program Area Focus**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in a transfer program

## **Full-Time Status**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled full-time

## **Summer Enrollment**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students who started in summer 2017

## **Fall Semester Accumulated Hours Attempted**

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated hours attempted

## **Developmental Course Enrollment**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in developmental course(s)




## **Fall Semester Accumulated College-Level Hours Attempted**

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) college-level hours attempted

# Demographic Distribution of First-Time Curriculum Students

Demographic distribution of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students

See tables for notes about disaggregation



### First-Time Curriculum Students by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Demographic distribution of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students




College	Total	American Indian		Asian		Black		Hawaiian/ Pacific Isl		Hispanic		Multiple		White		Unknown	
		Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Alamance CC	509	1%	0%	1%	1%	8%	7%	0%	0%	10%	6%	2%	3%	29%	26%	1%	2%
Asheville-Buncombe	563	0%	0%	1%	1%	4%	4%	0%	0%	4%	8%	3%	2%	37%	34%	2%	0%
Beaufort County CC	93	0%	0%	0%	0%	16%	5%	0%	0%	4%	4%	2%	2%	31%	31%	0%	3%
Bladen CC	111	22%	5%	0%	0%	7%	13%	0%	0%	4%	5%	5%	4%	20%	13%	5%	1%
Blue Ridge CC	280	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%	0%	5%	9%	2%	1%	34%	39%	2%	1%
Brunswick CC	217	0%	0%	1%	0%	6%	7%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	1%	32%	33%	4%	4%
Caldwell CC and TI	328	0%	0%	1%	0%	4%	5%	0%	0%	4%	5%	1%	2%	44%	31%	0%	2%
Cape Fear CC	1200	1%	0%	0%	1%	6%	7%	0%	0%	5%	5%	3%	2%	35%	33%	1%	1%
Carteret CC	211	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	3%	43%	34%	1%	1%
Catawba Valley CC	656	0%	0%	5%	4%	3%	7%	0%	0%	5%	9%	1%	1%	35%	28%	1%	2%
Central Carolina CC	516	0%	1%	1%	1%	11%	13%	0%	0%	11%	9%	2%	1%	27%	20%	1%	3%
Central Piedmont CC	3496	0%	0%	3%	4%	17%	14%	0%	0%	10%	9%	2%	2%	16%	19%	2%	2%
Cleveland CC	564	0%	1%	1%	0%	15%	7%	0%	0%	3%	3%	1%	2%	38%	28%	2%	1%
Coastal Carolina CC	757	1%	0%	2%	1%	8%	8%	0%	0%	8%	8%	0%	0%	32%	30%	0%	0%
Coll of the Albemarle	323	0%	0%	1%	1%	11%	11%	0%	0%	2%	2%	3%	2%	38%	24%	2%	2%
Craven CC	378	0%	1%	2%	3%	13%	9%	0%	1%	3%	6%	2%	4%	26%	28%	1%	1%
Davidson County CC	451	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	8%	0%	0%	5%	5%	2%	2%	40%	29%	2%	2%
Durham TCC	725	0%	0%	4%	3%	20%	18%	0%	0%	13%	12%	2%	1%	9%	13%	3%	3%
Edgecombe CC	139	1%	0%	0%	0%	32%	21%	0%	0%	2%	3%	2%	1%	24%	12%	1%	1%
Fayetteville TCC	1407	1%	2%	2%	1%	20%	20%	0%	0%	7%	7%	3%	2%	15%	14%	3%	2%
Forsyth TCC	1273	0%	0%	1%	1%	12%	12%	0%	0%	11%	9%	2%	2%	23%	25%	1%	1%
Gaston College	668	0%	0%	1%	0%	5%	5%	0%	0%	4%	4%	3%	1%	31%	22%	10%	13%
Guilford TCC	1383	0%	0%	3%	4%	18%	17%	0%	0%	7%	6%	1%	2%	17%	20%	2%	2%
Halifax CC	124	1%	2%	0%	1%	24%	31%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	15%	17%	1%	5%
Haywood CC	178	0%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	41%	40%	3%	7%
Isothermal CC	146	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	9%	0%	0%	1%	1%	3%	0%	44%	27%	3%	4%
James Sprunt CC	128	0%	0%	0%	1%	25%	6%	0%	0%	13%	8%	0%	2%	23%	16%	4%	2%
Johnston CC	558	0%	0%	0%	1%	8%	10%	0%	0%	10%	5%	1%	1%	32%	28%	2%	1%
Lenoir CC	239	0%	0%	1%	0%	22%	18%	0%	0%	6%	5%	1%	0%	23%	23%	0%	0%
Martin CC	41	0%	0%	0%	2%	24%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	41%	10%	7%	2%
Mayland CC	37	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	35%	30%	14%	3%
McDowell TCC	69	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	0%	43%	41%	0%	1%
Mitchell CC	402	0%	0%	1%	1%	6%	6%	0%	0%	4%	7%	2%	1%	35%	34%	0%	0%
Montgomery CC	92	1%	0%	1%	0%	11%	9%	0%	0%	8%	12%	0%	0%	29%	29%	0%	0%
Nash CC	327	1%	3%	0%	1%	17%	14%	0%	0%	7%	5%	3%	2%	21%	24%	2%	0%
Pamlico CC	69	0%	1%	0%	3%	3%	32%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	1%	16%	30%	1%	6%
Piedmont CC	121	2%	1%	0%	0%	14%	15%	0%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	27%	28%	1%	4%
Pitt CC	906	0%	0%	1%	0%	27%	22%	0%	0%	6%	5%	2%	1%	15%	17%	2%	2%
Randolph CC	327	1%	0%	1%	0%	5%	3%	0%	0%	5%	4%	1%	0%	36%	28%	10%	6%
Richmond CC	192	7%	4%	0%	1%	21%	11%	0%	0%	2%	2%	3%	2%	21%	17%	5%	4%
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	0%	0%	0%	0%	42%	19%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	18%	16%	2%	2%
Robeson CC	320	30%	18%	1%	0%	13%	7%	0%	0%	6%	3%	1%	1%	10%	6%	1%	2%
Rockingham CC	322	0%	0%	0%	1%	10%	9%	0%	0%	4%	2%	1%	1%	32%	34%	4%	2%
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	0%	0%	1%	1%	10%	8%	0%	0%	7%	7%	2%	1%	31%	29%	1%	1%
Sampson CC	145	1%	1%	0%	0%	15%	10%	0%	0%	15%	7%	2%	0%	26%	18%	3%	1%
Sandhills CC	341	4%	2%	0%	0%	9%	16%	0%	0%	9%	6%	2%	2%	23%	22%	1%	2%
South Piedmont CC	284	0%	0%	0%	0%	12%	6%	0%	0%	11%	9%	2%	2%	27%	27%	2%	2%
Southeastern CC	163	3%	6%	0%	0%	15%	12%	0%	0%	4%	1%	2%	2%	23%	31%	0%	0%
Southwestern CC	170	8%	4%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	4%	4%	3%	2%	46%	28%	0%	0%
Stanly CC	229	0%	0%	3%	1%	6%	8%	0%	0%	4%	0%	3%	1%	34%	33%	1%	4%
Surry CC	319	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	8%	6%	1%	0%	46%	32%	2%	2%
Tri-County CC	55	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	47%	49%	0%	0%
Vance-Granville CC	395	0%	0%	1%	1%	18%	14%	0%	0%	5%	3%	0%	1%	30%	23%	3%	1%
Wake TCC	3724	0%	0%	3%	3%	11%	11%	0%	0%	10%	8%	2%	2%	20%	29%	1%	2%
Wayne CC	416	1%	0%	1%	1%	16%	10%	0%	0%	10%	10%	1%	1%	22%	27%	1%	0%
Western Piedmont CC	239	1%	0%	5%	5%	5%	4%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	0%	37%	31%	0%	0%
Wilkes CC	277	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4%	1%	1%	47%	33%	1%	1%
Wilson CC	154	0%	0%	1%	0%	22%	19%	0%	1%	9%	8%	0%	0%	19%	16%	4%	0%

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Pell Recipients

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students receiving a Pell Grant

See tables for notes about disaggregation



## Pell Recipients by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students receiving a Pell Grant

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Pell Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>53%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>-32%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>1.8</b>
Alamance CC	509	48%	9	*	14	*	80	78%	83	54%	281	37%	37%	WH	-41%	78%	BL	2.1
Asheville-Buncombe	563	56%	4	*	9	*	41	78%	68	54%	403	53%	53%	WH	-25%	78%	BL	1.5
Beaufort County CC	93	56%	0	*	0	*	20	65%	8	*	58	53%	53%	WH	-12%	65%	BL	1.2
Bladen CC	111	75%	29	62%	0	*	22	91%	9	*	36	72%	62%	AI	-29%	91%	BL	1.5
Blue Ridge CC	280	45%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	45%	204	45%	45%	WH	0%	45%	HI	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	54%	2	*	2	*	29	66%	19	*	141	52%	52%	WH	-13%	66%	BL	1.2
Caldwell CC and TI	328	54%	1	*	3	*	30	80%	31	68%	248	48%	48%	WH	-32%	80%	BL	1.7
Cape Fear CC	1200	48%	10	*	12	*	162	83%	123	52%	815	41%	41%	WH	-42%	83%	BL	2.0
Carteret CC	211	53%	2	*	1	*	21	81%	13	*	162	48%	48%	WH	-33%	81%	BL	1.7
Catawba Valley CC	656	58%	4	*	56	75%	66	83%	96	47%	412	54%	47%	HI	-36%	83%	BL	1.8
Central Carolina CC	516	56%	3	*	11	*	124	68%	104	66%	238	45%	45%	WH	-22%	68%	BL	1.5
Central Piedmont CC	3496	51%	14	*	233	53%	1054	70%	671	59%	1233	31%	31%	WH	-39%	70%	BL	2.3
Cleveland CC	564	24%	5	*	7	*	123	24%	33	39%	368	21%	21%	WH	-19%	39%	HI	1.9
Coastal Carolina CC	757	46%	11	*	26	50%	118	57%	126	42%	466	44%	42%	HI	-15%	57%	BL	1.3
Coll of the Albemarle	323	50%	1	*	5	*	71	76%	16	*	202	43%	43%	WH	-33%	76%	BL	1.8
Craven CC	378	57%	3	*	17	*	84	76%	35	60%	204	50%	50%	WH	-27%	76%	BL	1.5
Davidson County CC	451	58%	3	*	8	*	48	79%	45	69%	311	51%	51%	WH	-28%	79%	BL	1.5
Durham TCC	725	46%	5	*	50	44%	271	70%	180	36%	157	22%	22%	WH	-48%	70%	BL	3.2
Edgecombe CC	139	75%	1	*	0	*	74	91%	7	*	50	52%	52%	WH	-39%	91%	BL	1.7
Fayetteville TCC	1407	63%	46	59%	41	46%	571	75%	201	63%	402	50%	46%	AS	-29%	75%	BL	1.6
Forsyth TCC	1273	54%	1	*	21	48%	300	77%	262	62%	610	39%	39%	WH	-38%	77%	BL	2.0
Gaston College	668	56%	0	*	9	*	68	74%	58	66%	354	53%	53%	WH	-20%	74%	BL	1.4
Guilford TCC	1383	64%	5	*	108	80%	487	81%	175	65%	508	44%	44%	WH	-37%	81%	BL	1.8
Halifax CC	124	60%	3	*	1	*	68	68%	5	*	39	51%	51%	WH	-16%	68%	BL	1.3
Haywood CC	178	55%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	53%	53%	WH	-	53%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	65%	0	*	0	*	25	68%	3	*	104	63%	63%	WH	-6%	68%	BL	1.1
James Sprunt CC	128	82%	0	*	1	*	40	98%	27	89%	51	67%	67%	WH	-31%	98%	BL	1.5
Johnston CC	558	46%	1	*	6	*	101	58%	83	54%	337	40%	40%	WH	-18%	58%	BL	1.4
Lenoir CC	239	64%	1	*	3	*	95	88%	25	56%	112	46%	46%	WH	-43%	88%	BL	1.9
Martin CC	41	63%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	48%	48%	WH	-	48%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	76%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	75%	75%	WH	-	75%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	57%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	57%	57%	WH	-	57%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	44%	3	*	11	*	49	73%	47	36%	276	37%	36%	HI	-37%	73%	BL	2.0
Montgomery CC	92	54%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	48%	48%	WH	-	48%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	62%	14	*	3	*	101	84%	41	49%	146	47%	47%	WH	-38%	84%	BL	1.8
Pamlico CC	69	23%	1	*	2	*	24	8%	4	*	32	41%	8%	BL	-32%	41%	WH	4.9
Piedmont CC	121	50%	3	*	0	*	35	66%	5	*	67	40%	40%	WH	-25%	66%	BL	1.6
Pitt CC	906	69%	5	*	9	*	451	90%	93	62%	294	40%	40%	WH	-50%	90%	BL	2.3
Randolph CC	327	67%	3	*	3	*	26	85%	28	68%	212	67%	67%	WH	-18%	85%	BL	1.3
Richmond CC	192	81%	22	86%	1	*	63	94%	7	*	73	71%	71%	WH	-22%	94%	BL	1.3
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	81%	0	*	0	*	35	91%	1	*	19	*	91%	BL	-	91%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	83%	155	85%	3	*	64	95%	29	79%	52	67%	67%	WH	-28%	95%	BL	1.4
Rockingham CC	322	48%	1	*	2	*	61	66%	19	*	211	41%	41%	WH	-25%	66%	BL	1.6
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	57%	3	*	19	*	160	79%	121	60%	519	47%	47%	WH	-32%	79%	BL	1.7
Sampson CC	145	72%	3	*	0	*	37	89%	32	72%	64	63%	63%	WH	-27%	89%	BL	1.4
Sandhills CC	341	63%	22	73%	1	*	88	85%	51	69%	154	47%	47%	WH	-38%	85%	BL	1.8
South Piedmont CC	284	55%	0	*	1	*	49	84%	57	67%	154	45%	45%	WH	-39%	84%	BL	1.9
Southeastern CC	163	73%	15	*	0	*	45	87%	8	*	89	67%	67%	WH	-19%	87%	BL	1.3
Southwestern CC	170	55%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	55%	55%	WH	-	55%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	53%	0	*	11	*	33	48%	10	*	154	48%	48%	WH	0%	48%	BL	1.0
Surry CC	319	51%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	57%	247	48%	48%	WH	-9%	57%	HI	1.2
Tri-County CC	55	65%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	66%	66%	WH	-	66%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	56%	2	*	5	*	126	83%	30	60%	212	40%	40%	WH	-44%	83%	BL	2.1
Wake TCC	3724	37%	20	15%	239	38%	789	64%	641	41%	1801	23%	15%	AI	-49%	64%	BL	4.3
Wayne CC	416	55%	4	*	9	*	107	72%	80	60%	203	44%	44%	WH	-28%	72%	BL	1.6
Western Piedmont CC	239	67%	3	*	23	65%	22	86%	30	70%	161	63%	63%	WH	-23%	86%	BL	1.4
Wilkes CC	277	61%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	64%	221	57%	57%	WH	-7%	64%	HI	1.1
Wilson CC	154	65%	0	*	1	*	63	84%	27	78%	55	35%	35%	WH	-50%	84%	BL	2.4

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Pell Recipients by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students receiving a Pell Grant

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Pell Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>-44%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>BF</b>	<b>2.3</b>
Alamance CC	43	84%	37	70%	52	65%	31	35%	148	48%	133	24%	24%	WM	-60%	84%	BF	3.5
Asheville-Buncombe	21	90%	20	65%	25	64%	43	49%	211	61%	192	45%	45%	WM	-46%	90%	BF	2.0
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	69%	29	38%	38%	WM	-31%	69%	WF	1.8
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	86%	14	*	86%	WF	-	86%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	48%	95	47%	109	42%	42%	WM	-6%	48%	HM	1.1
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	67%	72	39%	39%	WM	-28%	67%	WF	1.7
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	58%	103	35%	35%	WM	-23%	58%	WF	1.7
Cape Fear CC	76	86%	86	81%	63	56%	60	48%	423	46%	392	35%	35%	WM	-50%	86%	BF	2.4
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	49%	71	45%	45%	WM	-4%	49%	WF	1.1
Catawba Valley CC	22	86%	44	82%	36	47%	60	47%	230	57%	182	50%	47%	HM	-40%	86%	BF	1.9
Central Carolina CC	58	86%	66	52%	58	67%	46	65%	137	47%	101	43%	43%	WM	-44%	86%	BF	2.0
Central Piedmont CC	578	73%	476	66%	359	60%	312	57%	567	38%	666	25%	25%	WM	-48%	73%	BF	2.9
Cleveland CC	82	18%	41	37%	18	*	15	*	212	24%	156	17%	17%	WM	-20%	37%	BM	2.2
Coastal Carolina CC	58	64%	60	50%	63	52%	63	32%	240	54%	226	34%	32%	HM	-32%	64%	BF	2.0
Coll of the Albemarle	36	83%	35	69%	8	*	8	*	123	46%	79	38%	38%	WM	-45%	83%	BF	2.2
Craven CC	50	86%	34	62%	11	*	24	67%	99	56%	105	44%	44%	WM	-42%	86%	BF	2.0
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	74%	23	83%	22	55%	182	59%	129	40%	40%	WM	-42%	83%	HF	2.0
Durham TCC	143	75%	128	64%	93	41%	87	30%	63	27%	94	18%	18%	WM	-57%	75%	BF	4.1
Edgecombe CC	45	91%	29	90%	3	*	4	*	34	59%	16	*	59%	WF	-32%	91%	BF	1.5
Fayetteville TCC	288	82%	283	69%	102	70%	99	56%	211	60%	191	39%	39%	WM	-43%	82%	BF	2.1
Forsyth TCC	153	86%	147	68%	142	68%	120	56%	287	45%	323	34%	34%	WM	-52%	86%	BF	2.5
Gaston College	33	67%	35	80%	28	71%	30	60%	210	57%	144	48%	48%	WM	-32%	80%	BM	1.7
Guilford TCC	254	87%	233	76%	97	66%	78	64%	229	53%	279	37%	37%	WM	-50%	87%	BF	2.4
Halifax CC	30	90%	38	50%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	43%	43%	WM	-47%	90%	BF	2.1
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	62%	72	44%	44%	WM	-17%	62%	WF	1.4
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	69%	40	53%	53%	WM	-16%	69%	WF	1.3
James Sprunt CC	32	100%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	77%	21	52%	52%	WM	-48%	100%	BF	1.9
Johnston CC	45	73%	56	46%	55	60%	28	43%	179	44%	158	36%	36%	WM	-37%	73%	BF	2.0
Lenoir CC	52	87%	43	91%	14	*	11	*	56	59%	56	32%	32%	WM	-59%	91%	BM	2.8
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	60%	28	54%	54%	WM	-6%	60%	WF	1.1
Mitchell CC	23	70%	26	77%	18	*	29	41%	141	44%	135	30%	30%	WM	-47%	77%	BM	2.5
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	56%	27	41%	41%	WM	-15%	56%	WF	1.4
Nash CC	56	88%	45	80%	24	71%	17	*	69	59%	77	35%	35%	WM	-52%	88%	BF	2.5
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	0%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	19%	0%	BM	-19%	19%	WM	99.9
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	48%	34	32%	32%	WM	-16%	48%	WF	1.5
Pitt CC	249	92%	202	87%	50	64%	43	60%	139	51%	155	30%	30%	WM	-62%	92%	BF	3.1
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	72%	93	60%	60%	WM	-12%	72%	WF	1.2
Richmond CC	41	93%	22	95%	3	*	4	*	40	80%	33	61%	61%	WM	-35%	95%	BM	1.6
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	96%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	96%	BF	-	96%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	98%	23	91%	18	*	11	*	32	75%	20	55%	55%	WM	-43%	98%	BF	1.8
Rockingham CC	33	67%	28	64%	12	*	7	*	103	48%	108	34%	34%	WM	-32%	67%	BF	1.9
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	77%	70	81%	58	74%	63	48%	266	54%	253	40%	40%	WM	-41%	81%	BM	2.0
Sampson CC	22	91%	15	*	22	77%	10	*	38	71%	26	50%	50%	WM	-41%	91%	BF	1.8
Sandhills CC	32	81%	56	88%	30	70%	21	67%	78	55%	76	38%	38%	WM	-49%	88%	BM	2.3
South Piedmont CC	33	88%	16	*	32	78%	25	52%	78	46%	76	43%	43%	WM	-44%	88%	BF	2.0
Southeastern CC	25	92%	20	80%	6	*	2	*	38	84%	51	55%	55%	WM	-37%	92%	BF	1.7
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	59%	47	49%	49%	WM	-10%	59%	WF	1.2
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	56%	75	40%	40%	WM	-16%	56%	WF	1.4
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	58%	20	55%	146	52%	101	43%	43%	WM	-16%	58%	HF	1.4
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	65%	27	67%	65%	WF	-1%	67%	WM	1.0
Vance-Granville CC	71	89%	55	76%	19	*	11	*	120	42%	92	37%	37%	WM	-52%	89%	BF	2.4
Wake TCC	396	70%	393	59%	356	46%	285	35%	738	27%	1063	20%	20%	WM	-50%	70%	BF	3.5
Wayne CC	67	76%	40	65%	40	58%	40	63%	92	54%	111	35%	35%	WM	-41%	76%	BF	2.2
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	69%	73	56%	56%	WM	-13%	69%	WF	1.2
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	58%	12	*	129	61%	92	51%	51%	WM	-10%	61%	WF	1.2
Wilson CC	34	91%	29	76%	14	*	13	*	30	57%	25	8%	8%	WM	-83%	91%	BF	11.4

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Ratio = 99.9 indicates lowest represented group has zero students





PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## Transfer Program Area Focus

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in a transfer program

See tables for notes about disaggregation



### Transfer Program Area Focus by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in a transfer program

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Transfer Program Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>AI</b>	<b>-28%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>2.0</b>
Alamance CC	509	40%	9	*	14	*	80	29%	83	39%	281	41%	29%	BL	-13%	41%	WH	1.4
Asheville-Buncombe	563	44%	4	*	9	*	41	44%	68	40%	403	45%	40%	HI	-6%	45%	WH	1.1
Beaufort County CC	93	27%	0	*	0	*	20	20%	8	*	58	28%	20%	BL	-8%	28%	WH	1.4
Bladen CC	111	19%	29	7%	0	*	22	18%	9	*	36	28%	7%	AI	-21%	28%	WH	4.0
Blue Ridge CC	280	41%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	28%	204	43%	28%	HI	-15%	43%	WH	1.6
Brunswick CC	217	70%	2	*	2	*	29	76%	19	*	141	66%	66%	WH	-10%	76%	BL	1.2
Caldwell CC and TI	328	42%	1	*	3	*	30	23%	31	32%	248	46%	23%	BL	-22%	46%	WH	2.0
Cape Fear CC	1200	53%	10	*	12	*	162	48%	123	51%	815	54%	48%	BL	-6%	54%	WH	1.1
Carteret CC	211	43%	2	*	1	*	21	10%	13	*	162	46%	10%	BL	-37%	46%	WH	4.9
Catawba Valley CC	656	55%	4	*	56	64%	66	62%	96	50%	412	53%	50%	HI	-14%	64%	AS	1.3
Central Carolina CC	516	31%	3	*	11	*	124	27%	104	29%	238	37%	27%	BL	-10%	37%	WH	1.4
Central Piedmont CC	3496	54%	14	*	233	57%	1054	49%	671	52%	1233	59%	49%	BL	-9%	59%	WH	1.2
Cleveland CC	564	44%	5	*	7	*	123	32%	33	45%	368	48%	32%	BL	-16%	48%	WH	1.5
Coastal Carolina CC	757	81%	11	*	26	96%	118	84%	126	90%	466	77%	77%	WH	-19%	96%	AS	1.2
Coll of the Albemarle	323	33%	1	*	5	*	71	20%	16	*	202	34%	20%	BL	-14%	34%	WH	1.7
Craven CC	378	45%	3	*	17	*	84	46%	35	66%	204	42%	42%	WH	-24%	66%	HI	1.6
Davidson County CC	451	49%	3	*	8	*	48	52%	45	49%	311	49%	49%	WH	-3%	52%	BL	1.1
Durham TCC	725	51%	5	*	50	42%	271	49%	180	53%	157	54%	42%	AS	-12%	54%	WH	1.3
Edgecombe CC	139	19%	1	*	0	*	74	15%	7	*	50	28%	15%	BL	-13%	28%	WH	1.9
Fayetteville TCC	1407	26%	46	15%	41	32%	571	25%	201	28%	402	27%	15%	AI	-16%	32%	AS	2.1
Forsyth TCC	1273	35%	1	*	21	33%	300	36%	262	27%	610	39%	27%	HI	-12%	39%	WH	1.5
Gaston College	668	46%	0	*	9	*	68	53%	58	50%	354	42%	42%	WH	-11%	53%	BL	1.2
Guilford TCC	1383	36%	5	*	108	44%	487	39%	175	35%	508	33%	33%	WH	-11%	44%	AS	1.3
Halifax CC	124	23%	3	*	1	*	68	22%	5	*	39	31%	22%	BL	-9%	31%	WH	1.4
Haywood CC	178	41%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	42%	42%	WH	-	42%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	25%	0	*	0	*	25	20%	3	*	104	26%	20%	BL	-6%	26%	WH	1.3
James Sprunt CC	128	27%	0	*	1	*	40	15%	27	22%	51	35%	15%	BL	-20%	35%	WH	2.4
Johnston CC	558	46%	1	*	6	*	101	40%	83	36%	337	49%	36%	HI	-13%	49%	WH	1.3
Lenoir CC	239	34%	1	*	3	*	95	37%	25	20%	112	35%	20%	HI	-17%	37%	BL	1.8
Martin CC	41	15%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	10%	10%	WH	-	10%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	35%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	29%	29%	WH	-	29%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	20%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	17%	17%	WH	-	17%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	69%	3	*	11	*	49	67%	47	64%	276	68%	64%	HI	-4%	68%	WH	1.1
Montgomery CC	92	18%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	7%	7%	WH	-	7%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	30%	14	*	3	*	101	23%	41	29%	146	34%	23%	BL	-11%	34%	WH	1.5
Pamlico CC	69	6%	1	*	2	*	24	4%	4	*	32	9%	4%	BL	-5%	9%	WH	2.3
Piedmont CC	121	33%	3	*	0	*	35	23%	5	*	67	42%	23%	BL	-19%	42%	WH	1.8
Pitt CC	906	39%	5	*	9	*	451	32%	93	39%	294	48%	32%	BL	-16%	48%	WH	1.5
Randolph CC	327	39%	3	*	3	*	26	35%	28	46%	212	34%	34%	WH	-12%	46%	HI	1.3
Richmond CC	192	35%	22	32%	1	*	63	32%	7	*	73	33%	32%	BL	-1%	33%	WH	1.0
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	19%	0	*	0	*	35	9%	1	*	19	*	9%	BL	-	9%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	21%	155	17%	3	*	64	27%	29	14%	52	25%	14%	HI	-13%	27%	BL	1.9
Rockingham CC	322	52%	1	*	2	*	61	61%	19	*	211	52%	52%	WH	-9%	61%	BL	1.2
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	34%	3	*	19	*	160	36%	121	37%	519	33%	33%	WH	-5%	37%	HI	1.1
Sampson CC	145	25%	3	*	0	*	37	30%	32	13%	64	28%	13%	HI	-17%	30%	BL	2.4
Sandhills CC	341	38%	22	9%	1	*	88	39%	51	49%	154	37%	9%	AI	-40%	49%	HI	5.4
South Piedmont CC	284	29%	0	*	1	*	49	22%	57	21%	154	32%	21%	HI	-11%	32%	WH	1.5
Southeastern CC	163	50%	15	*	0	*	45	40%	8	*	89	52%	40%	BL	-12%	52%	WH	1.3
Southwestern CC	170	33%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	31%	31%	WH	-	31%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	28%	0	*	11	*	33	24%	10	*	154	29%	24%	BL	-5%	29%	WH	1.2
Surry CC	319	31%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	32%	247	32%	32%	WH	0%	32%	HI	1.0
Tri-County CC	55	40%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	40%	40%	WH	-	40%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	34%	2	*	5	*	126	37%	30	20%	212	33%	20%	HI	-17%	37%	BL	1.9
Wake TCC	3724	64%	20	65%	239	72%	789	56%	641	59%	1801	68%	56%	BL	-16%	72%	AS	1.3
Wayne CC	416	50%	4	*	9	*	107	50%	80	48%	203	47%	47%	WH	-2%	50%	BL	1.0
Western Piedmont CC	239	46%	3	*	23	52%	22	55%	30	50%	161	43%	43%	WH	-12%	55%	BL	1.3
Wilkes CC	277	38%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	22%	221	39%	22%	HI	-17%	39%	WH	1.8
Wilson CC	154	48%	0	*	1	*	63	52%	27	37%	55	51%	37%	HI	-15%	52%	BL	1.4

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Transfer Program Area Focus by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in a transfer program

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Transfer Program Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>BF</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Alamance CC	43	16%	37	43%	52	40%	31	35%	148	41%	133	41%	16%	BF	-27%	43%	BM	2.7
Asheville-Buncombe	21	48%	20	40%	25	32%	43	44%	211	40%	192	52%	32%	HF	-20%	52%	WM	1.6
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	21%	29	34%	21%	WF	-14%	34%	WM	1.7
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	27%	14	*	27%	WF	-	27%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	28%	95	33%	109	51%	28%	HM	-23%	51%	WM	1.8
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	70%	72	63%	63%	WM	-7%	70%	WF	1.1
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	39%	103	55%	39%	WF	-17%	55%	WM	1.4
Cape Fear CC	76	42%	86	53%	63	51%	60	52%	423	52%	392	57%	42%	BF	-15%	57%	WM	1.4
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	42%	71	52%	42%	WF	-10%	52%	WM	1.2
Catawba Valley CC	22	55%	44	66%	36	50%	60	50%	230	44%	182	65%	44%	WF	-22%	66%	BM	1.5
Central Carolina CC	58	34%	66	20%	58	29%	46	28%	137	38%	101	35%	20%	BM	-18%	38%	WF	1.9
Central Piedmont CC	578	45%	476	54%	359	52%	312	52%	567	55%	666	62%	45%	BF	-17%	62%	WM	1.4
Cleveland CC	82	24%	41	46%	18	*	15	*	212	47%	156	48%	24%	BF	-24%	48%	WM	2.0
Coastal Carolina CC	58	86%	60	82%	63	94%	63	87%	240	81%	226	73%	73%	WM	-20%	94%	HF	1.3
Coll of the Albemarle	36	17%	35	23%	8	*	8	*	123	34%	79	33%	17%	BF	-17%	34%	WF	2.0
Craven CC	50	40%	34	56%	11	*	24	63%	99	33%	105	50%	33%	WF	-29%	63%	HM	1.9
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	59%	23	43%	22	55%	182	49%	129	49%	43%	HF	-15%	59%	BM	1.4
Durham TCC	143	40%	128	59%	93	45%	87	61%	63	59%	94	51%	40%	BF	-21%	61%	HM	1.5
Edgecombe CC	45	11%	29	21%	3	*	4	*	34	24%	16	*	11%	BF	-12%	24%	WF	2.1
Fayetteville TCC	288	22%	283	28%	102	29%	99	26%	211	30%	191	23%	22%	BF	-8%	30%	WF	1.3
Forsyth TCC	153	32%	147	39%	142	26%	120	28%	287	38%	323	40%	26%	HF	-14%	40%	WM	1.5
Gaston College	33	64%	35	43%	28	57%	30	43%	210	41%	144	44%	41%	WF	-22%	64%	BF	1.5
Guilford TCC	254	33%	233	45%	97	27%	78	45%	229	34%	279	33%	27%	HF	-19%	45%	BM	1.7
Halifax CC	30	23%	38	21%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	33%	21%	BM	-12%	33%	WM	1.6
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	47%	72	38%	38%	WM	-9%	47%	WF	1.2
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	33%	40	15%	15%	WM	-18%	33%	WF	2.2
James Sprunt CC	32	16%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	37%	21	33%	16%	BF	-21%	37%	WF	2.3
Johnston CC	45	36%	56	43%	55	36%	28	36%	179	44%	158	54%	36%	BF	-19%	54%	WM	1.5
Lenoir CC	52	23%	43	53%	14	*	11	*	56	25%	56	45%	23%	BF	-30%	53%	BM	2.3
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	23%	28	11%	11%	WM	-13%	23%	WF	2.2
Mitchell CC	23	65%	26	69%	18	*	29	62%	141	74%	135	62%	62%	HM	-12%	74%	WF	1.2
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	11%	27	4%	4%	WM	-7%	11%	WF	3.0
Nash CC	56	11%	45	38%	24	29%	17	*	69	36%	77	32%	11%	BF	-27%	38%	BM	3.5
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	0%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	5%	0%	BM	-5%	5%	WM	99.9
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	45%	34	38%	38%	WM	-7%	45%	WF	1.2
Pitt CC	249	26%	202	39%	50	32%	43	47%	139	47%	155	50%	26%	BF	-24%	50%	WM	1.9
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	29%	93	42%	29%	WF	-13%	42%	WM	1.5
Richmond CC	41	39%	22	18%	3	*	4	*	40	43%	33	21%	18%	BM	-24%	43%	WF	2.3
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	0%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	0%	BF	-	0%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	17%	23	43%	18	*	11	*	32	28%	20	20%	17%	BF	-26%	43%	BM	2.5
Rockingham CC	33	58%	28	64%	12	*	7	*	103	45%	108	59%	45%	WF	-20%	64%	BM	1.4
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	28%	70	47%	58	33%	63	41%	266	29%	253	36%	28%	BF	-19%	47%	BM	1.7
Sampson CC	22	23%	15	*	22	18%	10	*	38	26%	26	31%	18%	HF	-13%	31%	WM	1.7
Sandhills CC	32	25%	56	46%	30	50%	21	48%	78	31%	76	43%	25%	BF	-25%	50%	HF	2.0
South Piedmont CC	33	12%	16	*	32	19%	25	24%	78	28%	76	36%	12%	BF	-23%	36%	WM	2.9
Southeastern CC	25	44%	20	35%	6	*	2	*	38	50%	51	53%	35%	BM	-18%	53%	WM	1.5
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	29%	47	34%	29%	WF	-5%	34%	WM	1.2
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	25%	75	33%	25%	WF	-8%	33%	WM	1.3
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	42%	20	20%	146	30%	101	34%	20%	HM	-22%	42%	HF	2.1
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	50%	27	30%	30%	WM	-20%	50%	WF	1.7
Vance-Granville CC	71	30%	55	47%	19	*	11	*	120	33%	92	35%	30%	BF	-18%	47%	BM	1.6
Wake TCC	396	52%	393	59%	356	56%	285	62%	738	68%	1063	67%	52%	BF	-16%	68%	WF	1.3
Wayne CC	67	61%	40	30%	40	65%	40	30%	92	64%	111	33%	30%	HM	-35%	65%	HF	2.2
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	32%	73	56%	32%	WF	-24%	56%	WM	1.8
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	25%	12	*	129	43%	92	34%	25%	HF	-18%	43%	WF	1.7
Wilson CC	34	68%	29	34%	14	*	13	*	30	57%	25	44%	34%	BM	-33%	68%	BF	2.0

\* Less than 20





Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Full-Time Status

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled full-time

See tables for notes about disaggregation



### Full-Time Status by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled full-time

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Full-Time Status Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-13%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Alamance CC	509	65%	9	*	14	*	80	54%	83	65%	281	68%	54%	BL	-14%	68%	WH	1.3
Asheville-Buncombe	563	48%	4	*	9	*	41	37%	68	41%	403	51%	37%	BL	-15%	51%	WH	1.4
Beaufort County CC	93	43%	0	*	0	*	20	30%	8	*	58	48%	30%	BL	-18%	48%	WH	1.6
Bladen CC	111	69%	29	62%	0	*	22	50%	9	*	36	83%	50%	BL	-33%	83%	WH	1.7
Blue Ridge CC	280	65%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	68%	204	66%	66%	WH	-1%	68%	HI	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	73%	2	*	2	*	29	72%	19	*	141	77%	72%	BL	-5%	77%	WH	1.1
Caldwell CC and TI	328	63%	1	*	3	*	30	57%	31	65%	248	64%	57%	BL	-8%	65%	HI	1.1
Cape Fear CC	1200	65%	10	*	12	*	162	50%	123	67%	815	66%	50%	BL	-17%	67%	HI	1.3
Carteret CC	211	51%	2	*	1	*	21	43%	13	*	162	52%	43%	BL	-10%	52%	WH	1.2
Catawba Valley CC	656	64%	4	*	56	71%	66	65%	96	57%	412	63%	57%	HI	-14%	71%	AS	1.2
Central Carolina CC	516	63%	3	*	11	*	124	63%	104	65%	238	62%	62%	WH	-3%	65%	HI	1.1
Central Piedmont CC	3496	49%	14	*	233	56%	1054	39%	671	47%	1233	57%	39%	BL	-18%	57%	WH	1.5
Cleveland CC	564	32%	5	*	7	*	123	24%	33	39%	368	33%	24%	BL	-16%	39%	HI	1.7
Coastal Carolina CC	757	61%	11	*	26	69%	118	52%	126	52%	466	65%	52%	HI	-18%	69%	AS	1.3
Coll of the Albemarle	323	59%	1	*	5	*	71	51%	16	*	202	65%	51%	BL	-15%	65%	WH	1.3
Craven CC	378	48%	3	*	17	*	84	37%	35	51%	204	49%	37%	BL	-15%	51%	HI	1.4
Davidson County CC	451	62%	3	*	8	*	48	52%	45	64%	311	63%	52%	BL	-12%	64%	HI	1.2
Durham TCC	725	35%	5	*	50	42%	271	32%	180	32%	157	43%	32%	BL	-11%	43%	WH	1.3
Edgecombe CC	139	57%	1	*	0	*	74	54%	7	*	50	62%	54%	BL	-8%	62%	WH	1.1
Fayetteville TCC	1407	57%	46	57%	41	56%	571	54%	201	59%	402	59%	54%	BL	-5%	59%	HI	1.1
Forsyth TCC	1273	55%	1	*	21	57%	300	40%	262	56%	610	62%	40%	BL	-21%	62%	WH	1.5
Gaston College	668	61%	0	*	9	*	68	53%	58	62%	354	62%	53%	BL	-9%	62%	WH	1.2
Guilford TCC	1383	51%	5	*	108	55%	487	40%	175	56%	508	60%	40%	BL	-20%	60%	WH	1.5
Halifax CC	124	70%	3	*	1	*	68	74%	5	*	39	67%	67%	WH	-7%	74%	BL	1.1
Haywood CC	178	67%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	65%	65%	WH	-	65%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	64%	0	*	0	*	25	60%	3	*	104	66%	60%	BL	-6%	66%	WH	1.1
James Sprunt CC	128	63%	0	*	1	*	40	55%	27	56%	51	71%	55%	BL	-16%	71%	WH	1.3
Johnston CC	558	66%	1	*	6	*	101	64%	83	65%	337	68%	64%	BL	-4%	68%	WH	1.1
Lenoir CC	239	70%	1	*	3	*	95	60%	25	72%	112	79%	60%	BL	-19%	79%	WH	1.3
Martin CC	41	73%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	86%	86%	WH	-	86%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	76%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	79%	79%	WH	-	79%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	57%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	53%	53%	WH	-	53%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	63%	3	*	11	*	49	61%	47	53%	276	64%	53%	HI	-11%	64%	WH	1.2
Montgomery CC	92	63%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	74%	74%	WH	-	74%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	65%	14	*	3	*	101	56%	41	59%	146	71%	56%	BL	-15%	71%	WH	1.3
Pamlico CC	69	74%	1	*	2	*	24	75%	4	*	32	72%	72%	WH	-3%	75%	BL	1.0
Piedmont CC	121	59%	3	*	0	*	35	54%	5	*	67	58%	54%	BL	-4%	58%	WH	1.1
Pitt CC	906	66%	5	*	9	*	451	61%	93	76%	294	70%	61%	BL	-15%	76%	HI	1.2
Randolph CC	327	64%	3	*	3	*	26	46%	28	64%	212	66%	46%	BL	-20%	66%	WH	1.4
Richmond CC	192	60%	22	41%	1	*	63	63%	7	*	73	64%	41%	AI	-23%	64%	WH	1.6
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	65%	0	*	0	*	35	54%	1	*	19	*	54%	BL	-	54%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	61%	155	57%	3	*	64	64%	29	62%	52	67%	57%	AI	-11%	67%	WH	1.2
Rockingham CC	322	57%	1	*	2	*	61	38%	19	*	211	61%	38%	BL	-23%	61%	WH	1.6
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	65%	3	*	19	*	160	64%	121	64%	519	65%	64%	BL	-1%	65%	WH	1.0
Sampson CC	145	68%	3	*	0	*	37	59%	32	72%	64	69%	59%	BL	-12%	72%	HI	1.2
Sandhills CC	341	65%	22	68%	1	*	88	58%	51	61%	154	68%	58%	BL	-10%	68%	WH	1.2
South Piedmont CC	284	44%	0	*	1	*	49	37%	57	40%	154	47%	37%	BL	-10%	47%	WH	1.3
Southeastern CC	163	82%	15	*	0	*	45	69%	8	*	89	85%	69%	BL	-17%	85%	WH	1.2
Southwestern CC	170	57%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	58%	58%	WH	-	58%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	66%	0	*	11	*	33	64%	10	*	154	63%	63%	WH	-1%	64%	BL	1.0
Surry CC	319	64%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	52%	247	65%	52%	HI	-13%	65%	WH	1.2
Tri-County CC	55	65%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	64%	64%	WH	-	64%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	52%	2	*	5	*	126	48%	30	57%	212	53%	48%	BL	-8%	57%	HI	1.2
Wake TCC	3724	57%	20	40%	239	66%	789	44%	641	51%	1801	62%	40%	AI	-26%	66%	AS	1.6
Wayne CC	416	61%	4	*	9	*	107	52%	80	48%	203	71%	48%	HI	-23%	71%	WH	1.5
Western Piedmont CC	239	64%	3	*	23	74%	22	55%	30	60%	161	66%	55%	BL	-19%	74%	AS	1.4
Wilkes CC	277	74%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	72%	221	75%	72%	HI	-2%	75%	WH	1.0
Wilson CC	154	63%	0	*	1	*	63	65%	27	70%	55	60%	60%	WH	-10%	70%	HI	1.2

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Full-Time Status by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled full-time

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Full-Time Status Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>BF</b>	<b>-18%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Alamance CC	43	56%	37	51%	52	62%	31	71%	148	68%	133	68%	51%	BM	-20%	71%	HM	1.4
Asheville-Buncombe	21	38%	20	35%	25	36%	43	44%	211	46%	192	57%	35%	BM	-22%	57%	WM	1.6
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	45%	29	52%	45%	WF	-7%	52%	WM	1.2
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	86%	14	*	86%	WF	-	86%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	64%	95	62%	109	70%	62%	WF	-8%	70%	WM	1.1
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	71%	72	83%	71%	WF	-12%	83%	WM	1.2
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	63%	103	66%	63%	WF	-3%	66%	WM	1.1
Cape Fear CC	76	54%	86	47%	63	65%	60	70%	423	66%	392	66%	47%	BM	-23%	70%	HM	1.5
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	47%	71	59%	47%	WF	-12%	59%	WM	1.3
Catawba Valley CC	22	64%	44	66%	36	47%	60	63%	230	57%	182	71%	47%	HF	-24%	71%	WM	1.5
Central Carolina CC	58	57%	66	68%	58	69%	46	61%	137	59%	101	66%	57%	BF	-12%	69%	HF	1.2
Central Piedmont CC	578	39%	476	39%	359	46%	312	49%	567	57%	666	58%	39%	BF	-19%	58%	WM	1.5
Cleveland CC	82	13%	41	44%	18	*	15	*	212	35%	156	30%	13%	BF	-30%	44%	BM	3.3
Coastal Carolina CC	58	53%	60	50%	63	60%	63	43%	240	69%	226	61%	43%	HM	-26%	69%	WF	1.6
Coll of the Albemarle	36	47%	35	54%	8	*	8	*	123	62%	79	71%	47%	BF	-24%	71%	WM	1.5
Craven CC	50	36%	34	38%	11	*	24	46%	99	43%	105	54%	36%	BF	-18%	54%	WM	1.5
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	62%	23	52%	22	77%	182	58%	129	69%	52%	HF	-25%	77%	HM	1.5
Durham TCC	143	33%	128	30%	93	32%	87	32%	63	30%	94	51%	30%	WF	-21%	51%	WM	1.7
Edgecombe CC	45	60%	29	45%	3	*	4	*	34	65%	16	*	45%	BM	-20%	65%	WF	1.4
Fayetteville TCC	288	56%	283	52%	102	64%	99	55%	211	60%	191	58%	52%	BM	-12%	64%	HF	1.2
Forsyth TCC	153	35%	147	46%	142	52%	120	62%	287	53%	323	70%	35%	BF	-35%	70%	WM	2.0
Gaston College	33	42%	35	63%	28	54%	30	70%	210	60%	144	66%	42%	BF	-28%	70%	HM	1.7
Guilford TCC	254	42%	233	39%	97	53%	78	60%	229	56%	279	63%	39%	BM	-24%	63%	WM	1.6
Halifax CC	30	67%	38	79%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	71%	67%	BF	-12%	79%	BM	1.2
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	64%	72	65%	64%	WF	-1%	65%	WM	1.0
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	66%	40	68%	66%	WF	-2%	68%	WM	1.0
James Sprunt CC	32	50%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	70%	21	71%	50%	BF	-21%	71%	WM	1.4
Johnston CC	45	47%	56	79%	55	64%	28	68%	179	66%	158	70%	47%	BF	-32%	79%	BM	1.7
Lenoir CC	52	65%	43	53%	14	*	11	*	56	79%	56	79%	53%	BM	-25%	79%	WM	1.5
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	50%	28	57%	50%	WF	-7%	57%	WM	1.1
Mitchell CC	23	65%	26	58%	18	*	29	62%	141	62%	135	65%	58%	BM	-8%	65%	BF	1.1
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	59%	27	89%	59%	WF	-30%	89%	WM	1.5
Nash CC	56	55%	45	58%	24	58%	17	*	69	65%	77	77%	55%	BF	-21%	77%	WM	1.4
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	82%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	81%	81%	WM	-1%	82%	BM	1.0
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	58%	34	59%	58%	WF	-1%	59%	WM	1.0
Pitt CC	249	63%	202	59%	50	78%	43	74%	139	70%	155	70%	59%	BM	-19%	78%	HF	1.3
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	66%	93	67%	66%	WF	-1%	67%	WM	1.0
Richmond CC	41	61%	22	68%	3	*	4	*	40	70%	33	58%	58%	WM	-12%	70%	WF	1.2
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	54%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	54%	BF	-	54%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	59%	23	74%	18	*	11	*	32	63%	20	75%	59%	BF	-16%	75%	WM	1.3
Rockingham CC	33	36%	28	39%	12	*	7	*	103	57%	108	65%	36%	BF	-28%	65%	WM	1.8
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	59%	70	70%	58	67%	63	62%	266	68%	253	62%	59%	BF	-11%	70%	BM	1.2
Sampson CC	22	50%	15	*	22	73%	10	*	38	63%	26	77%	50%	BF	-27%	77%	WM	1.5
Sandhills CC	32	47%	56	64%	30	50%	21	76%	78	64%	76	72%	47%	BF	-29%	76%	HM	1.6
South Piedmont CC	33	24%	16	*	32	38%	25	44%	78	44%	76	50%	24%	BF	-26%	50%	WM	2.1
Southeastern CC	25	64%	20	75%	6	*	2	*	38	84%	51	86%	64%	BF	-22%	86%	WM	1.3
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	58%	47	57%	57%	WM	0%	58%	WF	1.0
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	57%	75	69%	57%	WF	-12%	69%	WM	1.2
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	46%	20	60%	146	60%	101	71%	46%	HF	-25%	71%	WM	1.6
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	50%	27	78%	50%	WF	-28%	78%	WM	1.6
Vance-Granville CC	71	38%	55	62%	19	*	11	*	120	48%	92	60%	38%	BF	-24%	62%	BM	1.6
Wake TCC	396	43%	393	44%	356	47%	285	57%	738	61%	1063	63%	43%	BF	-20%	63%	WM	1.5
Wayne CC	67	48%	40	60%	40	38%	40	58%	92	68%	111	73%	38%	HF	-35%	73%	WM	1.9
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	60%	73	74%	60%	WF	-14%	74%	WM	1.2
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	63%	12	*	129	71%	92	80%	63%	HF	-18%	80%	WM	1.3
Wilson CC	34	71%	29	59%	14	*	13	*	30	57%	25	64%	57%	WF	-14%	71%	BF	1.2

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK






## Summer Enrollment

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students who started in summer 2017

See tables for notes about disaggregation

Note: Scale 0-55% to illuminate group differences



### Summer Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students who started in summer 2017

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Summer Enrollment Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>AI</b>	<b>-4%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>1.7</b>
Alamance CC	509	6%	9	*	14	*	80	10%	83	1%	281	6%	1%	HI	-9%	10%	BL	8.3
Asheville-Buncombe	563	6%	4	*	9	*	41	2%	68	0%	403	6%	0%	HI	-6%	6%	WH	99.9
Beaufort County CC	93	6%	0	*	0	*	20	5%	8	*	58	5%	5%	BL	0%	5%	WH	1.0
Bladen CC	111	3%	29	0%	0	*	22	0%	9	*	36	8%	0%	BL	-8%	8%	WH	99.9
Blue Ridge CC	280	4%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	0%	204	5%	0%	HI	-5%	5%	WH	99.9
Brunswick CC	217	3%	2	*	2	*	29	0%	19	*	141	4%	0%	BL	-4%	4%	WH	99.9
Caldwell CC and TI	328	4%	1	*	3	*	30	0%	31	3%	248	4%	0%	BL	-4%	4%	WH	99.9
Cape Fear CC	1200	4%	10	*	12	*	162	6%	123	3%	815	4%	3%	HI	-2%	6%	BL	1.7
Carteret CC	211	6%	2	*	1	*	21	10%	13	*	162	6%	6%	WH	-4%	10%	BL	1.7
Catawba Valley CC	656	5%	4	*	56	2%	66	0%	96	6%	412	6%	0%	BL	-6%	6%	HI	99.9
Central Carolina CC	516	4%	3	*	11	*	124	3%	104	4%	238	5%	3%	BL	-2%	5%	WH	1.7
Central Piedmont CC	3496	8%	14	*	233	11%	1054	10%	671	9%	1233	5%	5%	WH	-6%	11%	AS	2.1
Cleveland CC	564	4%	5	*	7	*	123	6%	33	9%	368	4%	4%	WH	-5%	9%	HI	2.4
Coastal Carolina CC	757	11%	11	*	26	4%	118	15%	126	15%	466	9%	4%	AS	-11%	15%	BL	4.0
Coll of the Albemarle	323	3%	1	*	5	*	71	1%	16	*	202	3%	1%	BL	-2%	3%	WH	2.1
Craven CC	378	9%	3	*	17	*	84	10%	35	11%	204	7%	7%	WH	-5%	11%	HI	1.7
Davidson County CC	451	4%	3	*	8	*	48	2%	45	7%	311	4%	2%	BL	-5%	7%	HI	3.2
Durham TCC	725	7%	5	*	50	20%	271	8%	180	6%	157	6%	6%	WH	-14%	20%	AS	3.5
Edgecombe CC	139	4%	1	*	0	*	74	4%	7	*	50	4%	4%	WH	0%	4%	BL	1.0
Fayetteville TCC	1407	9%	46	4%	41	10%	571	10%	201	6%	402	7%	4%	AI	-6%	10%	BL	2.4
Forsyth TCC	1273	4%	1	*	21	10%	300	6%	262	3%	610	4%	3%	HI	-6%	10%	AS	2.8
Gaston College	668	5%	0	*	9	*	68	9%	58	5%	354	5%	5%	WH	-4%	9%	BL	1.8
Guilford TCC	1383	4%	5	*	108	9%	487	3%	175	2%	508	5%	2%	HI	-8%	9%	AS	5.4
Halifax CC	124	29%	3	*	1	*	68	31%	5	*	39	21%	21%	WH	-10%	31%	BL	1.5
Haywood CC	178	8%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	8%	8%	WH	-	8%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	10%	0	*	0	*	25	8%	3	*	104	11%	8%	BL	-3%	11%	WH	1.3
James Sprunt CC	128	10%	0	*	1	*	40	15%	27	4%	51	12%	4%	HI	-11%	15%	BL	4.1
Johnston CC	558	4%	1	*	6	*	101	4%	83	2%	337	5%	2%	HI	-2%	5%	WH	2.0
Lenoir CC	239	4%	1	*	3	*	95	5%	25	4%	112	4%	4%	WH	-2%	5%	BL	1.5
Martin CC	41	2%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	0%	0%	WH	-	0%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	3%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	4%	4%	WH	-	4%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	12%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	10%	10%	WH	-	10%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	5%	3	*	11	*	49	4%	47	9%	276	5%	4%	BL	-4%	9%	HI	2.1
Montgomery CC	92	5%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	6%	6%	WH	-	6%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	11%	14	*	3	*	101	8%	41	10%	146	10%	8%	BL	-2%	10%	WH	1.3
Pamlico CC	69	10%	1	*	2	*	24	21%	4	*	32	6%	6%	WH	-15%	21%	BL	3.3
Piedmont CC	121	3%	3	*	0	*	35	3%	5	*	67	3%	3%	BL	0%	3%	WH	1.0
Pitt CC	906	6%	5	*	9	*	451	6%	93	3%	294	5%	3%	HI	-3%	6%	BL	1.9
Randolph CC	327	7%	3	*	3	*	26	0%	28	7%	212	9%	0%	BL	-9%	9%	WH	99.9
Richmond CC	192	6%	22	9%	1	*	63	2%	7	*	73	8%	2%	BL	-8%	9%	AI	5.7
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	2%	0	*	0	*	35	3%	1	*	19	*	3%	BL	-	3%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	3%	155	3%	3	*	64	6%	29	0%	52	4%	0%	HI	-6%	6%	BL	99.9
Rockingham CC	322	4%	1	*	2	*	61	3%	19	*	211	5%	3%	BL	-1%	5%	WH	1.4
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	4%	3	*	19	*	160	4%	121	4%	519	5%	4%	BL	-1%	5%	WH	1.3
Sampson CC	145	7%	3	*	0	*	37	14%	32	0%	64	8%	0%	HI	-14%	14%	BL	99.9
Sandhills CC	341	8%	22	5%	1	*	88	2%	51	6%	154	10%	2%	BL	-8%	10%	WH	4.6
South Piedmont CC	284	6%	0	*	1	*	49	8%	57	5%	154	6%	5%	HI	-3%	8%	BL	1.6
Southeastern CC	163	4%	15	*	0	*	45	4%	8	*	89	4%	4%	BL	0%	4%	WH	1.0
Southwestern CC	170	7%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	8%	8%	WH	-	8%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	9%	0	*	11	*	33	9%	10	*	154	10%	9%	BL	-1%	10%	WH	1.1
Surry CC	319	1%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	2%	247	1%	1%	WH	-1%	2%	HI	1.9
Tri-County CC	55	5%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	6%	6%	WH	-	6%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	7%	2	*	5	*	126	8%	30	3%	212	6%	3%	HI	-5%	8%	BL	2.4
Wake TCC	3724	6%	20	15%	239	10%	789	5%	641	5%	1801	6%	5%	BL	-10%	15%	AI	2.9
Wayne CC	416	6%	4	*	9	*	107	5%	80	4%	203	7%	4%	HI	-4%	7%	WH	2.0
Western Piedmont CC	239	6%	3	*	23	13%	22	0%	30	7%	161	6%	0%	BL	-13%	13%	AS	99.9
Wilkes CC	277	6%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	11%	221	6%	6%	WH	-5%	11%	HI	1.9
Wilson CC	154	14%	0	*	1	*	63	16%	27	4%	55	13%	4%	HI	-12%	16%	BL	4.3

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Ratio = 99.9 indicates lowest represented group has zero students

### Summer Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students who started in summer 2017

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Summer Enrollment Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>HM</b>	<b>-3%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>1.6</b>
Alamance CC	43	9%	37	11%	52	2%	31	0%	148	6%	133	7%	0%	HM	-11%	11%	BM	99.9
Asheville-Buncombe	21	0%	20	5%	25	0%	43	0%	211	8%	192	5%	0%	HM	-8%	8%	WF	99.9
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	3%	29	7%	3%	WF	-3%	7%	WM	2.0
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	14%	14	*	14%	WF	-	14%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	0%	95	5%	109	5%	0%	HM	-5%	5%	WF	99.9
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	7%	72	0%	0%	WM	-7%	7%	WF	99.9
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	5%	103	4%	4%	WM	-1%	5%	WF	1.2
Cape Fear CC	76	7%	86	5%	63	3%	60	3%	423	4%	392	4%	3%	HF	-3%	7%	BF	2.1
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	7%	71	4%	4%	WM	-2%	7%	WF	1.6
Catawba Valley CC	22	0%	44	0%	36	8%	60	5%	230	7%	182	5%	0%	BM	-8%	8%	HF	99.9
Central Carolina CC	58	3%	66	3%	58	7%	46	0%	137	5%	101	6%	0%	HM	-7%	7%	HF	99.9
Central Piedmont CC	578	11%	476	9%	359	9%	312	8%	567	6%	666	5%	5%	WM	-6%	11%	BF	2.3
Cleveland CC	82	5%	41	7%	18	*	15	*	212	4%	156	4%	4%	WF	-4%	7%	BM	1.9
Coastal Carolina CC	58	12%	60	18%	63	13%	63	17%	240	6%	226	12%	6%	WF	-12%	18%	BM	2.9
Coll of the Albemarle	36	0%	35	3%	8	*	8	*	123	4%	79	1%	0%	BF	-4%	4%	WF	99.9
Craven CC	50	8%	34	12%	11	*	24	8%	99	10%	105	4%	4%	WM	-8%	12%	BM	3.1
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	3%	23	0%	22	14%	182	3%	129	5%	0%	HF	-14%	14%	HM	99.9
Durham TCC	143	7%	128	9%	93	6%	87	6%	63	6%	94	5%	5%	WM	-3%	9%	BM	1.6
Edgecombe CC	45	7%	29	0%	3	*	4	*	34	6%	16	*	0%	BM	-7%	7%	BF	99.9
Fayetteville TCC	288	10%	283	10%	102	5%	99	7%	211	7%	191	8%	5%	HF	-6%	10%	BF	2.1
Forsyth TCC	153	7%	147	4%	142	5%	120	2%	287	5%	323	2%	2%	HM	-6%	7%	BF	4.3
Gaston College	33	9%	35	9%	28	4%	30	7%	210	7%	144	2%	2%	WM	-7%	9%	BF	4.4
Guilford TCC	254	3%	233	3%	97	2%	78	1%	229	6%	279	5%	1%	HM	-5%	6%	WF	4.8
Halifax CC	30	3%	38	53%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	33%	3%	BF	-49%	53%	BM	15.8
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	10%	72	7%	7%	WM	-3%	10%	WF	1.4
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	13%	40	8%	8%	WM	-5%	13%	WF	1.7
James Sprunt CC	32	19%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	17%	21	5%	5%	WM	-14%	19%	BF	3.9
Johnston CC	45	4%	56	4%	55	4%	28	0%	179	3%	158	6%	0%	HM	-6%	6%	WM	99.9
Lenoir CC	52	4%	43	7%	14	*	11	*	56	2%	56	5%	2%	WF	-5%	7%	BM	3.9
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	13%	28	7%	7%	WM	-6%	13%	WF	1.9
Mitchell CC	23	0%	26	8%	18	*	29	3%	141	7%	135	3%	0%	BF	-8%	8%	BM	99.9
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	0%	27	11%	0%	WF	-11%	11%	WM	99.9
Nash CC	56	7%	45	9%	24	17%	17	*	69	7%	77	13%	7%	BF	-10%	17%	HF	2.3
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	23%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	10%	10%	WM	-13%	23%	BM	2.4
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	6%	34	0%	0%	WM	-6%	6%	WF	99.9
Pitt CC	249	4%	202	8%	50	4%	43	2%	139	8%	155	3%	2%	HM	-6%	8%	BM	3.6
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	12%	93	5%	5%	WM	-6%	12%	WF	2.2
Richmond CC	41	0%	22	5%	3	*	4	*	40	10%	33	6%	0%	BF	-10%	10%	WF	99.9
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	4%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	4%	BF	-	4%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	10%	23	0%	18	*	11	*	32	3%	20	5%	0%	BM	-10%	10%	BF	99.9
Rockingham CC	33	0%	28	7%	12	*	7	*	103	6%	108	4%	0%	BF	-7%	7%	BM	99.9
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	2%	70	6%	58	3%	63	5%	266	5%	253	6%	2%	BF	-3%	6%	BM	2.6
Sampson CC	22	9%	15	*	22	0%	10	*	38	11%	26	4%	0%	HF	-11%	11%	WF	99.9
Sandhills CC	32	0%	56	4%	30	7%	21	5%	78	12%	76	9%	0%	BF	-12%	12%	WF	99.9
South Piedmont CC	33	12%	16	*	32	9%	25	0%	78	6%	76	5%	0%	HM	-12%	12%	BF	99.9
Southeastern CC	25	4%	20	5%	6	*	2	*	38	8%	51	2%	2%	WM	-6%	8%	WF	4.0
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	9%	47	6%	6%	WM	-3%	9%	WF	1.4
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	13%	75	7%	7%	WM	-6%	13%	WF	1.9
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	4%	20	0%	146	1%	101	1%	0%	HM	-4%	4%	HF	99.9
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	4%	27	7%	4%	WF	-4%	7%	WM	1.9
Vance-Granville CC	71	4%	55	13%	19	*	11	*	120	5%	92	7%	4%	BF	-9%	13%	BM	3.0
Wake TCC	396	5%	393	6%	356	6%	285	4%	738	5%	1063	6%	4%	HM	-3%	6%	HF	1.7
Wayne CC	67	7%	40	0%	40	8%	40	0%	92	9%	111	6%	0%	HM	-9%	9%	WF	99.9
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	8%	73	3%	3%	WM	-5%	8%	WF	2.9
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	17%	12	*	129	9%	92	2%	2%	WM	-14%	17%	HF	7.7
Wilson CC	34	15%	29	17%	14	*	13	*	30	17%	25	8%	8%	WM	-9%	17%	BM	2.2

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Ratio = 99.9 indicates lowest represented group has zero students





PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Fall Semester Accumulated Hours Attempted

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated hours attempted

See tables for notes about disaggregation

Note: Scale 5-20 to illuminate group differences



### Fall Semester Accumulated Hours Attempted by Race/Ethnicity

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated hours attempted

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Hour Differential					
	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>11.6</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>11.4</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>10.9</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>11.3</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>11.9</b>	<b>10.9</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-1.5</b>	<b>12.3</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>1.1</b>
Alamance CC	509	11.7	9	*	14	*	80	11.0	83	11.5	281	12.0	11.0	BL	-1.0	12.0	WH	1.1
Asheville-Buncombe	563	10.8	4	*	9	*	41	8.7	68	10.1	403	11.2	8.7	BL	-2.5	11.2	WH	1.3
Beaufort County CC	93	10.2	0	*	0	*	20	9.5	8	*	58	10.4	9.5	BL	-1.0	10.4	WH	1.1
Bladen CC	111	12.0	29	11.3	0	*	22	10.6	9	*	36	13.2	10.6	BL	-2.6	13.2	WH	1.2
Blue Ridge CC	280	12.1	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	12.1	204	12.2	12.1	HI	-0.1	12.2	WH	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	13.1	2	*	2	*	29	13.9	19	*	141	13.1	13.1	WH	-0.7	13.9	BL	1.1
Caldwell CC and TI	328	11.9	1	*	3	*	30	11.5	31	11.8	248	12.0	11.5	BL	-0.5	12.0	WH	1.0
Cape Fear CC	1200	12.1	10	*	12	*	162	11.2	123	12.1	815	12.3	11.2	BL	-1.1	12.3	WH	1.1
Carteret CC	211	11.4	2	*	1	*	21	11.0	13	*	162	11.6	11.0	BL	-0.6	11.6	WH	1.1
Catawba Valley CC	656	12.5	4	*	56	12.4	66	13.1	96	12.2	412	12.4	12.2	HI	-0.9	13.1	BL	1.1
Central Carolina CC	516	12.3	3	*	11	*	124	13.2	104	12.6	238	11.9	11.9	WH	-1.3	13.2	BL	1.1
Central Piedmont CC	3496	11.0	14	*	233	11.9	1054	10.3	671	10.9	1233	11.4	10.3	BL	-1.6	11.9	AS	1.2
Cleveland CC	564	9.8	5	*	7	*	123	9.3	33	10.1	368	9.8	9.3	BL	-0.8	10.1	HI	1.1
Coastal Carolina CC	757	11.9	11	*	26	12.4	118	11.2	126	10.9	466	12.4	10.9	HI	-1.5	12.4	AS	1.1
Coll of the Albemarle	323	11.6	1	*	5	*	71	11.2	16	*	202	12.1	11.2	BL	-0.9	12.1	WH	1.1
Craven CC	378	10.6	3	*	17	*	84	9.6	35	11.0	204	10.6	9.6	BL	-1.4	11.0	HI	1.1
Davidson County CC	451	11.9	3	*	8	*	48	11.5	45	12.1	311	12.1	11.5	BL	-0.5	12.1	HI	1.0
Durham TCC	725	10.0	5	*	50	12.4	271	9.4	180	10.3	157	10.3	9.4	BL	-3.0	12.4	AS	1.3
Edgecombe CC	139	11.7	1	*	0	*	74	11.4	7	*	50	12.0	11.4	BL	-0.6	12.0	WH	1.1
Fayetteville TCC	1407	11.4	46	11.5	41	11.3	571	11.3	201	11.2	402	11.4	11.2	HI	-0.3	11.5	AI	1.0
Forsyth TCC	1273	11.5	1	*	21	12.1	300	10.6	262	11.7	610	11.8	10.6	BL	-1.5	12.1	AS	1.1
Gaston College	668	11.7	0	*	9	*	68	11.3	58	11.6	354	11.9	11.3	BL	-0.6	11.9	WH	1.1
Guilford TCC	1383	10.9	5	*	108	11.6	487	9.7	175	11.1	508	11.9	9.7	BL	-2.2	11.9	WH	1.2
Halifax CC	124	13.2	3	*	1	*	68	13.2	5	*	39	13.2	13.2	BL	-0.1	13.2	WH	1.0
Haywood CC	178	12.9	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	12.8	12.8	WH	-	12.8	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	13.1	0	*	0	*	25	12.7	3	*	104	13.2	12.7	BL	-0.5	13.2	WH	1.0
James Sprunt CC	128	12.5	0	*	1	*	40	12.5	27	12.0	51	12.7	12.0	HI	-0.6	12.7	WH	1.1
Johnston CC	558	12.0	1	*	6	*	101	11.6	83	11.8	337	12.2	11.6	BL	-0.6	12.2	WH	1.1
Lenoir CC	239	12.6	1	*	3	*	95	12.0	25	12.6	112	13.1	12.0	BL	-1.0	13.1	WH	1.1
Martin CC	41	12.9	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	13.8	13.8	WH	-	13.8	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	12.5	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	12.8	12.8	WH	-	12.8	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	11.2	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	10.9	10.9	WH	-	10.9	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	12.1	3	*	11	*	49	11.4	47	11.5	276	12.3	11.4	BL	-0.9	12.3	WH	1.1
Montgomery CC	92	12.2	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	12.9	12.9	WH	-	12.9	WH	-
Nash CC	327	12.5	14	*	3	*	101	11.6	41	12.5	146	12.9	11.6	BL	-1.3	12.9	WH	1.1
Pamlico CC	69	13.6	1	*	2	*	24	15.1	4	*	32	12.9	12.9	WH	-2.2	15.1	BL	1.2
Piedmont CC	121	11.1	3	*	0	*	35	11.1	5	*	67	11.1	11.1	WH	0.0	11.1	BL	1.0
Pitt CC	906	12.1	5	*	9	*	451	11.9	93	12.5	294	12.3	11.9	BL	-0.5	12.5	HI	1.0
Randolph CC	327	12.3	3	*	3	*	26	9.7	28	12.5	212	12.6	9.7	BL	-2.9	12.6	WH	1.3
Richmond CC	192	11.6	22	10.8	1	*	63	11.1	7	*	73	12.2	10.8	AI	-1.4	12.2	WH	1.1
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	11.6	0	*	0	*	35	10.9	1	*	19	*	10.9	BL	-	10.9	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	12.0	155	11.6	3	*	64	11.9	29	12.0	52	12.9	11.6	AI	-1.4	12.9	WH	1.1
Rockingham CC	322	11.2	1	*	2	*	61	9.3	19	*	211	11.7	9.3	BL	-2.3	11.7	WH	1.2
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	11.6	3	*	19	*	160	11.4	121	11.3	519	11.7	11.3	HI	-0.4	11.7	WH	1.0
Sampson CC	145	12.5	3	*	0	*	37	11.7	32	12.9	64	12.9	11.7	BL	-1.2	12.9	WH	1.1
Sandhills CC	341	12.0	22	12.5	1	*	88	11.3	51	11.7	154	12.3	11.3	BL	-1.2	12.5	AI	1.1
South Piedmont CC	284	10.5	0	*	1	*	49	9.6	57	10.7	154	10.6	9.6	BL	-1.1	10.7	HI	1.1
Southeastern CC	163	13.3	15	*	0	*	45	12.1	8	*	89	13.6	12.1	BL	-1.5	13.6	WH	1.1
Southwestern CC	170	11.2	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	11.3	11.3	WH	-	11.3	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	12.6	0	*	11	*	33	12.7	10	*	154	12.2	12.2	WH	-0.5	12.7	BL	1.0
Surry CC	319	12.1	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	11.2	247	12.2	11.2	HI	-1.0	12.2	WH	1.1
Tri-County CC	55	11.8	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	11.7	11.7	WH	-	11.7	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	11.3	2	*	5	*	126	11.0	30	11.9	212	11.2	11.0	BL	-0.9	11.9	HI	1.1
Wake TCC	3724	11.4	20	11.0	239	13.3	789	10.2	641	10.9	1801	11.8	10.2	BL	-3.2	13.3	AS	1.3
Wayne CC	416	12.3	4	*	9	*	107	12.0	80	10.8	203	13.2	10.8	HI	-2.5	13.2	WH	1.2
Western Piedmont CC	239	11.7	3	*	23	13.3	22	11.0	30	11.3	161	11.7	11.0	BL	-2.3	13.3	AS	1.2
Wilkes CC	277	13.4	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	13.5	221	13.4	13.4	WH	-0.1	13.5	HI	1.0
Wilson CC	154	12.7	0	*	1	*	63	12.8	27	12.7	55	12.7	12.7	WH	-0.2	12.8	BL	1.0

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races






PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## Developmental Course Enrollment

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in developmental course(s)

See tables for notes about disaggregation



## Developmental Course Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in developmental course(s)

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Developmental Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>-26%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>2.0</b>
Alamance CC	509	21%	9	*	14	*	80	36%	83	24%	281	15%	15%	WH	-22%	36%	BL	2.5
Asheville-Buncombe	563	31%	4	*	9	*	41	44%	68	43%	403	28%	28%	WH	-16%	44%	BL	1.6
Beaufort County CC	93	33%	0	*	0	*	20	55%	8	*	58	28%	28%	WH	-27%	55%	BL	2.0
Bladen CC	111	32%	29	31%	0	*	22	59%	9	*	36	17%	17%	WH	-42%	59%	BL	3.5
Blue Ridge CC	280	18%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	28%	204	15%	15%	WH	-13%	28%	HI	1.9
Brunswick CC	217	32%	2	*	2	*	29	72%	19	*	141	24%	24%	WH	-48%	72%	BL	3.0
Caldwell CC and TI	328	27%	1	*	3	*	30	60%	31	23%	248	23%	23%	HI	-37%	60%	BL	2.7
Cape Fear CC	1200	31%	10	*	12	*	162	54%	123	30%	815	27%	27%	WH	-27%	54%	BL	2.0
Carteret CC	211	29%	2	*	1	*	21	48%	13	*	162	27%	27%	WH	-21%	48%	BL	1.8
Catawba Valley CC	656	36%	4	*	56	21%	66	56%	96	43%	412	33%	21%	AS	-35%	56%	BL	2.6
Central Carolina CC	516	29%	3	*	11	*	124	34%	104	29%	238	26%	26%	WH	-8%	34%	BL	1.3
Central Piedmont CC	3496	48%	14	*	233	48%	1054	65%	671	48%	1233	32%	32%	WH	-33%	65%	BL	2.1
Cleveland CC	564	9%	5	*	7	*	123	14%	33	15%	368	7%	7%	WH	-8%	15%	HI	2.1
Coastal Carolina CC	757	41%	11	*	26	31%	118	53%	126	41%	466	38%	31%	AS	-22%	53%	BL	1.7
Coll of the Albemarle	323	39%	1	*	5	*	71	63%	16	*	202	30%	30%	WH	-33%	63%	BL	2.1
Craven CC	378	34%	3	*	17	*	84	58%	35	17%	204	29%	17%	HI	-41%	58%	BL	3.4
Davidson County CC	451	46%	3	*	8	*	48	58%	45	42%	311	43%	42%	HI	-16%	58%	BL	1.4
Durham TCC	725	50%	5	*	50	58%	271	65%	180	52%	157	27%	27%	WH	-38%	65%	BL	2.4
Edgecombe CC	139	67%	1	*	0	*	74	74%	7	*	50	56%	56%	WH	-18%	74%	BL	1.3
Fayetteville TCC	1407	29%	46	22%	41	24%	571	38%	201	27%	402	22%	22%	AI	-16%	38%	BL	1.7
Forsyth TCC	1273	25%	1	*	21	33%	300	44%	262	27%	610	15%	15%	WH	-29%	44%	BL	3.0
Gaston College	668	27%	0	*	9	*	68	40%	58	34%	354	24%	24%	WH	-16%	40%	BL	1.7
Guilford TCC	1383	39%	5	*	108	36%	487	57%	175	31%	508	24%	24%	WH	-33%	57%	BL	2.4
Halifax CC	124	38%	3	*	1	*	68	43%	5	*	39	31%	31%	WH	-12%	43%	BL	1.4
Haywood CC	178	11%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	12%	12%	WH	-	12%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	38%	0	*	0	*	25	48%	3	*	104	35%	35%	WH	-13%	48%	BL	1.4
James Sprunt CC	128	55%	0	*	1	*	40	70%	27	70%	51	37%	37%	WH	-33%	70%	HI	1.9
Johnston CC	558	33%	1	*	6	*	101	44%	83	40%	337	28%	28%	WH	-16%	44%	BL	1.6
Lenoir CC	239	38%	1	*	3	*	95	52%	25	36%	112	27%	27%	WH	-25%	52%	BL	1.9
Martin CC	41	39%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	38%	38%	WH	-	38%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	24%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	21%	21%	WH	-	21%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	20%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	21%	21%	WH	-	21%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	21%	3	*	11	*	49	33%	47	26%	276	20%	20%	WH	-13%	33%	BL	1.7
Montgomery CC	92	3%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	2%	2%	WH	-	2%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	49%	14	*	3	*	101	67%	41	41%	146	42%	41%	HI	-26%	67%	BL	1.6
Pamlico CC	69	10%	1	*	2	*	24	4%	4	*	32	16%	4%	BL	-11%	16%	WH	3.8
Piedmont CC	121	33%	3	*	0	*	35	34%	5	*	67	34%	34%	BL	0%	34%	WH	1.0
Pitt CC	906	46%	5	*	9	*	451	62%	93	37%	294	27%	27%	WH	-35%	62%	BL	2.3
Randolph CC	327	28%	3	*	3	*	26	62%	28	21%	212	25%	21%	HI	-40%	62%	BL	2.9
Richmond CC	192	56%	22	77%	1	*	63	60%	7	*	73	55%	55%	WH	-22%	77%	AI	1.4
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	25%	0	*	0	*	35	34%	1	*	19	*	34%	BL	-	34%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	53%	155	59%	3	*	64	58%	29	41%	52	35%	35%	WH	-25%	59%	AI	1.7
Rockingham CC	322	47%	1	*	2	*	61	61%	19	*	211	43%	43%	WH	-18%	61%	BL	1.4
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	38%	3	*	19	*	160	60%	121	37%	519	31%	31%	WH	-29%	60%	BL	1.9
Sampson CC	145	31%	3	*	0	*	37	46%	32	34%	64	25%	25%	WH	-21%	46%	BL	1.8
Sandhills CC	341	48%	22	41%	1	*	88	65%	51	67%	154	32%	32%	WH	-34%	67%	HI	2.1
South Piedmont CC	284	43%	0	*	1	*	49	65%	57	40%	154	39%	39%	WH	-26%	65%	BL	1.7
Southeastern CC	163	33%	15	*	0	*	45	44%	8	*	89	31%	31%	WH	-13%	44%	BL	1.4
Southwestern CC	170	38%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	33%	33%	WH	-	33%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	21%	0	*	11	*	33	27%	10	*	154	21%	21%	WH	-6%	27%	BL	1.3
Surry CC	319	24%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	30%	247	23%	23%	WH	-6%	30%	HI	1.3
Tri-County CC	55	15%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	15%	15%	WH	-	15%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	26%	2	*	5	*	126	37%	30	30%	212	20%	20%	WH	-17%	37%	BL	1.8
Wake TCC	3724	39%	20	30%	239	43%	789	57%	641	42%	1801	30%	30%	AI	-27%	57%	BL	1.9
Wayne CC	416	33%	4	*	9	*	107	46%	80	40%	203	23%	23%	WH	-23%	46%	BL	2.0
Western Piedmont CC	239	26%	3	*	23	17%	22	45%	30	33%	161	24%	17%	AS	-28%	45%	BL	2.6
Wilkes CC	277	25%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	28%	221	24%	24%	WH	-4%	28%	HI	1.2
Wilson CC	154	44%	0	*	1	*	63	63%	27	37%	55	25%	25%	WH	-38%	63%	BL	2.5

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

## Developmental Course Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in developmental course(s)

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Developmental Differential					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>-31%</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>BF</b>	<b>2.3</b>
Alamance CC	43	35%	37	38%	52	31%	31	13%	148	16%	133	14%	13%	HM	-25%	38%	BM	2.9
Asheville-Buncombe	21	43%	20	45%	25	48%	43	40%	211	29%	192	27%	27%	WM	-21%	48%	HF	1.8
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	28%	29	28%	28%	WM	-	28%	WM	-
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	23%	14	*	23%	WF	-	23%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	28%	95	13%	109	17%	13%	WF	-15%	28%	HM	2.2
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	29%	72	19%	19%	WM	-10%	29%	WF	1.5
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	25%	103	20%	20%	WM	-4%	25%	WF	1.2
Cape Fear CC	76	46%	86	60%	63	29%	60	32%	423	26%	392	27%	26%	WF	-34%	60%	BM	2.3
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	31%	71	21%	21%	WM	-10%	31%	WF	1.5
Catawba Valley CC	22	36%	44	66%	36	39%	60	45%	230	34%	182	31%	31%	WM	-35%	66%	BM	2.1
Central Carolina CC	58	47%	66	23%	58	31%	46	26%	137	28%	101	22%	22%	WM	-25%	47%	BF	2.1
Central Piedmont CC	578	65%	476	66%	359	53%	312	42%	567	33%	666	31%	31%	WM	-35%	66%	BM	2.1
Cleveland CC	82	12%	41	17%	18	*	15	*	212	9%	156	5%	5%	WM	-12%	17%	BM	3.3
Coastal Carolina CC	58	53%	60	52%	63	52%	63	30%	240	45%	226	30%	30%	WM	-24%	53%	BF	1.8
Coll of the Albemarle	36	67%	35	60%	8	*	8	*	123	36%	79	22%	22%	WM	-45%	67%	BF	3.1
Craven CC	50	60%	34	56%	11	*	24	13%	99	37%	105	21%	13%	HM	-48%	60%	BF	4.8
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	59%	23	48%	22	36%	182	47%	129	39%	36%	HM	-22%	59%	BM	1.6
Durham TCC	143	69%	128	60%	93	63%	87	40%	63	32%	94	24%	24%	WM	-45%	69%	BF	2.8
Edgecombe CC	45	73%	29	76%	3	*	4	*	34	62%	16	*	62%	WF	-14%	76%	BM	1.2
Fayetteville TCC	288	39%	283	37%	102	29%	99	24%	211	25%	191	20%	20%	WM	-19%	39%	BF	1.9
Forsyth TCC	153	44%	147	44%	142	26%	120	29%	287	17%	323	13%	13%	WM	-32%	44%	BF	3.5
Gaston College	33	39%	35	40%	28	36%	30	33%	210	21%	144	28%	21%	WF	-19%	40%	BM	1.9
Guilford TCC	254	57%	233	57%	97	32%	78	31%	229	27%	279	22%	22%	WM	-36%	57%	BF	2.7
Halifax CC	30	60%	38	29%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	33%	29%	BM	-31%	60%	BF	2.1
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	16%	72	7%	7%	WM	-9%	16%	WF	2.4
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	33%	40	38%	33%	WF	-5%	38%	WM	1.1
James Sprunt CC	32	75%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	43%	21	29%	29%	WM	-46%	75%	BF	2.6
Johnston CC	45	56%	56	34%	55	44%	28	32%	179	33%	158	22%	22%	WM	-34%	56%	BF	2.6
Lenoir CC	52	44%	43	60%	14	*	11	*	56	32%	56	21%	21%	WM	-39%	60%	BM	2.8
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	27%	28	14%	14%	WM	-12%	27%	WF	1.9
Mitchell CC	23	30%	26	35%	18	*	29	24%	141	23%	135	16%	16%	WM	-18%	35%	BM	2.1
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	4%	27	0%	0%	WM	-4%	4%	WF	99.9
Nash CC	56	70%	45	64%	24	46%	17	*	69	46%	77	39%	39%	WM	-31%	70%	BF	1.8
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	0%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	5%	0%	BM	-5%	5%	WM	99.9
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	33%	34	35%	33%	WF	-2%	35%	WM	1.1
Pitt CC	249	67%	202	56%	50	32%	43	42%	139	32%	155	23%	23%	WM	-44%	67%	BF	3.0
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	34%	93	13%	13%	WM	-22%	34%	WF	2.7
Richmond CC	41	66%	22	50%	3	*	4	*	40	65%	33	42%	42%	WM	-23%	66%	BF	1.6
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	29%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	29%	BF	-	29%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	61%	23	52%	18	*	11	*	32	47%	20	15%	15%	WM	-46%	61%	BF	4.1
Rockingham CC	33	55%	28	68%	12	*	7	*	103	46%	108	41%	41%	WM	-27%	68%	BM	1.7
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	62%	70	57%	58	38%	63	37%	266	32%	253	30%	30%	WM	-32%	62%	BF	2.1
Sampson CC	22	55%	15	*	22	41%	10	*	38	29%	26	19%	19%	WM	-35%	55%	BF	2.8
Sandhills CC	32	63%	56	66%	30	73%	21	57%	78	35%	76	30%	30%	WM	-43%	73%	HF	2.4
South Piedmont CC	33	73%	16	*	32	38%	25	44%	78	38%	76	39%	38%	HF	-35%	73%	BF	1.9
Southeastern CC	25	44%	20	45%	6	*	2	*	38	55%	51	14%	14%	WM	-42%	55%	WF	4.0
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	29%	47	38%	29%	WF	-9%	38%	WM	1.3
Starry CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	13%	75	31%	13%	WF	-18%	31%	WM	2.4
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	33%	20	25%	146	26%	101	20%	20%	WM	-14%	33%	HF	1.7
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	23%	27	7%	7%	WM	-16%	23%	WF	3.1
Vance-Granville CC	71	38%	55	36%	19	*	11	*	120	28%	92	11%	11%	WM	-27%	38%	BF	3.5
Wake TCC	396	62%	393	51%	356	44%	285	40%	738	31%	1063	30%	30%	WM	-32%	62%	BF	2.1
Wayne CC	67	51%	40	38%	40	53%	40	28%	92	22%	111	24%	22%	WF	-31%	53%	HF	2.4
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	32%	73	15%	15%	WM	-17%	32%	WF	2.1
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	33%	12	*	129	29%	92	16%	16%	WM	-17%	33%	HF	2.0
Wilson CC	34	74%	29	52%	14	*	13	*	30	37%	25	12%	12%	WM	-62%	74%	BF	6.1

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

Note: Ratio = 99.9 indicates lowest represented group has zero students



PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Fall Semester Accumulated College-Level Hours Attempted

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) college-level hours attempted

See tables for notes about disaggregation

Note: Scale 5-20 to illuminate group differences



### Fall Semester Accumulated College-Level Hours Attempted by Race/Ethnicity

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) college-level hours attempted

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Hour Differential					
	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>9.3</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>10.8</b>	<b>8.1</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-2.7</b>	<b>10.8</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Alamance CC	509	10.7	9	*	14	*	80	9.3	83	10.1	281	11.3	9.3	BL	-2.0	11.3	WH	1.2
Asheville-Buncombe	563	9.8	4	*	9	*	41	6.7	68	8.5	403	10.4	6.7	BL	-3.6	10.4	WH	1.5
Beaufort County CC	93	8.8	0	*	0	*	20	6.8	8	*	58	9.4	6.8	BL	-2.6	9.4	WH	1.4
Bladen CC	111	10.2	29	9.6	0	*	22	7.5	9	*	36	12.4	7.5	BL	-4.8	12.4	WH	1.6
Blue Ridge CC	280	11.4	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	11.0	204	11.7	11.0	HI	-0.7	11.7	WH	1.1
Brunswick CC	217	11.2	2	*	2	*	29	8.3	19	*	141	11.9	8.3	BL	-3.6	11.9	WH	1.4
Caldwell CC and TI	328	10.5	1	*	3	*	30	7.2	31	10.7	248	10.9	7.2	BL	-3.8	10.9	WH	1.5
Cape Fear CC	1200	10.9	10	*	12	*	162	8.5	123	10.9	815	11.3	8.5	BL	-2.8	11.3	WH	1.3
Carteret CC	211	10.2	2	*	1	*	21	8.3	13	*	162	10.7	8.3	BL	-2.4	10.7	WH	1.3
Catawba Valley CC	656	11.2	4	*	56	11.6	66	10.0	96	10.6	412	11.4	10.0	BL	-1.6	11.6	AS	1.2
Central Carolina CC	516	11.2	3	*	11	*	124	11.6	104	11.5	238	11.0	11.0	WH	-0.7	11.6	BL	1.1
Central Piedmont CC	3496	8.5	14	*	233	8.4	1054	6.9	671	8.6	1233	10.2	6.9	BL	-3.3	10.2	WH	1.5
Cleveland CC	564	9.3	5	*	7	*	123	8.6	33	9.4	368	9.5	8.6	BL	-0.9	9.5	WH	1.1
Coastal Carolina CC	757	10.0	11	*	26	11.1	118	8.7	126	8.8	466	10.7	8.7	BL	-2.4	11.1	AS	1.3
Coll of the Albemarle	323	10.0	1	*	5	*	71	8.1	16	*	202	11.0	8.1	BL	-2.8	11.0	WH	1.3
Craven CC	378	9.2	3	*	17	*	84	6.9	35	10.4	204	9.5	6.9	BL	-3.5	10.4	HI	1.5
Davidson County CC	451	10.0	3	*	8	*	48	8.5	45	10.0	311	10.4	8.5	BL	-1.9	10.4	WH	1.2
Durham TCC	725	7.2	5	*	50	6.2	271	6.0	180	7.3	157	9.1	6.0	BL	-3.1	9.1	WH	1.5
Edgecombe CC	139	7.4	1	*	0	*	74	6.3	7	*	50	9.1	6.3	BL	-2.8	9.1	WH	1.4
Fayetteville TCC	1407	10.5	46	10.9	41	10.6	571	10.1	201	10.3	402	10.8	10.1	BL	-0.9	10.9	AI	1.1
Forsyth TCC	1273	10.1	1	*	21	10.7	300	7.9	262	10.1	610	11.2	7.9	BL	-3.3	11.2	WH	1.4
Gaston College	668	10.9	0	*	9	*	68	9.9	58	10.7	354	11.2	9.9	BL	-1.3	11.2	WH	1.1
Guilford TCC	1383	9.1	5	*	108	9.9	487	7.0	175	9.8	508	10.8	7.0	BL	-3.8	10.8	WH	1.5
Halifax CC	124	11.5	3	*	1	*	68	11.1	5	*	39	12.0	11.1	BL	-0.9	12.0	WH	1.1
Haywood CC	178	12.4	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	12.3	12.3	WH	-	12.3	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	11.7	0	*	0	*	25	10.5	3	*	104	11.9	10.5	BL	-1.4	11.9	WH	1.1
James Sprunt CC	128	9.4	0	*	1	*	40	8.1	27	8.1	51	11.0	8.1	HI	-2.9	11.0	WH	1.4
Johnston CC	558	10.9	1	*	6	*	101	10.0	83	10.3	337	11.2	10.0	BL	-1.2	11.2	WH	1.1
Lenoir CC	239	10.9	1	*	3	*	95	9.5	25	11.0	112	12.0	9.5	BL	-2.5	12.0	WH	1.3
Martin CC	41	11.5	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	12.3	12.3	WH	-	12.3	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	11.5	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	12.0	12.0	WH	-	12.0	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	10.4	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	10.3	10.3	WH	-	10.3	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	11.4	3	*	11	*	49	10.2	47	10.6	276	11.7	10.2	BL	-1.5	11.7	WH	1.1
Montgomery CC	92	12.1	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	12.8	12.8	WH	-	12.8	WH	-
Nash CC	327	9.8	14	*	3	*	101	7.9	41	10.3	146	10.6	7.9	BL	-2.6	10.6	WH	1.3
Pamlico CC	69	13.2	1	*	2	*	24	15.0	4	*	32	12.3	12.3	WH	-2.6	15.0	BL	1.2
Piedmont CC	121	9.7	3	*	0	*	35	9.5	5	*	67	9.9	9.5	BL	-0.4	9.9	WH	1.0
Pitt CC	906	9.3	5	*	9	*	451	8.0	93	10.4	294	10.9	8.0	BL	-3.0	10.9	WH	1.4
Randolph CC	327	10.9	3	*	3	*	26	6.7	28	11.8	212	11.2	6.7	BL	-5.1	11.8	HI	1.8
Richmond CC	192	9.0	22	7.3	1	*	63	8.3	7	*	73	9.7	7.3	AI	-2.4	9.7	WH	1.3
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	10.8	0	*	0	*	35	9.8	1	*	19	*	9.8	BL	-	9.8	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	9.3	155	8.4	3	*	64	8.9	29	10.1	52	11.5	8.4	AI	-3.1	11.5	WH	1.4
Rockingham CC	322	9.4	1	*	2	*	61	6.8	19	*	211	10.1	6.8	BL	-3.3	10.1	WH	1.5
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	10.1	3	*	19	*	160	8.6	121	9.9	519	10.6	8.6	BL	-2.0	10.6	WH	1.2
Sampson CC	145	10.9	3	*	0	*	37	9.5	32	11.1	64	11.6	9.5	BL	-2.2	11.6	WH	1.2
Sandhills CC	341	9.4	22	10.4	1	*	88	7.5	51	8.2	154	10.6	7.5	BL	-3.1	10.6	WH	1.4
South Piedmont CC	284	8.7	0	*	1	*	49	6.7	57	8.7	154	9.2	6.7	BL	-2.5	9.2	WH	1.4
Southeastern CC	163	11.8	15	*	0	*	45	9.8	8	*	89	12.3	9.8	BL	-2.5	12.3	WH	1.3
Southwestern CC	170	10.0	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	10.4	10.4	WH	-	10.4	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	11.6	0	*	11	*	33	11.5	10	*	154	11.4	11.4	WH	-0.1	11.5	BL	1.0
Surry CC	319	11.3	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	10.3	247	11.3	10.3	HI	-1.0	11.3	WH	1.1
Tri-County CC	55	11.3	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	11.2	11.2	WH	-	11.2	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	10.1	2	*	5	*	126	9.2	30	10.1	212	10.4	9.2	BL	-1.2	10.4	WH	1.1
Wake TCC	3724	9.3	20	9.2	239	8.6	789	7.0	641	8.8	1801	10.5	7.0	BL	-3.5	10.5	WH	1.5
Wayne CC	416	10.4	4	*	9	*	107	8.9	80	8.4	203	12.0	8.4	HI	-3.6	12.0	WH	1.4
Western Piedmont CC	239	10.6	3	*	23	12.3	22	8.8	30	10.2	161	10.7	8.8	BL	-3.5	12.3	AS	1.4
Wilkes CC	277	12.5	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	12.6	221	12.5	12.5	WH	-0.1	12.6	HI	1.0
Wilson CC	154	10.7	0	*	1	*	63	9.9	27	11.1	55	11.5	9.9	BL	-1.6	11.5	WH	1.2

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Fall Semester Accumulated College-Level Hours Attempted by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) college-level hours attempted

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Hour Differential					
	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>8.6</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>10.5</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>BF</b>	<b>-3.5</b>	<b>11.2</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>1.5</b>
Alamance CC	43	9.4	37	9.1	52	9.5	31	11.2	148	11.1	133	11.4	9.1	BM	-2.3	11.4	WM	1.3
Asheville-Buncombe	21	7.7	20	5.8	25	8.2	43	8.7	211	10.1	192	10.6	5.8	BM	-4.9	10.6	WM	1.9
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	8.7	29	10.0	8.7	WF	-1.3	10.0	WM	1.2
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	12.3	14	*	12.3	WF	-	12.3	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	11.0	95	11.2	109	12.1	11.0	HM	-1.0	12.1	WM	1.1
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	11.1	72	12.6	11.1	WF	-1.5	12.6	WM	1.1
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	10.8	103	11.1	10.8	WF	-0.2	11.1	WM	1.0
Cape Fear CC	76	9.3	86	7.8	63	10.6	60	11.3	423	11.2	392	11.4	7.8	BM	-3.6	11.4	WM	1.5
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	10.2	71	11.3	10.2	WF	-1.0	11.3	WM	1.1
Catawba Valley CC	22	10.4	44	9.8	36	9.8	60	11.1	230	10.9	182	12.1	9.8	HF	-2.3	12.1	WM	1.2
Central Carolina CC	58	9.6	66	13.4	58	11.5	46	11.5	137	10.4	101	11.8	9.6	BF	-3.8	13.4	BM	1.4
Central Piedmont CC	578	7.0	476	6.8	359	8.1	312	9.1	567	10.1	666	10.2	6.8	BM	-3.5	10.2	WM	1.5
Cleveland CC	82	8.3	41	9.3	18	*	15	*	212	9.5	156	9.5	8.3	BF	-1.2	9.5	WF	1.1
Coastal Carolina CC	58	8.7	60	8.7	63	9.1	63	8.5	240	10.5	226	10.8	8.5	HM	-2.3	10.8	WM	1.3
Coll of the Albemarle	36	7.3	35	9.0	8	*	8	*	123	10.2	79	12.1	7.3	BF	-4.9	12.1	WM	1.7
Craven CC	50	6.4	34	7.6	11	*	24	10.0	99	8.9	105	10.2	6.4	BF	-3.8	10.2	WM	1.6
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	9.5	23	8.4	22	11.5	182	10.0	129	11.0	8.4	HF	-3.1	11.5	HM	1.4
Durham TCC	143	5.4	128	6.7	93	6.2	87	8.4	63	7.9	94	9.9	5.4	BF	-4.5	9.9	WM	1.8
Edgecombe CC	45	6.6	29	5.7	3	*	4	*	34	9.0	16	*	5.7	BM	-3.3	9.0	WF	1.6
Fayetteville TCC	288	10.5	283	9.7	102	10.5	99	10.1	211	10.9	191	10.8	9.7	BM	-1.2	10.9	WF	1.1
Forsyth TCC	153	7.3	147	8.4	142	10.0	120	10.3	287	10.3	323	11.9	7.3	BF	-4.5	11.9	WM	1.6
Gaston College	33	9.1	35	10.7	28	9.7	30	11.6	210	10.8	144	11.8	9.1	BF	-2.7	11.8	WM	1.3
Guilford TCC	254	6.9	233	7.2	97	9.5	78	10.1	229	10.5	279	11.1	6.9	BF	-4.2	11.1	WM	1.6
Halifax CC	30	8.8	38	12.9	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	13.0	8.8	BF	-4.2	13.0	WM	1.5
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	12.3	72	12.3	12.3	WF	0.0	12.3	WM	1.0
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	12.0	40	11.8	11.8	WM	-0.2	12.0	WF	1.0
James Sprunt CC	32	7.4	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	10.9	21	11.2	7.4	BF	-3.8	11.2	WM	1.5
Johnston CC	45	7.9	56	11.7	55	9.9	28	11.2	179	10.7	158	11.9	7.9	BF	-4.0	11.9	WM	1.5
Lenoir CC	52	9.5	43	9.6	14	*	11	*	56	11.3	56	12.8	9.5	BF	-3.3	12.8	WM	1.4
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	10.1	28	10.4	10.1	WF	-0.3	10.4	WM	1.0
Mitchell CC	23	9.9	26	10.5	18	*	29	11.3	141	11.4	135	12.0	9.9	BF	-2.1	12.0	WM	1.2
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	11.4	27	14.3	11.4	WF	-2.9	14.3	WM	1.3
Nash CC	56	8.1	45	7.7	24	9.7	17	*	69	9.4	77	11.6	7.7	BM	-3.9	11.6	WM	1.5
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	15.7	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	13.4	13.4	WM	-2.3	15.7	BM	1.2
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	10.6	34	9.2	9.2	WM	-1.4	10.6	WF	1.2
Pitt CC	249	7.2	202	8.9	50	10.2	43	10.6	139	10.8	155	11.1	7.2	BF	-3.8	11.1	WM	1.5
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	11.0	93	11.6	11.0	WF	-0.6	11.6	WM	1.1
Richmond CC	41	7.6	22	9.8	3	*	4	*	40	8.9	33	10.7	7.6	BF	-3.1	10.7	WM	1.4
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	9.9	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	9.9	BF	-	9.9	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	8.3	23	9.9	18	*	11	*	32	9.8	20	14.3	8.3	BF	-5.9	14.3	WM	1.7
Rockingham CC	33	6.8	28	6.8	12	*	7	*	103	9.5	108	10.8	6.8	BM	-4.0	10.8	WM	1.6
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	8.2	70	9.1	58	9.4	63	10.3	266	10.7	253	10.6	8.2	BF	-2.4	10.7	WF	1.3
Sampson CC	22	7.9	15	*	22	10.3	10	*	38	11.1	26	12.3	7.9	BF	-4.5	12.3	WM	1.6
Sandhills CC	32	6.2	56	8.2	30	7.4	21	9.4	78	10.0	76	11.2	6.2	BF	-5.0	11.2	WM	1.8
South Piedmont CC	33	5.7	16	*	32	8.3	25	9.2	78	8.8	76	9.7	5.7	BF	-4.0	9.7	WM	1.7
Southeastern CC	25	8.8	20	11.0	6	*	2	*	38	10.9	51	13.4	8.8	BF	-4.6	13.4	WM	1.5
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	10.8	47	9.8	9.8	WM	-1.0	10.8	WF	1.1
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	10.4	75	12.4	10.4	WF	-2.0	12.4	WM	1.2
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	9.3	20	11.5	146	10.7	101	12.2	9.3	HF	-2.9	12.2	WM	1.3
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	9.4	27	12.9	9.4	WF	-3.5	12.9	WM	1.4
Vance-Granville CC	71	8.7	55	9.9	19	*	11	*	120	9.8	92	11.1	8.7	BF	-2.4	11.1	WM	1.3
Wake TCC	396	6.6	393	7.5	356	8.4	285	9.4	738	10.2	1063	10.7	6.6	BF	-4.1	10.7	WM	1.6
Wayne CC	67	8.2	40	10.0	40	7.6	40	9.2	92	11.5	111	12.5	7.6	HF	-4.9	12.5	WM	1.6
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	9.8	73	11.7	9.8	WF	-1.9	11.7	WM	1.2
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	11.3	12	*	129	11.9	92	13.3	11.3	HF	-2.1	13.3	WM	1.2
Wilson CC	34	8.9	29	11.1	14	*	13	*	30	11.2	25	12.0	8.9	BF	-3.1	12.0	WM	1.3

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

## **Aggregate Grade Point Average**

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) aggregate (including summer) grade point average

## **Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.50 and Above**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with an aggregate (including summer) 2.50 GPA or above

## **Accumulated Successful College-Level Hours**

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) successful college-level hours

## **Successful College-Level Hours as a Percentage of Accumulated Attempted Hours**

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student percentage of accumulated attempted hours (including summer) that are successful college-level hours

## **Successful Completion of 8 or More Accumulated College-Level Hours**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 8 or more accumulated (including summer) successful college-level credit hours






# Aggregate Grade Point Average

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) aggregate (including summer) grade point average

See tables for notes about disaggregation

Note: Scale 1.00 to 4.00 to illuminate group differences

- Black Female
- Hispanic Female
- White Female
- Black Male
- Hispanic Male
- White Male



### Fall Semester Aggregate Grade Point Average by Race/Ethnicity

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) aggregate (including summer) grade point average

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	GPA	N	GPA	N	GPA	N	GPA	N	GPA	N	GPA	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>2.58</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>2.40</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>2.86</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>2.51</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>2.73</b>	<b>2.16</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-0.70</b>	<b>2.86</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>1.3</b>
Alamance CC	509	2.80	9	*	14	*	80	2.58	83	2.59	281	2.89	2.58	BL	-0.31	2.89	WH	1.1
Asheville-Buncombe	563	2.79	4	*	9	*	41	2.67	68	2.59	403	2.83	2.59	HI	-0.24	2.83	WH	1.1
Beaufort County CC	93	2.45	0	*	0	*	20	1.22	8	*	58	2.46	1.22	BL	-1.24	2.46	WH	2.0
Bladen CC	111	2.16	29	2.25	0	*	22	1.24	9	*	36	2.51	1.24	BL	-1.27	2.51	WH	2.0
Blue Ridge CC	280	2.76	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	2.72	204	2.81	2.72	HI	-0.09	2.81	WH	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	2.59	2	*	2	*	29	2.28	19	*	141	2.71	2.28	BL	-0.43	2.71	WH	1.2
Caldwell CC and TI	328	2.69	1	*	3	*	30	2.24	31	2.69	248	2.75	2.24	BL	-0.50	2.75	WH	1.2
Cape Fear CC	1200	2.33	10	*	12	*	162	1.85	123	2.34	815	2.43	1.85	BL	-0.58	2.43	WH	1.3
Carteret CC	211	2.57	2	*	1	*	21	2.52	13	*	162	2.54	2.52	BL	-0.02	2.54	WH	1.0
Catawba Valley CC	656	2.46	4	*	56	2.73	66	1.87	96	2.52	412	2.49	1.87	BL	-0.86	2.73	AS	1.5
Central Carolina CC	516	2.65	3	*	11	*	124	2.44	104	2.40	238	2.84	2.40	HI	-0.44	2.84	WH	1.2
Central Piedmont CC	3496	2.38	14	*	233	2.52	1054	2.05	671	2.41	1233	2.51	2.05	BL	-0.46	2.52	AS	1.2
Cleveland CC	564	2.94	5	*	7	*	123	2.61	33	3.15	368	3.04	2.61	BL	-0.54	3.15	HI	1.2
Coastal Carolina CC	757	2.93	11	*	26	3.11	118	2.61	126	2.84	466	3.02	2.61	BL	-0.50	3.11	AS	1.2
Coll of the Albemarle	323	2.91	1	*	5	*	71	2.48	16	*	202	3.04	2.48	BL	-0.55	3.04	WH	1.2
Craven CC	378	2.73	3	*	17	*	84	2.28	35	2.79	204	2.79	2.28	BL	-0.51	2.79	WH	1.2
Davidson County CC	451	2.60	3	*	8	*	48	2.04	45	2.51	311	2.68	2.04	BL	-0.64	2.68	WH	1.3
Durham TCC	725	2.53	5	*	50	3.01	271	2.15	180	2.38	157	2.90	2.15	BL	-0.86	3.01	AS	1.4
Edgecombe CC	139	2.73	1	*	0	*	74	2.41	7	*	50	3.00	2.41	BL	-0.58	3.00	WH	1.2
Fayetteville TCC	1407	2.55	46	2.50	41	3.28	571	2.15	201	2.56	402	2.95	2.15	BL	-1.14	3.28	AS	1.5
Forsyth TCC	1273	2.61	1	*	21	2.71	300	2.25	262	2.49	610	2.80	2.25	BL	-0.56	2.80	WH	1.2
Gaston College	668	2.75	0	*	9	*	68	2.06	58	2.69	354	2.85	2.06	BL	-0.79	2.85	WH	1.4
Guilford TCC	1383	2.36	5	*	108	2.73	487	1.85	175	2.41	508	2.62	1.85	BL	-0.88	2.73	AS	1.5
Halifax CC	124	3.00	3	*	1	*	68	2.92	5	*	39	3.08	2.92	BL	-0.16	3.08	WH	1.1
Haywood CC	178	2.93	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	2.97	2.97	WH	-	2.97	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	2.80	0	*	0	*	25	2.27	3	*	104	2.95	2.27	BL	-0.68	2.95	WH	1.3
James Sprunt CC	128	2.67	0	*	1	*	40	2.04	27	2.58	51	3.09	2.04	BL	-1.05	3.09	WH	1.5
Johnston CC	558	2.55	1	*	6	*	101	2.08	83	2.60	337	2.71	2.08	BL	-0.62	2.71	WH	1.3
Lenoir CC	239	2.48	1	*	3	*	95	1.67	25	2.87	112	2.84	1.67	BL	-1.20	2.87	HI	1.7
Martin CC	41	2.52	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	2.15	2.15	WH	-	2.15	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	2.80	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	2.97	2.97	WH	-	2.97	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	3.18	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	3.27	3.27	WH	-	3.27	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	2.59	3	*	11	*	49	2.25	47	2.61	276	2.62	2.25	BL	-0.37	2.62	WH	1.2
Montgomery CC	92	2.39	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	2.44	2.44	WH	-	2.44	WH	-
Nash CC	327	2.76	14	*	3	*	101	2.33	41	3.15	146	2.88	2.33	BL	-0.81	3.15	HI	1.3
Pamlico CC	69	3.08	1	*	2	*	24	2.90	4	*	32	3.25	2.90	BL	-0.35	3.25	WH	1.1
Piedmont CC	121	2.86	3	*	0	*	35	2.74	5	*	67	2.99	2.74	BL	-0.24	2.99	WH	1.1
Pitt CC	906	2.54	5	*	9	*	451	2.24	93	2.46	294	2.82	2.24	BL	-0.58	2.82	WH	1.3
Randolph CC	327	2.70	3	*	3	*	26	1.99	28	2.63	212	2.79	1.99	BL	-0.80	2.79	WH	1.4
Richmond CC	192	2.30	22	2.16	1	*	63	1.88	7	*	73	2.75	1.88	BL	-0.87	2.75	WH	1.5
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	2.81	0	*	0	*	35	2.66	1	*	19	*	2.66	BL	-	2.66	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	2.34	155	2.24	3	*	64	1.94	29	2.45	52	2.67	1.94	BL	-0.73	2.67	WH	1.4
Rockingham CC	322	2.25	1	*	2	*	61	1.95	19	*	211	2.40	1.95	BL	-0.44	2.40	WH	1.2
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	2.58	3	*	19	*	160	1.95	121	2.75	519	2.67	1.95	BL	-0.80	2.75	HI	1.4
Sampson CC	145	2.80	3	*	0	*	37	2.02	32	3.07	64	3.13	2.02	BL	-1.11	3.13	WH	1.5
Sandhills CC	341	2.56	22	2.52	1	*	88	2.01	51	2.39	154	2.77	2.01	BL	-0.76	2.77	WH	1.4
South Piedmont CC	284	2.07	0	*	1	*	49	1.40	57	2.03	154	2.21	1.40	BL	-0.81	2.21	WH	1.6
Southeastern CC	163	2.77	15	*	0	*	45	2.22	8	*	89	2.98	2.22	BL	-0.76	2.98	WH	1.3
Southwestern CC	170	2.83	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	2.87	2.87	WH	-	2.87	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	3.14	0	*	11	*	33	3.04	10	*	154	3.16	3.04	BL	-0.12	3.16	WH	1.0
Surry CC	319	2.70	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	2.62	247	2.73	2.62	HI	-0.12	2.73	WH	1.0
Tri-County CC	55	2.87	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	2.93	2.93	WH	-	2.93	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	2.59	2	*	5	*	126	2.34	30	2.48	212	2.67	2.34	BL	-0.33	2.67	WH	1.1
Wake TCC	3724	2.50	20	2.69	239	2.91	789	2.00	641	2.35	1801	2.63	2.00	BL	-0.90	2.91	AS	1.4
Wayne CC	416	2.94	4	*	9	*	107	2.63	80	3.05	203	3.02	2.63	BL	-0.42	3.05	HI	1.2
Western Piedmont CC	239	2.85	3	*	23	3.13	22	2.69	30	2.71	161	2.84	2.69	BL	-0.43	3.13	AS	1.2
Wilkes CC	277	2.76	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	2.58	221	2.81	2.58	HI	-0.23	2.81	WH	1.1
Wilson CC	154	2.47	0	*	1	*	63	2.13	27	2.26	55	2.89	2.13	BL	-0.76	2.89	WH	1.4

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Fall Semester Aggregate Grade Point Average by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) aggregate (including summer) grade point average

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	GPA	N	GPA	N	GPA	N	GPA	N	GPA	N	GPA	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>2.24</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>2.07</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>2.60</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>2.42</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>2.83</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>2.63</b>	<b>2.07</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-0.76</b>	<b>2.83</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>1.4</b>
Alamance CC	43	2.73	37	2.37	52	2.87	31	2.18	148	2.94	133	2.83	2.18	HM	-0.76	2.94	WF	1.4
Asheville-Buncombe	21	2.69	20	2.64	25	2.56	43	2.60	211	2.85	192	2.81	2.56	HF	-0.29	2.85	WF	1.1
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	2.84	29	2.15	2.15	WM	-0.69	2.84	WF	1.3
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	2.38	14	*	2.38	WF	-	2.38	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	2.61	95	2.93	109	2.71	2.61	HM	-0.33	2.93	WF	1.1
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	2.84	72	2.59	2.59	WM	-0.24	2.84	WF	1.1
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	2.82	103	2.65	2.65	WM	-0.17	2.82	WF	1.1
Cape Fear CC	76	1.87	86	1.83	63	2.14	60	2.52	423	2.51	392	2.34	1.83	BM	-0.69	2.52	HM	1.4
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	2.73	71	2.31	2.31	WM	-0.42	2.73	WF	1.2
Catawba Valley CC	22	2.17	44	1.70	36	2.65	60	2.45	230	2.59	182	2.37	1.70	BM	-0.95	2.65	HF	1.6
Central Carolina CC	58	2.35	66	2.50	58	2.61	46	2.09	137	2.90	101	2.76	2.09	HM	-0.82	2.90	WF	1.4
Central Piedmont CC	578	2.13	476	1.96	359	2.52	312	2.31	567	2.63	666	2.41	1.96	BM	-0.67	2.63	WF	1.3
Cleveland CC	82	2.95	41	2.06	18	*	15	*	212	3.14	156	2.90	2.06	BM	-1.08	3.14	WF	1.5
Coastal Carolina CC	58	2.73	60	2.47	63	3.02	63	2.64	240	3.07	226	2.96	2.47	BM	-0.60	3.07	WF	1.2
Coll of the Albemarle	36	2.28	35	2.77	8	*	8	*	123	3.10	79	2.95	2.28	BF	-0.82	3.10	WF	1.4
Craven CC	50	2.33	34	2.23	11	*	24	2.73	99	2.96	105	2.66	2.23	BM	-0.73	2.96	WF	1.3
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	2.10	23	2.58	22	2.45	182	2.79	129	2.55	2.10	BM	-0.69	2.79	WF	1.3
Durham TCC	143	2.26	128	2.05	93	2.52	87	2.27	63	3.19	94	2.74	2.05	BM	-1.14	3.19	WF	1.6
Edgecombe CC	45	2.72	29	1.64	3	*	4	*	34	3.00	16	*	1.64	BM	-1.36	3.00	WF	1.8
Fayetteville TCC	288	2.29	283	1.98	102	2.68	99	2.43	211	3.08	191	2.80	1.98	BM	-1.10	3.08	WF	1.6
Forsyth TCC	153	2.33	147	2.18	142	2.59	120	2.37	287	2.84	323	2.78	2.18	BM	-0.65	2.84	WF	1.3
Gaston College	33	2.03	35	2.08	28	2.49	30	2.83	210	2.87	144	2.82	2.03	BF	-0.84	2.87	WF	1.4
Guilford TCC	254	1.93	233	1.77	97	2.53	78	2.28	229	2.75	279	2.52	1.77	BM	-0.98	2.75	WF	1.6
Halifax CC	30	2.77	38	2.97	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	3.32	2.77	BF	-0.54	3.32	WM	1.2
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	3.10	72	2.85	2.85	WM	-0.25	3.10	WF	1.1
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	3.16	40	2.59	2.59	WM	-0.57	3.16	WF	1.2
James Sprunt CC	32	2.11	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	3.12	21	3.04	2.11	BF	-1.01	3.12	WF	1.5
Johnston CC	45	2.40	56	1.90	55	2.82	28	2.18	179	2.77	158	2.64	1.90	BM	-0.92	2.82	HF	1.5
Lenoir CC	52	1.92	43	1.36	14	*	11	*	56	2.92	56	2.77	1.36	BM	-1.56	2.92	WF	2.2
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	3.31	28	3.22	3.22	WM	-0.09	3.31	WF	1.0
Mitchell CC	23	2.77	26	1.74	18	*	29	2.50	141	2.76	135	2.48	1.74	BM	-1.03	2.77	BF	1.6
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	2.79	27	2.17	2.17	WM	-0.63	2.79	WF	1.3
Nash CC	56	2.37	45	2.30	24	3.21	17	*	69	3.06	77	2.75	2.30	BM	-0.92	3.21	HF	1.4
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	2.90	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	3.41	2.90	BM	-0.51	3.41	WM	1.2
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	2.95	34	3.03	2.95	WF	-0.08	3.03	WM	1.0
Pitt CC	249	2.37	202	2.10	50	2.68	43	2.21	139	3.06	155	2.61	2.10	BM	-0.96	3.06	WF	1.5
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	2.99	93	2.54	2.54	WM	-0.46	2.99	WF	1.2
Richmond CC	41	1.74	22	2.08	3	*	4	*	40	2.55	33	2.95	1.74	BF	-1.21	2.95	WM	1.7
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	2.58	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	2.58	BF	-	2.58	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	2.02	23	1.80	18	*	11	*	32	2.54	20	2.88	1.80	BM	-1.07	2.88	WM	1.6
Rockingham CC	33	2.11	28	1.76	12	*	7	*	103	2.45	108	2.35	1.76	BM	-0.70	2.45	WF	1.4
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	1.93	70	1.98	58	2.99	63	2.55	266	2.83	253	2.49	1.93	BF	-1.06	2.99	HF	1.6
Sampson CC	22	2.04	15	*	22	3.16	10	*	38	3.03	26	3.27	2.04	BF	-1.24	3.27	WM	1.6
Sandhills CC	32	2.38	56	1.81	30	2.46	21	2.32	78	3.08	76	2.46	1.81	BM	-1.27	3.08	WF	1.7
South Piedmont CC	33	1.20	16	*	32	2.20	25	1.83	78	2.20	76	2.22	1.20	BF	-1.02	2.22	WM	1.8
Southeastern CC	25	2.27	20	2.18	6	*	2	*	38	2.95	51	3.01	2.18	BM	-0.83	3.01	WM	1.4
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	3.07	47	2.48	2.48	WM	-0.59	3.07	WF	1.2
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	3.33	75	3.01	3.01	WM	-0.31	3.33	WF	1.1
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	2.65	20	2.58	146	2.77	101	2.69	2.58	HM	-0.19	2.77	WF	1.1
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	2.69	27	3.10	2.69	WF	-0.40	3.10	WM	1.1
Vance-Granville CC	71	2.46	55	2.20	19	*	11	*	120	2.64	92	2.70	2.20	BM	-0.49	2.70	WM	1.2
Wake TCC	396	2.18	393	1.85	356	2.48	285	2.20	738	2.79	1063	2.52	1.85	BM	-0.94	2.79	WF	1.5
Wayne CC	67	2.69	40	2.53	40	2.89	40	3.17	92	3.05	111	3.01	2.53	BM	-0.64	3.17	HM	1.3
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	2.97	73	2.70	2.70	WM	-0.28	2.97	WF	1.1
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	2.74	12	*	129	2.77	92	2.86	2.74	HF	-0.12	2.86	WM	1.0
Wilson CC	34	2.34	29	1.91	14	*	13	*	30	2.89	25	2.89	1.91	BM	-0.98	2.89	WM	1.5

\* Less than 20




Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.50 and Above

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with an aggregate (including summer) 2.50 GPA or above

See tables for notes about disaggregation



### Fall Semester Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.50 and Above by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with an aggregate (including summer) 2.50 GPA or above

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-23%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>1.7</b>
Alamance CC	509	58%	9	*	14	*	80	43%	83	51%	281	64%	43%	BL	-22%	64%	WH	1.5
Asheville-Buncombe	563	60%	4	*	9	*	41	49%	68	59%	403	62%	49%	BL	-14%	62%	WH	1.3
Beaufort County CC	93	46%	0	*	0	*	20	15%	8	*	58	50%	15%	BL	-35%	50%	WH	3.3
Bladen CC	111	41%	29	45%	0	*	22	9%	9	*	36	53%	9%	BL	-44%	53%	WH	5.8
Blue Ridge CC	280	58%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	58%	204	60%	58%	HI	-3%	60%	WH	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	51%	2	*	2	*	29	34%	19	*	141	56%	34%	BL	-22%	56%	WH	1.6
Caldwell CC and TI	328	54%	1	*	3	*	30	37%	31	58%	248	57%	37%	BL	-21%	58%	HI	1.6
Cape Fear CC	1200	45%	10	*	12	*	162	29%	123	47%	815	48%	29%	BL	-19%	48%	WH	1.7
Carteret CC	211	58%	2	*	1	*	21	67%	13	*	162	56%	56%	WH	-10%	67%	BL	1.2
Catawba Valley CC	656	52%	4	*	56	68%	66	36%	96	55%	412	52%	36%	BL	-31%	68%	AS	1.9
Central Carolina CC	516	48%	3	*	11	*	124	40%	104	41%	238	54%	40%	BL	-15%	54%	WH	1.4
Central Piedmont CC	3496	43%	14	*	233	46%	1054	30%	671	44%	1233	53%	30%	BL	-23%	53%	WH	1.8
Cleveland CC	564	70%	5	*	7	*	123	63%	33	76%	368	74%	63%	BL	-13%	76%	HI	1.2
Coastal Carolina CC	757	62%	11	*	26	69%	118	49%	126	60%	466	65%	49%	BL	-20%	69%	AS	1.4
Coll of the Albemarle	323	58%	1	*	5	*	71	32%	16	*	202	67%	32%	BL	-35%	67%	WH	2.1
Craven CC	378	61%	3	*	17	*	84	50%	35	66%	204	62%	50%	BL	-16%	66%	HI	1.3
Davidson County CC	451	48%	3	*	8	*	48	29%	45	38%	311	52%	29%	BL	-23%	52%	WH	1.8
Durham TCC	725	44%	5	*	50	40%	271	32%	180	42%	157	62%	32%	BL	-30%	62%	WH	1.9
Edgecombe CC	139	47%	1	*	0	*	74	34%	7	*	50	68%	34%	BL	-34%	68%	WH	2.0
Fayetteville TCC	1407	52%	46	52%	41	76%	571	40%	201	53%	402	65%	40%	BL	-36%	76%	AS	1.9
Forsyth TCC	1273	53%	1	*	21	57%	300	35%	262	51%	610	62%	35%	BL	-28%	62%	WH	1.8
Gaston College	668	55%	0	*	9	*	68	26%	58	62%	354	59%	26%	BL	-36%	62%	HI	2.3
Guilford TCC	1383	43%	5	*	108	60%	487	27%	175	50%	508	55%	27%	BL	-33%	60%	AS	2.2
Halifax CC	124	60%	3	*	1	*	68	53%	5	*	39	64%	53%	BL	-11%	64%	WH	1.2
Haywood CC	178	59%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	62%	62%	WH	-	62%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	56%	0	*	0	*	25	44%	3	*	104	61%	44%	BL	-17%	61%	WH	1.4
James Sprunt CC	128	47%	0	*	1	*	40	23%	27	44%	51	69%	23%	BL	-46%	69%	WH	3.1
Johnston CC	558	54%	1	*	6	*	101	33%	83	60%	337	61%	33%	BL	-28%	61%	WH	1.9
Lenoir CC	239	48%	1	*	3	*	95	26%	25	56%	112	63%	26%	BL	-37%	63%	WH	2.4
Martin CC	41	54%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	43%	43%	WH	-	43%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	51%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	58%	58%	WH	-	58%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	70%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	72%	72%	WH	-	72%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	52%	3	*	11	*	49	45%	47	49%	276	54%	45%	BL	-9%	54%	WH	1.2
Montgomery CC	92	41%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	46%	46%	WH	-	46%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	56%	14	*	3	*	101	39%	41	59%	146	68%	39%	BL	-29%	68%	WH	1.8
Pamlico CC	69	70%	1	*	2	*	24	63%	4	*	32	72%	63%	BL	-9%	72%	WH	1.2
Piedmont CC	121	55%	3	*	0	*	35	43%	5	*	67	64%	43%	BL	-21%	64%	WH	1.5
Pitt CC	906	47%	5	*	9	*	451	39%	93	49%	294	57%	39%	BL	-19%	57%	WH	1.5
Randolph CC	327	63%	3	*	3	*	26	42%	28	71%	212	64%	42%	BL	-29%	71%	HI	1.7
Richmond CC	192	43%	22	36%	1	*	63	25%	7	*	73	64%	25%	BL	-39%	64%	WH	2.5
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	56%	0	*	0	*	35	51%	1	*	19	*	51%	BL	-	51%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	42%	155	40%	3	*	64	25%	29	55%	52	52%	25%	BL	-30%	55%	HI	2.2
Rockingham CC	322	41%	1	*	2	*	61	34%	19	*	211	45%	34%	BL	-11%	45%	WH	1.3
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	52%	3	*	19	*	160	30%	121	54%	519	58%	30%	BL	-28%	58%	WH	1.9
Sampson CC	145	58%	3	*	0	*	37	43%	32	66%	64	64%	43%	BL	-22%	66%	HI	1.5
Sandhills CC	341	47%	22	45%	1	*	88	27%	51	39%	154	59%	27%	BL	-32%	59%	WH	2.2
South Piedmont CC	284	37%	0	*	1	*	49	20%	57	44%	154	40%	20%	BL	-23%	44%	HI	2.1
Southeastern CC	163	57%	15	*	0	*	45	36%	8	*	89	67%	36%	BL	-32%	67%	WH	1.9
Southwestern CC	170	55%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	57%	57%	WH	-	57%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	62%	0	*	11	*	33	58%	10	*	154	63%	58%	BL	-5%	63%	WH	1.1
Surry CC	319	56%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	59%	247	56%	56%	WH	-3%	59%	HI	1.1
Tri-County CC	55	65%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	66%	66%	WH	-	66%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	48%	2	*	5	*	126	40%	30	37%	212	52%	37%	HI	-15%	52%	WH	1.4
Wake TCC	3724	44%	20	50%	239	50%	789	27%	641	40%	1801	52%	27%	BL	-25%	52%	WH	1.9
Wayne CC	416	65%	4	*	9	*	107	50%	80	66%	203	73%	50%	BL	-24%	73%	WH	1.5
Western Piedmont CC	239	57%	3	*	23	74%	22	45%	30	50%	161	57%	45%	BL	-28%	74%	AS	1.6
Wilkes CC	277	52%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	42%	221	56%	42%	HI	-14%	56%	WH	1.3
Wilson CC	154	45%	0	*	1	*	63	33%	27	33%	55	65%	33%	HI	-32%	65%	WH	2.0

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Fall Semester Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.50 and Above by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with an aggregate (including summer) 2.50 GPA or above

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-30%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>2.0</b>
Alamance CC	43	44%	37	41%	52	56%	31	42%	148	66%	133	62%	41%	BM	-26%	66%	WF	1.6
Asheville-Buncombe	21	48%	20	50%	25	60%	43	58%	211	65%	192	59%	48%	BF	-17%	65%	WF	1.4
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	55%	29	45%	45%	WM	-10%	55%	WF	1.2
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	36%	14	*	36%	WF	-	36%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	52%	95	61%	109	60%	52%	HM	-9%	61%	WF	1.2
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	64%	72	49%	49%	WM	-15%	64%	WF	1.3
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	59%	103	54%	54%	WM	-4%	59%	WF	1.1
Cape Fear CC	76	26%	86	31%	63	40%	60	55%	423	52%	392	45%	26%	BF	-29%	55%	HM	2.1
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	62%	71	49%	49%	WM	-12%	62%	WF	1.2
Catawba Valley CC	22	50%	44	30%	36	64%	60	50%	230	55%	182	48%	30%	BM	-34%	64%	HF	2.2
Central Carolina CC	58	40%	66	39%	58	55%	46	24%	137	58%	101	49%	24%	HM	-34%	58%	WF	2.4
Central Piedmont CC	578	32%	476	28%	359	48%	312	39%	567	57%	666	49%	28%	BM	-30%	57%	WF	2.1
Cleveland CC	82	68%	41	51%	18	*	15	*	212	77%	156	69%	51%	BM	-26%	77%	WF	1.5
Coastal Carolina CC	58	53%	60	45%	63	68%	63	51%	240	66%	226	64%	45%	BM	-23%	68%	HF	1.5
Coll of the Albemarle	36	47%	35	17%	8	*	8	*	123	73%	79	58%	17%	BM	-56%	73%	WF	4.3
Craven CC	50	52%	34	47%	11	*	24	63%	99	67%	105	58%	47%	BM	-20%	67%	WF	1.4
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	29%	23	39%	22	36%	182	55%	129	47%	29%	BM	-26%	55%	WF	1.9
Durham TCC	143	34%	128	29%	93	42%	87	43%	63	73%	94	54%	29%	BM	-44%	73%	WF	2.5
Edgecombe CC	45	47%	29	14%	3	*	4	*	34	68%	16	*	14%	BM	-54%	68%	WF	4.9
Fayetteville TCC	288	46%	283	34%	102	59%	99	47%	211	69%	191	61%	34%	BM	-35%	69%	WF	2.0
Forsyth TCC	153	32%	147	37%	142	55%	120	46%	287	61%	323	64%	32%	BF	-32%	64%	WM	2.0
Gaston College	33	21%	35	31%	28	54%	30	70%	210	60%	144	58%	21%	BF	-49%	70%	HM	3.3
Guilford TCC	254	31%	233	23%	97	56%	78	44%	229	60%	279	51%	23%	BM	-37%	60%	WF	2.6
Halifax CC	30	50%	38	55%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	71%	50%	BF	-21%	71%	WM	1.4
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	66%	72	58%	58%	WM	-7%	66%	WF	1.1
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	70%	40	45%	45%	WM	-25%	70%	WF	1.6
James Sprunt CC	32	25%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	70%	21	67%	25%	BF	-45%	70%	WF	2.8
Johnston CC	45	33%	56	32%	55	67%	28	46%	179	63%	158	58%	32%	BM	-35%	67%	HF	2.1
Lenoir CC	52	35%	43	16%	14	*	11	*	56	64%	56	63%	16%	BM	-48%	64%	WF	3.9
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	73%	28	71%	71%	WM	-2%	73%	WF	1.0
Mitchell CC	23	65%	26	27%	18	*	29	48%	141	58%	135	49%	27%	BM	-38%	65%	BF	2.4
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	52%	27	41%	41%	WM	-11%	52%	WF	1.3
Nash CC	56	43%	45	33%	24	58%	17	*	69	71%	77	65%	33%	BM	-38%	71%	WF	2.1
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	64%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	81%	64%	BM	-17%	81%	WM	1.3
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	61%	34	68%	61%	WF	-7%	68%	WM	1.1
Pitt CC	249	44%	202	32%	50	60%	43	37%	139	63%	155	52%	32%	BM	-30%	63%	WF	1.9
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	68%	93	59%	59%	WM	-9%	68%	WF	1.2
Richmond CC	41	24%	22	27%	3	*	4	*	40	60%	33	70%	24%	BF	-45%	70%	WM	2.9
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	50%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	50%	BF	-	50%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	29%	23	17%	18	*	11	*	32	53%	20	50%	17%	BM	-36%	53%	WF	3.1
Rockingham CC	33	39%	28	29%	12	*	7	*	103	44%	108	46%	29%	BM	-18%	46%	WM	1.6
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	30%	70	30%	58	59%	63	49%	266	65%	253	51%	30%	BM	-35%	65%	WF	2.2
Sampson CC	22	36%	15	*	22	64%	10	*	38	63%	26	65%	36%	BF	-29%	65%	WM	1.8
Sandhills CC	32	44%	56	18%	30	37%	21	43%	78	69%	76	49%	18%	BM	-51%	69%	WF	3.9
South Piedmont CC	33	18%	16	*	32	53%	25	32%	78	40%	76	41%	18%	BF	-35%	53%	HF	2.9
Southeastern CC	25	40%	20	30%	6	*	2	*	38	61%	51	73%	30%	BM	-43%	73%	WM	2.4
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	67%	47	40%	40%	WM	-26%	67%	WF	1.6
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	67%	75	59%	59%	WM	-8%	67%	WF	1.1
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	63%	20	55%	146	53%	101	60%	53%	WF	-10%	63%	HF	1.2
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	58%	27	74%	58%	WF	-16%	74%	WM	1.3
Vance-Granville CC	71	46%	55	31%	19	*	11	*	120	51%	92	53%	31%	BM	-22%	53%	WM	1.7
Wake TCC	396	30%	393	24%	356	45%	285	34%	738	57%	1063	48%	24%	BM	-33%	57%	WF	2.3
Wayne CC	67	51%	40	48%	40	60%	40	73%	92	74%	111	73%	48%	BM	-26%	74%	WF	1.6
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	64%	73	48%	48%	WM	-16%	64%	WF	1.3
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	50%	12	*	129	57%	92	54%	50%	HF	-7%	57%	WF	1.1
Wilson CC	34	38%	29	28%	14	*	13	*	30	70%	25	60%	28%	BM	-42%	70%	WF	2.5

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races




PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## Accumulated Successful College-Level Hours

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) successful college-level hours

See tables for notes about disaggregation



### Fall Semester Accumulated Successful College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) successful college-level hours

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-3.2</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>1.7</b>
Alamance CC	509	7.7	9	*	14	*	80	5.9	83	6.8	281	8.5	5.9	BL	-2.6	8.5	WH	1.4
Asheville-Buncombe	563	7.0	4	*	9	*	41	5.0	68	5.5	403	7.5	5.0	BL	-2.5	7.5	WH	1.5
Beaufort County CC	93	4.9	0	*	0	*	20	1.9	8	*	58	5.4	1.9	BL	-3.6	5.4	WH	2.9
Bladen CC	111	6.4	29	5.7	0	*	22	2.5	9	*	36	9.6	2.5	BL	-7.2	9.6	WH	3.9
Blue Ridge CC	280	8.0	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	7.9	204	8.2	7.9	HI	-0.4	8.2	WH	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	7.3	2	*	2	*	29	5.0	19	*	141	8.4	5.0	BL	-3.4	8.4	WH	1.7
Caldwell CC and TI	328	7.1	1	*	3	*	30	3.6	31	7.9	248	7.6	3.6	BL	-4.4	7.9	HI	2.2
Cape Fear CC	1200	7.0	10	*	12	*	162	4.6	123	7.3	815	7.5	4.6	BL	-2.9	7.5	WH	1.6
Carteret CC	211	6.9	2	*	1	*	21	5.1	13	*	162	7.1	5.1	BL	-2.0	7.1	WH	1.4
Catawba Valley CC	656	7.5	4	*	56	9.0	66	5.2	96	7.4	412	7.7	5.2	BL	-3.8	9.0	AS	1.7
Central Carolina CC	516	7.1	3	*	11	*	124	6.5	104	7.2	238	7.2	6.5	BL	-0.7	7.2	WH	1.1
Central Piedmont CC	3496	5.6	14	*	233	6.0	1054	3.9	671	5.8	1233	7.1	3.9	BL	-3.2	7.1	WH	1.8
Cleveland CC	564	7.5	5	*	7	*	123	6.1	33	7.8	368	7.9	6.1	BL	-1.8	7.9	WH	1.3
Coastal Carolina CC	757	7.4	11	*	26	8.7	118	5.6	126	6.6	466	8.0	5.6	BL	-3.1	8.7	AS	1.6
Coll of the Albemarle	323	7.1	1	*	5	*	71	4.2	16	*	202	8.5	4.2	BL	-4.3	8.5	WH	2.0
Craven CC	378	6.9	3	*	17	*	84	4.4	35	8.1	204	7.3	4.4	BL	-3.7	8.1	HI	1.9
Davidson County CC	451	6.7	3	*	8	*	48	4.2	45	5.9	311	7.3	4.2	BL	-3.1	7.3	WH	1.7
Durham TCC	725	4.7	5	*	50	5.0	271	3.3	180	4.7	157	6.6	3.3	BL	-3.4	6.6	WH	2.0
Edgecombe CC	139	4.9	1	*	0	*	74	3.4	7	*	50	7.4	3.4	BL	-4.0	7.4	WH	2.2
Fayetteville TCC	1407	6.7	46	7.6	41	8.8	571	5.5	201	6.6	402	7.8	5.5	BL	-3.3	8.8	AS	1.6
Forsyth TCC	1273	7.3	1	*	21	7.5	300	4.8	262	7.1	610	8.6	4.8	BL	-3.7	8.6	WH	1.8
Gaston College	668	7.5	0	*	9	*	68	5.4	58	7.4	354	7.9	5.4	BL	-2.4	7.9	WH	1.4
Guilford TCC	1383	5.8	5	*	108	7.2	487	3.6	175	6.8	508	7.7	3.6	BL	-4.1	7.7	WH	2.1
Halifax CC	124	8.5	3	*	1	*	68	7.9	5	*	39	9.1	7.9	BL	-1.2	9.1	WH	1.1
Haywood CC	178	8.7	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	9.0	9.0	WH	-	9.0	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	7.9	0	*	0	*	25	6.0	3	*	104	8.7	6.0	BL	-2.7	8.7	WH	1.5
James Sprunt CC	128	6.6	0	*	1	*	40	4.6	27	4.9	51	9.5	4.6	BL	-4.9	9.5	WH	2.1
Johnston CC	558	7.7	1	*	6	*	101	5.7	83	7.1	337	8.5	5.7	BL	-2.8	8.5	WH	1.5
Lenoir CC	239	6.8	1	*	3	*	95	3.7	25	8.7	112	9.0	3.7	BL	-5.4	9.0	WH	2.5
Martin CC	41	7.6	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	6.8	6.8	WH	-	6.8	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	7.5	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	8.3	8.3	WH	-	8.3	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	8.3	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	8.7	8.7	WH	-	8.7	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	7.5	3	*	11	*	49	6.0	47	6.7	276	7.7	6.0	BL	-1.7	7.7	WH	1.3
Montgomery CC	92	6.6	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	7.3	7.3	WH	-	7.3	WH	-
Nash CC	327	6.9	14	*	3	*	101	4.9	41	7.1	146	7.7	4.9	BL	-2.8	7.7	WH	1.6
Pamlico CC	69	12.0	1	*	2	*	24	14.0	4	*	32	10.8	10.8	WH	-3.2	14.0	BL	1.3
Piedmont CC	121	7.5	3	*	0	*	35	6.7	5	*	67	8.0	6.7	BL	-1.3	8.0	WH	1.2
Pitt CC	906	6.1	5	*	9	*	451	4.5	93	6.9	294	8.2	4.5	BL	-3.8	8.2	WH	1.8
Randolph CC	327	8.3	3	*	3	*	26	3.8	28	9.4	212	8.8	3.8	BL	-5.7	9.4	HI	2.5
Richmond CC	192	5.8	22	4.4	1	*	63	4.8	7	*	73	7.3	4.4	AI	-2.9	7.3	WH	1.7
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	7.8	0	*	0	*	35	6.3	1	*	19	*	6.3	BL	-	6.3	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	5.6	155	4.8	3	*	64	4.3	29	7.1	52	7.5	4.3	BL	-3.2	7.5	WH	1.7
Rockingham CC	322	5.7	1	*	2	*	61	3.8	19	*	211	6.4	3.8	BL	-2.6	6.4	WH	1.7
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	6.5	3	*	19	*	160	3.8	121	6.7	519	7.2	3.8	BL	-3.4	7.2	WH	1.9
Sampson CC	145	7.5	3	*	0	*	37	4.9	32	8.8	64	8.4	4.9	BL	-4.0	8.8	HI	1.8
Sandhills CC	341	5.8	22	6.0	1	*	88	3.5	51	5.1	154	7.1	3.5	BL	-3.7	7.1	WH	2.1
South Piedmont CC	284	5.0	0	*	1	*	49	2.3	57	5.4	154	5.6	2.3	BL	-3.3	5.6	WH	2.4
Southeastern CC	163	7.8	15	*	0	*	45	4.6	8	*	89	9.0	4.6	BL	-4.5	9.0	WH	2.0
Southwestern CC	170	6.6	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	7.0	7.0	WH	-	7.0	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	8.4	0	*	11	*	33	8.9	10	*	154	8.0	8.0	WH	-0.9	8.9	BL	1.1
Surry CC	319	7.9	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	7.6	247	7.9	7.6	HI	-0.3	7.9	WH	1.0
Tri-County CC	55	9.1	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	9.3	9.3	WH	-	9.3	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	6.6	2	*	5	*	126	5.6	30	6.1	212	6.9	5.6	BL	-1.4	6.9	WH	1.2
Wake TCC	3724	6.3	20	6.3	239	6.7	789	3.7	641	5.8	1801	7.6	3.7	BL	-3.9	7.6	WH	2.0
Wayne CC	416	7.9	4	*	9	*	107	5.8	80	6.6	203	9.7	5.8	BL	-3.8	9.7	WH	1.7
Western Piedmont CC	239	7.4	3	*	23	10.1	22	5.1	30	6.9	161	7.4	5.1	BL	-5.0	10.1	AS	2.0
Wilkes CC	277	8.5	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	8.4	221	8.7	8.4	HI	-0.3	8.7	WH	1.0
Wilson CC	154	6.8	0	*	1	*	63	5.3	27	7.1	55	8.6	5.3	BL	-3.3	8.6	WH	1.6

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Fall Semester Accumulated Successful College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student average number of accumulated (including summer) successful college-level hours

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	N	Hrs	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>BF</b>	<b>-3.3</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>1.7</b>
Alamance CC	43	6.9	37	4.8	52	7.0	31	6.5	148	8.5	133	8.6	4.8	BM	-3.7	8.6	WM	1.8
Asheville-Buncombe	21	5.7	20	4.3	25	4.6	43	6.1	211	7.5	192	7.5	4.3	BM	-3.3	7.5	WM	1.8
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	5.8	29	5.1	5.1	WM	-0.6	5.8	WF	1.1
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	8.5	14	*	8.5	WF	-	8.5	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	7.7	95	7.6	109	8.7	7.6	WF	-1.1	8.7	WM	1.1
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	8.6	72	8.2	8.2	WM	-0.4	8.6	WF	1.1
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	7.5	103	7.7	7.5	WF	-0.2	7.7	WM	1.0
Cape Fear CC	76	5.1	86	4.2	63	6.1	60	8.5	423	7.7	392	7.4	4.2	BM	-4.3	8.5	HM	2.0
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	7.5	71	6.6	6.6	WM	-1.0	7.5	WF	1.1
Catawba Valley CC	22	6.5	44	4.6	36	7.2	60	7.5	230	7.5	182	7.8	4.6	BM	-3.3	7.8	WM	1.7
Central Carolina CC	58	5.7	66	7.2	58	8.1	46	6.1	137	7.2	101	7.3	5.7	BF	-2.3	8.1	HF	1.4
Central Piedmont CC	578	4.1	476	3.7	359	5.7	312	5.8	567	7.3	666	7.0	3.7	BM	-3.6	7.3	WF	2.0
Cleveland CC	82	6.2	41	6.0	18	*	15	*	212	8.0	156	7.7	6.0	BM	-2.0	8.0	WF	1.3
Coastal Carolina CC	58	6.4	60	4.9	63	7.3	63	5.9	240	8.0	226	8.1	4.9	BM	-3.3	8.1	WM	1.7
Coll of the Albemarle	36	4.7	35	3.7	8	*	8	*	123	8.4	79	8.6	3.7	BM	-4.9	8.6	WM	2.3
Craven CC	50	4.1	34	4.8	11	*	24	7.5	99	6.9	105	7.7	4.1	BF	-3.6	7.7	WM	1.9
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	4.8	23	5.2	22	6.6	182	7.1	129	7.6	4.8	BM	-2.7	7.6	WM	1.6
Durham TCC	143	3.1	128	3.5	93	4.0	87	5.3	63	6.5	94	6.8	3.1	BF	-3.7	6.8	WM	2.2
Edgecombe CC	45	4.6	29	1.6	3	*	4	*	34	7.4	16	*	1.6	BM	-5.8	7.4	WF	4.6
Fayetteville TCC	288	6.2	283	4.8	102	7.0	99	6.1	211	8.4	191	7.2	4.8	BM	-3.7	8.4	WF	1.8
Forsyth TCC	153	4.4	147	5.2	142	7.2	120	6.9	287	7.7	323	9.3	4.4	BF	-4.9	9.3	WM	2.1
Gaston College	33	4.4	35	6.4	28	6.0	30	8.7	210	7.8	144	8.0	4.4	BF	-4.3	8.7	HM	2.0
Guilford TCC	254	3.6	233	3.6	97	6.7	78	6.9	229	7.4	279	7.8	3.6	BM	-4.3	7.8	WM	2.2
Halifax CC	30	4.5	38	10.6	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	10.3	4.5	BF	-6.1	10.6	BM	2.4
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	8.6	72	9.3	8.6	WF	-0.7	9.3	WM	1.1
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	9.3	40	7.7	7.7	WM	-1.6	9.3	WF	1.2
James Sprunt CC	32	3.7	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	9.4	21	9.7	3.7	BF	-6.0	9.7	WM	2.6
Johnston CC	45	5.4	56	5.9	55	7.7	28	6.1	179	8.2	158	8.9	5.4	BF	-3.5	8.9	WM	1.7
Lenoir CC	52	4.2	43	3.1	14	*	11	*	56	8.8	56	9.3	3.1	BM	-6.2	9.3	WM	3.0
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	9.2	28	8.1	8.1	WM	-1.1	9.2	WF	1.1
Mitchell CC	23	7.5	26	4.6	18	*	29	7.1	141	7.9	135	7.5	4.6	BM	-3.4	7.9	WF	1.7
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	7.1	27	7.5	7.1	WF	-0.4	7.5	WM	1.1
Nash CC	56	4.9	45	4.9	24	7.0	17	*	69	7.7	77	7.8	4.9	BF	-2.9	7.8	WM	1.6
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	14.8	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	12.9	12.9	WM	-1.9	14.8	BM	1.1
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	8.7	34	7.3	7.3	WM	-1.4	8.7	WF	1.2
Pitt CC	249	4.4	202	4.6	50	7.0	43	6.8	139	8.5	155	8.0	4.4	BF	-4.1	8.5	WF	1.9
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	9.2	93	8.2	8.2	WM	-0.9	9.2	WF	1.1
Richmond CC	41	4.1	22	6.1	3	*	4	*	40	6.4	33	8.3	4.1	BF	-4.2	8.3	WM	2.0
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	5.5	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	5.5	BF	-	5.5	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	4.5	23	4.1	18	*	11	*	32	7.4	20	7.7	4.1	BM	-3.6	7.7	WM	1.9
Rockingham CC	33	4.3	28	3.3	12	*	7	*	103	6.1	108	6.7	3.3	BM	-3.3	6.7	WM	2.0
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	3.4	70	4.4	58	7.1	63	6.3	266	7.8	253	6.6	3.4	BF	-4.4	7.8	WF	2.3
Sampson CC	22	4.6	15	*	22	8.4	10	*	38	8.3	26	8.6	4.6	BF	-4.0	8.6	WM	1.9
Sandhills CC	32	4.1	56	3.1	30	4.2	21	6.5	78	7.6	76	6.7	3.1	BM	-4.5	7.6	WF	2.4
South Piedmont CC	33	1.6	16	*	32	5.5	25	5.2	78	5.1	76	6.2	1.6	BF	-4.6	6.2	WM	3.8
Southeastern CC	25	4.3	20	5.0	6	*	2	*	38	7.5	51	10.2	4.3	BF	-5.9	10.2	WM	2.4
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	7.8	47	5.6	5.6	WM	-2.1	7.8	WF	1.4
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	7.2	75	8.9	7.2	WF	-1.8	8.9	WM	1.2
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	7.5	20	7.8	146	7.2	101	8.9	7.2	WF	-1.8	8.9	WM	1.2
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	7.1	27	11.4	7.1	WF	-4.4	11.4	WM	1.6
Vance-Granville CC	71	5.4	55	5.8	19	*	11	*	120	6.5	92	7.5	5.4	BF	-2.1	7.5	WM	1.4
Wake TCC	396	3.8	393	3.7	356	5.7	285	5.8	738	7.8	1063	7.5	3.7	BM	-4.1	7.8	WF	2.1
Wayne CC	67	5.8	40	5.9	40	5.8	40	7.4	92	9.3	111	10.0	5.8	HF	-4.2	10.0	WM	1.7
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	7.2	73	7.7	7.2	WF	-0.5	7.7	WM	1.1
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	7.8	12	*	129	8.1	92	9.5	7.8	HF	-1.6	9.5	WM	1.2
Wilson CC	34	5.4	29	5.2	14	*	13	*	30	8.1	25	9.1	5.2	BM	-3.9	9.1	WM	1.8

\* Less than 20




Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Successful College-Level Hours as a Percentage of Accumulated Attempted Hours

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student percentage of accumulated attempted hours (including summer) that are successful college-level hours.

See tables for notes about disaggregation



### Fall Semester Successful College-Level Hours as a Percentage of Accumulated Attempted Hours by Race/Ethnicity

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student percentage of accumulated attempted hours (including summer) that are successful college-level hours

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-23%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>1.6</b>
Alamance CC	509	66%	9	*	14	*	80	54%	83	59%	281	71%	54%	BL	-17%	71%	WH	1.3
Asheville-Buncombe	563	64%	4	*	9	*	41	57%	68	55%	403	67%	55%	HI	-12%	67%	WH	1.2
Beaufort County CC	93	48%	0	*	0	*	20	20%	8	*	58	52%	20%	BL	-33%	52%	WH	2.7
Bladen CC	111	54%	29	50%	0	*	22	23%	9	*	36	73%	23%	BL	-50%	73%	WH	3.2
Blue Ridge CC	280	66%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	65%	204	67%	65%	HI	-3%	67%	WH	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	56%	2	*	2	*	29	36%	19	*	141	64%	36%	BL	-28%	64%	WH	1.8
Caldwell CC and TI	328	60%	1	*	3	*	30	31%	31	67%	248	63%	31%	BL	-36%	67%	HI	2.2
Cape Fear CC	1200	58%	10	*	12	*	162	41%	123	60%	815	61%	41%	BL	-20%	61%	WH	1.5
Carteret CC	211	61%	2	*	1	*	21	47%	13	*	162	61%	47%	BL	-14%	61%	WH	1.3
Catawba Valley CC	656	60%	4	*	56	72%	66	40%	96	61%	412	62%	40%	BL	-32%	72%	AS	1.8
Central Carolina CC	516	57%	3	*	11	*	124	49%	104	57%	238	61%	49%	BL	-12%	61%	WH	1.2
Central Piedmont CC	3496	51%	14	*	233	50%	1054	38%	671	53%	1233	62%	38%	BL	-24%	62%	WH	1.6
Cleveland CC	564	76%	5	*	7	*	123	66%	33	77%	368	80%	66%	BL	-15%	80%	WH	1.2
Coastal Carolina CC	757	62%	11	*	26	70%	118	50%	126	60%	466	65%	50%	BL	-20%	70%	AS	1.4
Coll of the Albemarle	323	61%	1	*	5	*	71	38%	16	*	202	70%	38%	BL	-33%	70%	WH	1.9
Craven CC	378	65%	3	*	17	*	84	45%	35	74%	204	69%	45%	BL	-28%	74%	HI	1.6
Davidson County CC	451	56%	3	*	8	*	48	37%	45	49%	311	61%	37%	BL	-24%	61%	WH	1.7
Durham TCC	725	47%	5	*	50	40%	271	35%	180	45%	157	64%	35%	BL	-29%	64%	WH	1.8
Edgecombe CC	139	42%	1	*	0	*	74	30%	7	*	50	62%	30%	BL	-32%	62%	WH	2.1
Fayetteville TCC	1407	59%	46	66%	41	78%	571	48%	201	59%	402	69%	48%	BL	-29%	78%	AS	1.6
Forsyth TCC	1273	63%	1	*	21	62%	300	46%	262	61%	610	72%	46%	BL	-27%	72%	WH	1.6
Gaston College	668	64%	0	*	9	*	68	48%	58	64%	354	66%	48%	BL	-18%	66%	WH	1.4
Guilford TCC	1383	54%	5	*	108	62%	487	37%	175	61%	508	64%	37%	BL	-27%	64%	WH	1.7
Halifax CC	124	64%	3	*	1	*	68	60%	5	*	39	69%	60%	BL	-9%	69%	WH	1.1
Haywood CC	178	68%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	70%	70%	WH	-	70%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	60%	0	*	0	*	25	47%	3	*	104	66%	47%	BL	-19%	66%	WH	1.4
James Sprunt CC	128	53%	0	*	1	*	40	37%	27	41%	51	75%	37%	BL	-38%	75%	WH	2.0
Johnston CC	558	64%	1	*	6	*	101	49%	83	61%	337	70%	49%	BL	-21%	70%	WH	1.4
Lenoir CC	239	54%	1	*	3	*	95	31%	25	69%	112	69%	31%	BL	-39%	69%	WH	2.3
Martin CC	41	59%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	49%	49%	WH	-	49%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	59%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	64%	64%	WH	-	64%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	75%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	79%	79%	WH	-	79%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	62%	3	*	11	*	49	52%	47	58%	276	63%	52%	BL	-10%	63%	WH	1.2
Montgomery CC	92	55%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	57%	57%	WH	-	57%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	55%	14	*	3	*	101	42%	41	57%	146	60%	42%	BL	-18%	60%	WH	1.4
Pamlico CC	69	88%	1	*	2	*	24	93%	4	*	32	84%	84%	WH	-9%	93%	BL	1.1
Piedmont CC	121	68%	3	*	0	*	35	61%	5	*	67	72%	61%	BL	-12%	72%	WH	1.2
Pitt CC	906	50%	5	*	9	*	451	38%	93	56%	294	67%	38%	BL	-29%	67%	WH	1.8
Randolph CC	327	67%	3	*	3	*	26	39%	28	76%	212	70%	39%	BL	-37%	76%	HI	1.9
Richmond CC	192	50%	22	40%	1	*	63	43%	7	*	73	59%	40%	AI	-19%	59%	WH	1.5
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	67%	0	*	0	*	35	58%	1	*	19	*	58%	BL	-	58%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	47%	155	41%	3	*	64	36%	29	60%	52	58%	36%	BL	-23%	60%	HI	1.6
Rockingham CC	322	51%	1	*	2	*	61	41%	19	*	211	55%	41%	BL	-14%	55%	WH	1.3
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	56%	3	*	19	*	160	34%	121	59%	519	61%	34%	BL	-28%	61%	WH	1.8
Sampson CC	145	60%	3	*	0	*	37	42%	32	68%	64	65%	42%	BL	-27%	68%	HI	1.6
Sandhills CC	341	49%	22	48%	1	*	88	31%	51	44%	154	58%	31%	BL	-28%	58%	WH	1.9
South Piedmont CC	284	47%	0	*	1	*	49	24%	57	50%	154	53%	24%	BL	-29%	53%	WH	2.2
Southeastern CC	163	59%	15	*	0	*	45	38%	8	*	89	67%	38%	BL	-29%	67%	WH	1.8
Southwestern CC	170	59%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	62%	62%	WH	-	62%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	67%	0	*	11	*	33	70%	10	*	154	66%	66%	WH	-4%	70%	BL	1.1
Surry CC	319	65%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	68%	247	65%	65%	WH	-3%	68%	HI	1.0
Tri-County CC	55	77%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	80%	80%	WH	-	80%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	59%	2	*	5	*	126	51%	30	51%	212	62%	51%	BL	-11%	62%	WH	1.2
Wake TCC	3724	56%	20	57%	239	50%	789	37%	641	53%	1801	65%	37%	BL	-28%	65%	WH	1.8
Wayne CC	416	64%	4	*	9	*	107	48%	80	61%	203	73%	48%	BL	-24%	73%	WH	1.5
Western Piedmont CC	239	63%	3	*	23	76%	22	47%	30	61%	161	63%	47%	BL	-29%	76%	AS	1.6
Wilkes CC	277	64%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	62%	221	65%	62%	HI	-3%	65%	WH	1.0
Wilson CC	154	54%	0	*	1	*	63	41%	27	56%	55	67%	41%	BL	-26%	67%	WH	1.6

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Fall Semester Successful College-Level Hours as a Percentage of Accumulated Attempted Hours by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) student percentage of accumulated attempted hours (including summer) that are successful college-level hours

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-24%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>1.6</b>
Alamance CC	43	63%	37	44%	52	61%	31	55%	148	71%	133	72%	44%	BM	-28%	72%	WM	1.6
Asheville-Buncombe	21	59%	20	55%	25	45%	43	60%	211	68%	192	66%	45%	HF	-23%	68%	WF	1.5
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	57%	29	47%	47%	WM	-10%	57%	WF	1.2
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	63%	14	*	63%	WF	-	63%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	64%	95	65%	109	69%	64%	HM	-5%	69%	WM	1.1
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	67%	72	61%	61%	WM	-7%	67%	WF	1.1
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	62%	103	64%	62%	WF	-2%	64%	WM	1.0
Cape Fear CC	76	43%	86	39%	63	53%	60	67%	423	63%	392	60%	39%	BM	-28%	67%	HM	1.7
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	67%	71	55%	55%	WM	-13%	67%	WF	1.2
Catawba Valley CC	22	53%	44	34%	36	65%	60	59%	230	63%	182	61%	34%	BM	-31%	65%	HF	1.9
Central Carolina CC	58	47%	66	50%	58	63%	46	49%	137	62%	101	59%	47%	BF	-15%	63%	HF	1.3
Central Piedmont CC	578	40%	476	36%	359	52%	312	53%	567	64%	666	61%	36%	BM	-28%	64%	WF	1.8
Cleveland CC	82	70%	41	58%	18	*	15	*	212	81%	156	79%	58%	BM	-22%	81%	WF	1.4
Coastal Carolina CC	58	56%	60	44%	63	61%	63	60%	240	63%	226	67%	44%	BM	-23%	67%	WM	1.5
Coll of the Albemarle	36	43%	35	32%	8	*	8	*	123	72%	79	67%	32%	BM	-40%	72%	WF	2.2
Craven CC	50	43%	34	48%	11	*	24	71%	99	67%	105	71%	43%	BF	-28%	71%	HM	1.6
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	38%	23	48%	22	49%	182	61%	129	60%	38%	BM	-23%	61%	WF	1.6
Durham TCC	143	34%	128	36%	93	39%	87	52%	63	71%	94	61%	34%	BF	-37%	71%	WF	2.1
Edgecombe CC	45	38%	29	15%	3	*	4	*	34	60%	16	*	15%	BM	-44%	60%	WF	3.9
Fayetteville TCC	288	52%	283	44%	102	61%	99	56%	211	72%	191	64%	44%	BM	-28%	72%	WF	1.6
Forsyth TCC	153	44%	147	47%	142	62%	120	59%	287	69%	323	75%	44%	BF	-31%	75%	WM	1.7
Gaston College	33	41%	35	54%	28	55%	30	71%	210	68%	144	64%	41%	BF	-30%	71%	HM	1.7
Guilford TCC	254	37%	233	37%	97	61%	78	61%	229	64%	279	65%	37%	BM	-28%	65%	WM	1.8
Halifax CC	30	40%	38	73%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	73%	40%	BF	-33%	73%	BM	1.8
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	67%	72	74%	67%	WF	-7%	74%	WM	1.1
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	70%	40	60%	60%	WM	-10%	70%	WF	1.2
James Sprunt CC	32	30%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	72%	21	79%	30%	BF	-49%	79%	WM	2.6
Johnston CC	45	53%	56	46%	55	67%	28	49%	179	68%	158	72%	46%	BM	-25%	72%	WM	1.5
Lenoir CC	52	35%	43	25%	14	*	11	*	56	70%	56	69%	25%	BM	-45%	70%	WF	2.8
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	83%	28	75%	75%	WM	-8%	83%	WF	1.1
Mitchell CC	23	67%	26	40%	18	*	29	59%	141	65%	135	60%	40%	BM	-28%	67%	BF	1.7
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	62%	27	53%	53%	WM	-9%	62%	WF	1.2
Nash CC	56	42%	45	43%	24	57%	17	*	69	62%	77	58%	42%	BF	-21%	62%	WF	1.5
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	94%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	95%	94%	BM	-1%	95%	WM	1.0
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	74%	34	71%	71%	WM	-3%	74%	WF	1.0
Pitt CC	249	37%	202	38%	50	56%	43	55%	139	68%	155	66%	37%	BF	-30%	68%	WF	1.8
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	71%	93	67%	67%	WM	-4%	71%	WF	1.1
Richmond CC	41	38%	22	52%	3	*	4	*	40	53%	33	67%	38%	BF	-29%	67%	WM	1.8
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	51%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	51%	BF	-	51%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	38%	23	34%	18	*	11	*	32	62%	20	53%	34%	BM	-29%	62%	WF	1.9
Rockingham CC	33	47%	28	34%	12	*	7	*	103	54%	108	55%	34%	BM	-21%	55%	WM	1.6
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	31%	70	37%	58	64%	63	55%	266	66%	253	57%	31%	BF	-35%	66%	WF	2.1
Sampson CC	22	43%	15	*	22	67%	10	*	38	65%	26	65%	43%	BF	-24%	67%	HF	1.6
Sandhills CC	32	40%	56	26%	30	39%	21	50%	78	63%	76	53%	26%	BM	-37%	63%	WF	2.4
South Piedmont CC	33	19%	16	*	32	53%	25	47%	78	49%	76	57%	19%	BF	-39%	57%	WM	3.1
Southeastern CC	25	40%	20	36%	6	*	2	*	38	57%	51	73%	36%	BM	-37%	73%	WM	2.0
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	67%	47	52%	52%	WM	-15%	67%	WF	1.3
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	66%	75	66%	66%	WF	0%	66%	WM	1.0
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	73%	20	63%	146	61%	101	70%	61%	WF	-11%	73%	HF	1.2
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	69%	27	88%	69%	WF	-19%	88%	WM	1.3
Vance-Granville CC	71	52%	55	49%	19	*	11	*	120	59%	92	65%	49%	BM	-16%	65%	WM	1.3
Wake TCC	396	37%	393	36%	356	53%	285	52%	738	66%	1063	63%	36%	BM	-30%	66%	WF	1.8
Wayne CC	67	49%	40	47%	40	54%	40	67%	92	73%	111	73%	47%	BM	-26%	73%	WM	1.5
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	64%	73	63%	63%	WM	-1%	64%	WF	1.0
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	64%	12	*	129	62%	92	68%	62%	WF	-6%	68%	WM	1.1
Wilson CC	34	43%	29	39%	14	*	13	*	30	63%	25	73%	39%	BM	-34%	73%	WM	1.9

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races




PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## Successful Completion of 8 or More Accumulated College-Level Hours

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 8 or more accumulated (including summer) successful college-level credit hours

See tables for notes about disaggregation



### Fall Semester Successful Completion of 8 or More Accumulated College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 8 or more accumulated (including summer) successful college-level credit hours

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-24%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>WH</b>	<b>1.9</b>
Alamance CC	509	50%	9	*	14	*	80	35%	83	40%	281	57%	35%	BL	-22%	57%	WH	1.6
Asheville-Buncombe	563	44%	4	*	9	*	41	29%	68	31%	403	49%	29%	BL	-19%	49%	WH	1.7
Beaufort County CC	93	27%	0	*	0	*	20	5%	8	*	58	31%	5%	BL	-26%	31%	WH	6.2
Bladen CC	111	45%	29	38%	0	*	22	14%	9	*	36	67%	14%	BL	-53%	67%	WH	4.9
Blue Ridge CC	280	57%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	58%	204	60%	58%	HI	-2%	60%	WH	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	49%	2	*	2	*	29	24%	19	*	141	58%	24%	BL	-34%	58%	WH	2.4
Caldwell CC and TI	328	46%	1	*	3	*	30	13%	31	58%	248	49%	13%	BL	-45%	58%	HI	4.4
Cape Fear CC	1200	46%	10	*	12	*	162	30%	123	50%	815	49%	30%	BL	-19%	50%	HI	1.6
Carteret CC	211	43%	2	*	1	*	21	24%	13	*	162	46%	24%	BL	-22%	46%	WH	1.9
Catawba Valley CC	656	51%	4	*	56	68%	66	29%	96	47%	412	52%	29%	BL	-39%	68%	AS	2.4
Central Carolina CC	516	45%	3	*	11	*	124	40%	104	50%	238	45%	40%	BL	-10%	50%	HI	1.3
Central Piedmont CC	3496	35%	14	*	233	35%	1054	23%	671	36%	1233	46%	23%	BL	-23%	46%	WH	2.0
Cleveland CC	564	44%	5	*	7	*	123	31%	33	42%	368	48%	31%	BL	-17%	48%	WH	1.6
Coastal Carolina CC	757	46%	11	*	26	54%	118	32%	126	37%	466	52%	32%	BL	-22%	54%	AS	1.7
Coll of the Albemarle	323	45%	1	*	5	*	71	25%	16	*	202	55%	25%	BL	-30%	55%	WH	2.2
Craven CC	378	43%	3	*	17	*	84	26%	35	54%	204	47%	26%	BL	-28%	54%	HI	2.1
Davidson County CC	451	44%	3	*	8	*	48	23%	45	36%	311	50%	23%	BL	-27%	50%	WH	2.2
Durham TCC	725	27%	5	*	50	32%	271	17%	180	24%	157	39%	17%	BL	-23%	39%	WH	2.3
Edgecombe CC	139	30%	1	*	0	*	74	16%	7	*	50	50%	16%	BL	-34%	50%	WH	3.1
Fayetteville TCC	1407	41%	46	46%	41	56%	571	34%	201	38%	402	50%	34%	BL	-22%	56%	AS	1.7
Forsyth TCC	1273	49%	1	*	21	52%	300	32%	262	49%	610	58%	32%	BL	-26%	58%	WH	1.8
Gaston College	668	49%	0	*	9	*	68	31%	58	47%	354	51%	31%	BL	-20%	51%	WH	1.6
Guilford TCC	1383	37%	5	*	108	44%	487	20%	175	50%	508	49%	20%	BL	-30%	50%	HI	2.5
Halifax CC	124	48%	3	*	1	*	68	44%	5	*	39	54%	44%	BL	-10%	54%	WH	1.2
Haywood CC	178	60%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	61%	61%	WH	-	61%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	51%	0	*	0	*	25	36%	3	*	104	57%	36%	BL	-21%	57%	WH	1.6
James Sprunt CC	128	41%	0	*	1	*	40	30%	27	26%	51	61%	26%	HI	-35%	61%	WH	2.3
Johnston CC	558	51%	1	*	6	*	101	37%	83	48%	337	57%	37%	BL	-20%	57%	WH	1.6
Lenoir CC	239	41%	1	*	3	*	95	15%	25	60%	112	60%	15%	BL	-45%	60%	HI	4.1
Martin CC	41	51%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	43%	43%	WH	-	43%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	51%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	58%	58%	WH	-	58%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	48%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	50%	50%	WH	-	50%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	49%	3	*	11	*	49	39%	47	43%	276	51%	39%	BL	-12%	51%	WH	1.3
Montgomery CC	92	39%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	46%	46%	WH	-	46%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	43%	14	*	3	*	101	28%	41	39%	146	49%	28%	BL	-22%	49%	WH	1.8
Pamlico CC	69	78%	1	*	2	*	24	83%	4	*	32	72%	72%	WH	-11%	83%	BL	1.2
Piedmont CC	121	47%	3	*	0	*	35	37%	5	*	67	51%	37%	BL	-14%	51%	WH	1.4
Pitt CC	906	39%	5	*	9	*	451	27%	93	44%	294	56%	27%	BL	-29%	56%	WH	2.0
Randolph CC	327	55%	3	*	3	*	26	23%	28	64%	212	57%	23%	BL	-41%	64%	HI	2.8
Richmond CC	192	33%	22	23%	1	*	63	25%	7	*	73	47%	23%	AI	-24%	47%	WH	2.0
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	54%	0	*	0	*	35	43%	1	*	19	*	43%	BL	-	43%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	36%	155	30%	3	*	64	28%	29	55%	52	44%	28%	BL	-27%	55%	HI	2.0
Rockingham CC	322	37%	1	*	2	*	61	18%	19	*	211	43%	18%	BL	-25%	43%	WH	2.4
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	43%	3	*	19	*	160	21%	121	46%	519	49%	21%	BL	-29%	49%	WH	2.4
Sampson CC	145	52%	3	*	0	*	37	32%	32	63%	64	58%	32%	BL	-30%	63%	HI	1.9
Sandhills CC	341	35%	22	41%	1	*	88	17%	51	31%	154	45%	17%	BL	-28%	45%	WH	2.7
South Piedmont CC	284	30%	0	*	1	*	49	16%	57	35%	154	33%	16%	BL	-19%	35%	HI	2.1
Southeastern CC	163	52%	15	*	0	*	45	22%	8	*	89	62%	22%	BL	-40%	62%	WH	2.8
Southwestern CC	170	43%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	46%	46%	WH	-	46%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	50%	0	*	11	*	33	48%	10	*	154	47%	47%	WH	-1%	48%	BL	1.0
Surry CC	319	54%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	52%	247	54%	52%	HI	-2%	54%	WH	1.0
Tri-County CC	55	64%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	64%	64%	WH	-	64%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	42%	2	*	5	*	126	32%	30	40%	212	44%	32%	BL	-13%	44%	WH	1.4
Wake TCC	3724	41%	20	35%	239	45%	789	21%	641	37%	1801	50%	21%	BL	-29%	50%	WH	2.3
Wayne CC	416	51%	4	*	9	*	107	37%	80	39%	203	65%	37%	BL	-27%	65%	WH	1.7
Western Piedmont CC	239	49%	3	*	23	70%	22	32%	30	37%	161	50%	32%	BL	-38%	70%	AS	2.2
Wilkes CC	277	55%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	56%	221	56%	56%	HI	0%	56%	WH	1.0
Wilson CC	154	40%	0	*	1	*	63	29%	27	41%	55	53%	29%	BL	-24%	53%	WH	1.8

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Fall Semester Successful Completion of 8 or More Accumulated College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 8 or more accumulated (including summer) successful college-level credit hours

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>BF</b>	<b>-25%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>2.0</b>
Alamance CC	43	42%	37	27%	52	44%	31	32%	148	57%	133	58%	27%	BM	-31%	58%	WM	2.1
Asheville-Buncombe	21	33%	20	25%	25	24%	43	35%	211	49%	192	48%	24%	HF	-25%	49%	WF	2.0
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	28%	29	34%	28%	WF	-7%	34%	WM	1.3
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	59%	14	*	59%	WF	-	59%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	60%	95	54%	109	65%	54%	WF	-11%	65%	WM	1.2
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	62%	72	54%	54%	WM	-8%	62%	WF	1.2
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	51%	103	47%	47%	WM	-4%	51%	WF	1.1
Cape Fear CC	76	36%	86	26%	63	44%	60	55%	423	51%	392	48%	26%	BM	-29%	55%	HM	2.2
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	49%	71	41%	41%	WM	-9%	49%	WF	1.2
Catawba Valley CC	22	32%	44	27%	36	50%	60	45%	230	51%	182	53%	27%	BM	-25%	53%	WM	1.9
Central Carolina CC	58	31%	66	47%	58	57%	46	41%	137	46%	101	43%	31%	BF	-26%	57%	HF	1.8
Central Piedmont CC	578	24%	476	22%	359	38%	312	34%	567	47%	666	44%	22%	BM	-26%	47%	WF	2.2
Cleveland CC	82	27%	41	39%	18	*	15	*	212	49%	156	47%	27%	BF	-22%	49%	WF	1.8
Coastal Carolina CC	58	41%	60	23%	63	44%	63	30%	240	54%	226	50%	23%	BM	-30%	54%	WF	2.3
Coll of the Albemarle	36	28%	35	23%	8	*	8	*	123	57%	79	52%	23%	BM	-34%	57%	WF	2.5
Craven CC	50	22%	34	32%	11	*	24	42%	99	43%	105	50%	22%	BF	-28%	50%	WM	2.3
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	26%	23	30%	22	41%	182	48%	129	52%	26%	BM	-25%	52%	WM	2.0
Durham TCC	143	15%	128	19%	93	20%	87	29%	63	38%	94	40%	15%	BF	-25%	40%	WM	2.6
Edgecombe CC	45	24%	29	3%	3	*	4	*	34	47%	16	*	3%	BM	-44%	47%	WF	13.6
Fayetteville TCC	288	39%	283	29%	102	43%	99	33%	211	56%	191	43%	29%	BM	-27%	56%	WF	1.9
Forsyth TCC	153	29%	147	36%	142	50%	120	48%	287	52%	323	64%	29%	BF	-35%	64%	WM	2.2
Gaston College	33	21%	35	40%	28	36%	30	57%	210	50%	144	51%	21%	BF	-35%	57%	HM	2.7
Guilford TCC	254	20%	233	20%	97	47%	78	53%	229	47%	279	51%	20%	BF	-33%	53%	HM	2.7
Halifax CC	30	27%	38	58%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	57%	27%	BF	-31%	58%	BM	2.2
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	60%	72	63%	60%	WF	-2%	63%	WM	1.0
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	58%	40	55%	55%	WM	-3%	58%	WF	1.1
James Sprunt CC	32	22%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	60%	21	62%	22%	BF	-40%	62%	WM	2.8
Johnston CC	45	38%	56	36%	55	55%	28	36%	179	56%	158	58%	36%	HM	-22%	58%	WM	1.6
Lenoir CC	52	17%	43	12%	14	*	11	*	56	57%	56	63%	12%	BM	-51%	63%	WM	5.4
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	53%	28	46%	46%	WM	-7%	53%	WF	1.1
Mitchell CC	23	48%	26	31%	18	*	29	48%	141	55%	135	47%	31%	BM	-25%	55%	WF	1.8
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	48%	27	44%	44%	WM	-4%	48%	WF	1.1
Nash CC	56	30%	45	24%	24	33%	17	*	69	48%	77	51%	24%	BM	-26%	51%	WM	2.1
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	86%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	86%	86%	WM	-1%	86%	BM	1.0
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	58%	34	44%	44%	WM	-13%	58%	WF	1.3
Pitt CC	249	26%	202	29%	50	48%	43	40%	139	54%	155	57%	26%	BF	-31%	57%	WM	2.2
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	58%	93	56%	56%	WM	-2%	58%	WF	1.0
Richmond CC	41	22%	22	32%	3	*	4	*	40	43%	33	52%	22%	BF	-30%	52%	WM	2.3
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	38%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	38%	BF	-	38%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	32%	23	22%	18	*	11	*	32	47%	20	40%	22%	BM	-25%	47%	WF	2.2
Rockingham CC	33	21%	28	14%	12	*	7	*	103	41%	108	45%	14%	BM	-31%	45%	WM	3.2
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	16%	70	27%	58	52%	63	41%	266	57%	253	41%	16%	BF	-41%	57%	WF	3.6
Sampson CC	22	27%	15	*	22	59%	10	*	38	53%	26	65%	27%	BF	-38%	65%	WM	2.4
Sandhills CC	32	19%	56	16%	30	23%	21	43%	78	47%	76	43%	16%	BM	-31%	47%	WF	3.0
South Piedmont CC	33	9%	16	*	32	38%	25	32%	78	31%	76	36%	9%	BF	-28%	38%	HF	4.1
Southeastern CC	25	20%	20	25%	6	*	2	*	38	50%	51	71%	20%	BF	-51%	71%	WM	3.5
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	51%	47	38%	38%	WM	-13%	51%	WF	1.3
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	42%	75	53%	42%	WF	-12%	53%	WM	1.3
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	54%	20	50%	146	48%	101	63%	48%	WF	-15%	63%	WM	1.3
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	50%	27	78%	50%	WF	-28%	78%	WM	1.6
Vance-Granville CC	71	30%	55	35%	19	*	11	*	120	44%	92	45%	30%	BF	-15%	45%	WM	1.5
Wake TCC	396	21%	393	22%	356	34%	285	40%	738	51%	1063	50%	21%	BF	-30%	51%	WF	2.5
Wayne CC	67	37%	40	38%	40	35%	40	43%	92	65%	111	64%	35%	HF	-30%	65%	WF	1.9
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	48%	73	52%	48%	WF	-4%	52%	WM	1.1
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	54%	12	*	129	53%	92	60%	53%	WF	-7%	60%	WM	1.1
Wilson CC	34	32%	29	24%	14	*	13	*	30	50%	25	56%	24%	BM	-32%	56%	WM	2.3

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissaggregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

## **Fall to Spring Postsecondary Retention**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in postsecondary education in spring 2018

## **Successful Completion of College-Level English**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level English in first year

## **Successful Completion of College-Level Math**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level Math in first year

## **Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.00 or Above**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a grade point average of 2.00 or above during their first academic year

## **Successful Completion of 66.7% of Credits Attempted**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students successfully completing 66.7% of credits during their first year

## **Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP)**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a GPA of 2.00 or above and successfully completing 66.7% of credits

## **Successful Completion of 20 or More College-Level Hours**




Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 20 or more successful college-level hours during their first academic year

## Fall to Spring Postsecondary Retention

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in postsecondary education in spring 2018

See tables for notes about disaggregation

Note: Scale 25-100% to illuminate group differences



# Fall to Spring Postsecondary Retention by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in postsecondary education in spring 2018

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-14%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>1.2</b>
Alamance CC	509	82%	9	*	14	*	80	81%	83	81%	281	84%	81%	HI	-3%	84%	WH	1.0
Asheville-Buncombe	563	80%	4	*	9	*	41	76%	68	79%	403	80%	76%	BL	-5%	80%	WH	1.1
Beaufort County CC	93	69%	0	*	0	*	20	45%	8	*	58	74%	45%	BL	-29%	74%	WH	1.6
Bladen CC	111	81%	29	83%	0	*	22	77%	9	*	36	86%	77%	BL	-9%	86%	WH	1.1
Blue Ridge CC	280	80%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	85%	204	80%	80%	WH	-5%	85%	HI	1.1
Brunswick CC	217	75%	2	*	2	*	29	69%	19	*	141	80%	69%	BL	-11%	80%	WH	1.2
Caldwell CC and TI	328	77%	1	*	3	*	30	63%	31	81%	248	78%	63%	BL	-17%	81%	HI	1.3
Cape Fear CC	1200	80%	10	*	12	*	162	73%	123	83%	815	81%	73%	BL	-10%	83%	HI	1.1
Carteret CC	211	74%	2	*	1	*	21	67%	13	*	162	74%	67%	BL	-7%	74%	WH	1.1
Catawba Valley CC	656	75%	4	*	56	84%	66	65%	96	73%	412	76%	65%	BL	-19%	84%	AS	1.3
Central Carolina CC	516	71%	3	*	11	*	124	69%	104	68%	238	71%	68%	HI	-3%	71%	WH	1.0
Central Piedmont CC	3496	79%	14	*	233	88%	1054	75%	671	80%	1233	81%	75%	BL	-13%	88%	AS	1.2
Cleveland CC	564	67%	5	*	7	*	123	47%	33	79%	368	72%	47%	BL	-32%	79%	HI	1.7
Coastal Carolina CC	757	75%	11	*	26	85%	118	70%	126	68%	466	77%	68%	HI	-16%	85%	AS	1.2
Coll of the Albemarle	323	80%	1	*	5	*	71	76%	16	*	202	82%	76%	BL	-6%	82%	WH	1.1
Craven CC	378	77%	3	*	17	*	84	75%	35	77%	204	77%	75%	BL	-2%	77%	HI	1.0
Davidson County CC	451	79%	3	*	8	*	48	73%	45	78%	311	81%	73%	BL	-8%	81%	WH	1.1
Durham TCC	725	74%	5	*	50	80%	271	70%	180	77%	157	79%	70%	BL	-10%	80%	AS	1.1
Edgecombe CC	139	78%	1	*	0	*	74	73%	7	*	50	82%	73%	BL	-9%	82%	WH	1.1
Fayetteville TCC	1407	77%	46	83%	41	85%	571	75%	201	76%	402	79%	75%	BL	-10%	85%	AS	1.1
Forsyth TCC	1273	79%	1	*	21	71%	300	74%	262	83%	610	80%	71%	AS	-12%	83%	HI	1.2
Gaston College	668	75%	0	*	9	*	68	66%	58	69%	354	75%	66%	BL	-9%	75%	WH	1.1
Guilford TCC	1383	79%	5	*	108	91%	487	75%	175	80%	508	81%	75%	BL	-16%	91%	AS	1.2
Halifax CC	124	54%	3	*	1	*	68	47%	5	*	39	62%	47%	BL	-14%	62%	WH	1.3
Haywood CC	178	76%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	78%	78%	WH	-	78%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	75%	0	*	0	*	25	68%	3	*	104	80%	68%	BL	-12%	80%	WH	1.2
James Sprunt CC	128	82%	0	*	1	*	40	80%	27	89%	51	80%	80%	BL	-9%	89%	HI	1.1
Johnston CC	558	77%	1	*	6	*	101	58%	83	81%	337	81%	58%	BL	-23%	81%	WH	1.4
Lenoir CC	239	78%	1	*	3	*	95	69%	25	84%	112	84%	69%	BL	-15%	84%	HI	1.2
Martin CC	41	83%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	81%	81%	WH	-	81%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	76%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	75%	75%	WH	-	75%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	68%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	69%	69%	WH	-	69%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	73%	3	*	11	*	49	65%	47	64%	276	75%	64%	HI	-11%	75%	WH	1.2
Montgomery CC	92	72%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	72%	72%	WH	-	72%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	74%	14	*	3	*	101	66%	41	78%	146	77%	66%	BL	-12%	78%	HI	1.2
Pamlico CC	69	72%	1	*	2	*	24	63%	4	*	32	78%	63%	BL	-16%	78%	WH	1.3
Piedmont CC	121	73%	3	*	0	*	35	69%	5	*	67	72%	69%	BL	-3%	72%	WH	1.0
Pitt CC	906	82%	5	*	9	*	451	80%	93	86%	294	84%	80%	BL	-6%	86%	HI	1.1
Randolph CC	327	83%	3	*	3	*	26	77%	28	86%	212	82%	77%	BL	-9%	86%	HI	1.1
Richmond CC	192	75%	22	73%	1	*	63	73%	7	*	73	77%	73%	AI	-4%	77%	WH	1.1
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	79%	0	*	0	*	35	74%	1	*	19	*	74%	BL	-	74%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	74%	155	75%	3	*	64	66%	29	72%	52	79%	66%	BL	-13%	79%	WH	1.2
Rockingham CC	322	66%	1	*	2	*	61	62%	19	*	211	69%	62%	BL	-6%	69%	WH	1.1
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	76%	3	*	19	*	160	67%	121	72%	519	78%	67%	BL	-11%	78%	WH	1.2
Sampson CC	145	78%	3	*	0	*	37	76%	32	88%	64	73%	73%	WH	-14%	88%	HI	1.2
Sandhills CC	341	80%	22	86%	1	*	88	82%	51	82%	154	78%	78%	WH	-8%	86%	AI	1.1
South Piedmont CC	284	64%	0	*	1	*	49	69%	57	70%	154	62%	62%	WH	-8%	70%	HI	1.1
Southeastern CC	163	77%	15	*	0	*	45	62%	8	*	89	83%	62%	BL	-21%	83%	WH	1.3
Southwestern CC	170	71%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	71%	71%	WH	-	71%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	63%	0	*	11	*	33	58%	10	*	154	64%	58%	BL	-6%	64%	WH	1.1
Surry CC	319	79%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	80%	247	79%	79%	WH	-1%	80%	HI	1.0
Tri-County CC	55	73%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	72%	72%	WH	-	72%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	75%	2	*	5	*	126	75%	30	70%	212	74%	70%	HI	-5%	75%	BL	1.1
Wake TCC	3724	82%	20	75%	239	90%	789	78%	641	79%	1801	84%	75%	AI	-15%	90%	AS	1.2
Wayne CC	416	84%	4	*	9	*	107	79%	80	86%	203	85%	79%	BL	-7%	86%	HI	1.1
Western Piedmont CC	239	79%	3	*	23	91%	22	73%	30	77%	161	78%	73%	BL	-19%	91%	AS	1.3
Wilkes CC	277	75%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	72%	221	75%	72%	HI	-2%	75%	WH	1.0
Wilson CC	154	73%	0	*	1	*	63	76%	27	78%	55	67%	67%	WH	-11%	78%	HI	1.2

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### Fall to Spring Postsecondary Retention by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students enrolled in postsecondary education in spring 2018

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-10%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>HF</b>	<b>1.1</b>
Alamance CC	43	88%	37	73%	52	85%	31	74%	148	85%	133	82%	73%	BM	-15%	88%	BF	1.2
Asheville-Buncombe	21	81%	20	70%	25	80%	43	79%	211	83%	192	77%	70%	BM	-13%	83%	WF	1.2
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	86%	29	62%	62%	WM	-24%	86%	WF	1.4
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	86%	14	*	86%	WF	-	86%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	80%	95	76%	109	83%	76%	WF	-8%	83%	WM	1.1
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	81%	72	79%	79%	WM	-2%	81%	WF	1.0
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	79%	103	77%	77%	WM	-3%	79%	WF	1.0
Cape Fear CC	76	75%	86	71%	63	79%	60	87%	423	83%	392	79%	71%	BM	-16%	87%	HM	1.2
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	80%	71	66%	66%	WM	-14%	80%	WF	1.2
Catawba Valley CC	22	77%	44	59%	36	72%	60	73%	230	76%	182	76%	59%	BM	-18%	77%	BF	1.3
Central Carolina CC	58	72%	66	65%	58	71%	46	65%	137	73%	101	68%	65%	BM	-8%	73%	WF	1.1
Central Piedmont CC	578	76%	476	73%	359	82%	312	79%	567	80%	666	82%	73%	BM	-9%	82%	WM	1.1
Cleveland CC	82	45%	41	51%	18	*	15	*	212	70%	156	75%	45%	BF	-30%	75%	WM	1.7
Coastal Carolina CC	58	78%	60	63%	63	79%	63	57%	240	81%	226	73%	57%	HM	-24%	81%	WF	1.4
Coll of the Albemarle	36	78%	35	74%	8	*	8	*	123	89%	79	71%	71%	WM	-18%	89%	WF	1.3
Craven CC	50	76%	34	74%	11	*	24	71%	99	81%	105	73%	71%	HM	-10%	81%	WF	1.1
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	76%	23	74%	22	82%	182	84%	129	77%	74%	HF	-10%	84%	WF	1.1
Durham TCC	143	72%	128	69%	93	74%	87	79%	63	79%	94	79%	69%	BM	-11%	79%	WF	1.2
Edgecombe CC	45	82%	29	59%	3	*	4	*	34	91%	16	*	59%	BM	-33%	91%	WF	1.6
Fayetteville TCC	288	78%	283	71%	102	82%	99	69%	211	85%	191	73%	69%	HM	-16%	85%	WF	1.2
Forsyth TCC	153	71%	147	78%	142	88%	120	78%	287	78%	323	82%	71%	BF	-17%	88%	HF	1.2
Gaston College	33	67%	35	66%	28	68%	30	70%	210	75%	144	75%	66%	BM	-9%	75%	WM	1.1
Guilford TCC	254	76%	233	73%	97	82%	78	77%	229	83%	279	80%	73%	BM	-11%	83%	WF	1.1
Halifax CC	30	50%	38	45%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	52%	45%	BM	-8%	52%	WM	1.2
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	79%	72	76%	76%	WM	-3%	79%	WF	1.0
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	81%	40	78%	78%	WM	-4%	81%	WF	1.0
James Sprunt CC	32	81%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	83%	21	76%	76%	WM	-7%	83%	WF	1.1
Johnston CC	45	67%	56	52%	55	84%	28	75%	179	85%	158	77%	52%	BM	-34%	85%	WF	1.7
Lenoir CC	52	73%	43	65%	14	*	11	*	56	82%	56	86%	65%	BM	-21%	86%	WM	1.3
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	70%	28	68%	68%	WM	-2%	70%	WF	1.0
Mitchell CC	23	61%	26	69%	18	*	29	59%	141	72%	135	78%	59%	HM	-19%	78%	WM	1.3
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	63%	27	81%	63%	WF	-19%	81%	WM	1.3
Nash CC	56	68%	45	64%	24	92%	17	*	69	84%	77	71%	64%	BM	-27%	92%	HF	1.4
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	64%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	76%	64%	BM	-13%	76%	WM	1.2
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	85%	34	59%	59%	WM	-26%	85%	WF	1.4
Pitt CC	249	83%	202	76%	50	92%	43	79%	139	87%	155	82%	76%	BM	-16%	92%	HF	1.2
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	86%	93	76%	76%	WM	-9%	86%	WF	1.1
Richmond CC	41	73%	22	73%	3	*	4	*	40	73%	33	82%	73%	WF	-9%	82%	WM	1.1
Roanoke-Chowan CC	24	67%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	67%	BF	-	67%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	63%	23	70%	18	*	11	*	32	91%	20	60%	60%	WM	-31%	91%	WF	1.5
Rockingham CC	33	64%	28	61%	12	*	7	*	103	68%	108	69%	61%	BM	-9%	69%	WM	1.1
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	68%	70	66%	58	78%	63	67%	266	80%	253	76%	66%	BM	-15%	80%	WF	1.2
Sampson CC	22	91%	15	*	22	91%	10	*	38	76%	26	69%	69%	WM	-22%	91%	HF	1.3
Sandhills CC	32	84%	56	80%	30	80%	21	86%	78	81%	76	75%	75%	WM	-11%	86%	HM	1.1
South Piedmont CC	33	70%	16	*	32	81%	25	56%	78	62%	76	63%	56%	HM	-25%	81%	HF	1.5
Southeastern CC	25	60%	20	65%	6	*	2	*	38	76%	51	88%	60%	BF	-28%	88%	WM	1.5
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	74%	47	66%	66%	WM	-8%	74%	WF	1.1
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	63%	75	64%	63%	WF	-1%	64%	WM	1.0
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	79%	20	80%	146	75%	101	84%	75%	WF	-9%	84%	WM	1.1
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	65%	27	78%	65%	WF	-12%	78%	WM	1.2
Vance-Granville CC	71	76%	55	75%	19	*	11	*	120	78%	92	67%	67%	WM	-11%	78%	WF	1.2
Wake TCC	396	80%	393	76%	356	81%	285	75%	738	86%	1063	83%	75%	HM	-11%	86%	WF	1.2
Wayne CC	67	81%	40	78%	40	90%	40	83%	92	87%	111	84%	78%	BM	-13%	90%	HF	1.2
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	80%	73	77%	77%	WM	-3%	80%	WF	1.0
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	75%	12	*	129	73%	92	77%	73%	WF	-4%	77%	WM	1.1
Wilson CC	34	79%	29	72%	14	*	13	*	30	70%	25	64%	64%	WM	-15%	79%	BF	1.2

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races




PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## Successful Completion of College-Level English

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level English in first year

See tables for notes about disaggregation



# First Academic Year Successful Completion of College-Level English by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level English in first year

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>12893</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>2712</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>1807</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>6697</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-24%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>1.5</b>
Alamance CC	202	74%	5	*	7	*	23	61%	32	75%	115	78%	61%	BL	-17%	78%	WH	1.3
Asheville-Buncombe	250	64%	1	*	3	*	18	*	27	70%	183	64%	64%	WH	-6%	70%	HI	1.1
Beaufort County CC	25	44%		*		*	4	*	3	*	16	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bladen CC	21	71%	2	*		*	4	*	2	*	10	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blue Ridge CC	114	68%		*	1	*	6	*	11	*	87	69%	69%	WH	-	69%	WH	-
Brunswick CC	150	61%	1	*	2	*	22	41%	14	*	93	69%	41%	BL	-28%	69%	WH	1.7
Caldwell CC and TI	131	68%		*	1	*	7	*	10	*	105	70%	70%	WH	-	70%	WH	-
Cape Fear CC	630	61%	2	*	3	*	78	38%	63	65%	444	65%	38%	BL	-27%	65%	HI	1.7
Carteret CC	90	80%	1	*		*	2	*	5	*	75	79%	79%	WH	-	79%	WH	-
Catawba Valley CC	360	66%	2	*	36	78%	41	56%	48	63%	220	67%	56%	BL	-22%	78%	AS	1.4
Central Carolina CC	162	46%	1	*	2	*	33	21%	30	50%	87	54%	21%	BL	-33%	54%	WH	2.5
Central Piedmont CC	1885	64%	8	*	133	71%	520	51%	347	70%	709	71%	51%	BL	-20%	71%	WH	1.4
Cleveland CC	107	35%	2	*	2	*	18	*	11	*	65	38%	38%	WH	-	38%	WH	-
Coastal Carolina CC	613	69%	8	*	25	84%	99	53%	113	68%	360	73%	53%	BL	-31%	84%	AS	1.6
Coll of the Albemarle	103	68%		*	1	*	14	*	9	*	67	81%	81%	WH	-	81%	WH	-
Craven CC	168	67%		*	5	*	39	41%	23	78%	84	73%	41%	BL	-37%	78%	HI	1.9
Davidson County CC	223	66%	3	*	4	*	25	52%	22	73%	152	70%	52%	BL	-21%	73%	HI	1.4
Durham TCC	373	55%	2	*	21	76%	133	41%	95	55%	85	71%	41%	BL	-36%	76%	AS	1.9
Edgecombe CC	26	58%		*		*	11	*	1	*	14	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fayetteville TCC	360	56%	7	*	13	*	142	44%	55	49%	106	66%	44%	BL	-22%	66%	WH	1.5
Forsyth TCC	451	69%		*	7	*	107	53%	70	73%	239	75%	53%	BL	-22%	75%	WH	1.4
Gaston College	310	63%		*	5	*	36	44%	29	79%	150	67%	44%	BL	-35%	79%	HI	1.8
Guilford TCC	500	58%	2	*	48	75%	189	42%	61	64%	169	67%	42%	BL	-33%	75%	AS	1.8
Halifax CC	28	57%	1	*		*	15	*		*	12	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Haywood CC	73	77%	1	*		*	1	*	3	*	61	75%	75%	WH	-	75%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	37	70%		*		*	5	*	1	*	27	70%	70%	WH	-	70%	WH	-
James Sprunt CC	34	68%		*		*	6	*	6	*	18	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnston CC	253	64%	1	*	4	*	39	36%	30	63%	162	71%	36%	BL	-35%	71%	WH	2.0
Lenoir CC	81	58%		*	1	*	35	34%	5	*	39	79%	34%	BL	-45%	79%	WH	2.3
Martin CC	6	*		*	1	*	3	*		*	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	13	*		*		*	1	*	2	*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	14	*		*		*	1	*	3	*	10	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mitchell CC	276	66%	2	*	10	*	33	64%	30	60%	188	64%	60%	HI	-4%	64%	WH	1.1
Montgomery CC	17	*	1	*		*	8	*	4	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nash CC	98	57%	1	*	2	*	23	30%	12	*	50	64%	30%	BL	-34%	64%	WH	2.1
Pamlico CC	4	*		*		*	1	*		*	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Piedmont CC	40	53%	1	*		*	8	*		*	28	57%	57%	WH	-	57%	WH	-
Pitt CC	351	59%	2	*	4	*	144	42%	36	69%	142	73%	42%	BL	-32%	73%	WH	1.8
Randolph CC	126	76%	2	*	2	*	9	*	13	*	73	77%	77%	WH	-	77%	WH	-
Richmond CC	68	63%	7	*	1	*	20	60%	4	*	24	67%	60%	BL	-7%	67%	WH	1.1
Roanoke-Chowan CC	11	*		*		*	3	*		*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robeson CC	67	55%	27	67%	2	*	17	*	4	*	13	*	67%	AI	-	67%	AI	-
Rockingham CC	168	52%	1	*	2	*	37	51%	7	*	110	58%	51%	BL	-7%	58%	WH	1.1
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	296	68%	2	*	8	*	58	55%	45	71%	169	70%	55%	BL	-16%	71%	HI	1.3
Sampson CC	36	64%	1	*		*	11	*	4	*	18	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandhills CC	128	56%	2	*		*	34	24%	24	63%	57	70%	24%	BL	-47%	70%	WH	3.0
South Piedmont CC	82	39%		*	1	*	11	*	12	*	49	49%	49%	WH	-	49%	WH	-
Southeastern CC	82	76%	8	*		*	18	*	6	*	46	80%	80%	WH	-	80%	WH	-
Southwestern CC	56	55%	3	*	1	*	1	*	8	*	39	59%	59%	WH	-	59%	WH	-
Stanly CC	62	60%		*	5	*	8	*		*	43	65%	65%	WH	-	65%	WH	-
Surry CC	100	64%	1	*		*	2	*	14	*	78	63%	63%	WH	-	63%	WH	-
Tri-County CC	22	55%		*		*		*	1	*	21	52%	52%	WH	-	52%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	133	55%		*	1	*	47	40%	6	*	71	62%	40%	BL	-22%	62%	WH	1.5
Wake TCC	2384	54%	13	*	172	51%	440	34%	375	52%	1216	61%	34%	BL	-27%	61%	WH	1.8
Wayne CC	207	66%	2	*	8	*	53	49%	38	61%	96	74%	49%	BL	-25%	74%	WH	1.5
Western Piedmont CC	110	68%	2	*	12	*	12	*	15	*	69	71%	71%	WH	-	71%	WH	-
Wilkes CC	103	68%		*	1	*	4	*	8	*	83	69%	69%	WH	-	69%	WH	-
Wilson CC	73	44%		*	1	*	33	33%	10	*	27	56%	33%	BL	-22%	56%	WH	1.7

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

# First Academic Year Successful Completion of College-Level English by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level English in first year

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1269</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>1443</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>931</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>876</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>3217</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>3480</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-28%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>1.7</b>
Alamance CC	7	*	16	*	21	81%	11	*	60	82%	55	75%	75%	WM	-7%	82%	WF	1.1
Asheville-Buncombe	10	*	8	*	8	*	19	*	84	65%	99	64%	64%	WM	-2%	65%	WF	1.0
Beaufort County CC	3	*	1	*	1	*	2	*	6	*	10	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bladen CC		*	4	*	1	*	1	*	6	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blue Ridge CC	2	*	4	*	4	*	7	*	31	71%	56	68%	68%	WM	-3%	71%	WF	1.0
Brunswick CC	8	*	14	*	9	*	5	*	48	65%	45	73%	65%	WF	-9%	73%	WM	1.1
Caldwell CC and TI		*	7	*	3	*	7	*	51	75%	54	67%	67%	WM	-8%	75%	WF	1.1
Cape Fear CC	32	59%	46	24%	32	72%	31	58%	221	67%	223	62%	24%	BM	-48%	72%	HF	3.0
Carteret CC	1	*	1	*	3	*	2	*	38	87%	37	70%	70%	WM	-17%	87%	WF	1.2
Catawba Valley CC	12	*	29	41%	18	*	30	60%	102	73%	118	62%	41%	BM	-31%	73%	WF	1.8
Central Carolina CC	20	15%	13	*	17	*	13	*	52	62%	35	43%	15%	BF	-47%	62%	WF	4.1
Central Piedmont CC	261	55%	259	47%	186	72%	161	67%	301	73%	408	70%	47%	BM	-25%	73%	WF	1.5
Cleveland CC	6	*	12	*	5	*	6	*	34	35%	31	42%	35%	WF	-7%	42%	WM	1.2
Coastal Carolina CC	50	58%	49	47%	58	76%	55	60%	195	75%	165	72%	47%	BM	-29%	76%	HF	1.6
Coll of the Albemarle	6	*	8	*	3	*	6	*	41	83%	26	77%	77%	WM	-6%	83%	WF	1.1
Craven CC	20	25%	19	*	8	*	15	*	32	75%	52	71%	25%	BF	-50%	75%	WF	3.0
Davidson County CC	5	*	20	55%	10	*	12	*	89	71%	63	68%	55%	BM	-16%	71%	WF	1.3
Durham TCC	57	49%	76	34%	42	48%	53	60%	37	70%	48	71%	34%	BM	-37%	71%	WM	2.1
Edgecombe CC	5	*	6	*		*	1	*	8	*	6	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fayetteville TCC	64	45%	78	42%	30	53%	25	44%	62	69%	44	61%	42%	BM	-27%	69%	WF	1.6
Forsyth TCC	49	55%	58	52%	37	78%	33	67%	109	75%	130	75%	52%	BM	-27%	78%	HF	1.5
Gaston College	21	43%	15	*	16	*	13	*	87	67%	63	67%	43%	BF	-24%	67%	WM	1.6
Guilford TCC	83	45%	106	41%	26	62%	35	66%	78	73%	91	63%	41%	BM	-33%	73%	WF	1.8
Halifax CC	7	*	8	*		*		*	5	*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Haywood CC	1	*		*	1	*	2	*	34	76%	27	74%	74%	WM	-2%	76%	WF	1.0
Isothermal CC	1	*	4	*		*	1	*	21	76%	6	*	76%	WF	-	76%	WF	-
James Sprunt CC	5	*	1	*	5	*	1	*	11	*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnston CC	15	*	24	29%	20	75%	10	*	77	64%	85	78%	29%	BM	-48%	78%	WM	2.7
Lenoir CC	12	*	23	22%	2	*	3	*	14	*	25	68%	22%	BM	-46%	68%	WM	3.1
Martin CC	2	*	1	*		*		*	2	*		*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC		*	1	*		*	2	*	4	*	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*		*	3	*		*	7	*	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mitchell CC	15	*	18	*	12	*	18	*	104	66%	84	62%	62%	WM	-4%	66%	WF	1.1
Montgomery CC	4	*	4	*	1	*	3	*	3	*	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nash CC	6	*	17	*	7	*	5	*	25	64%	25	64%	64%	WM	-	64%	WM	-
Pamlico CC	1	*		*		*		*	2	*	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Piedmont CC	5	*	3	*		*		*	15	*	13	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pitt CC	65	49%	79	35%	16	*	20	65%	65	74%	77	73%	35%	BM	-38%	74%	WF	2.1
Randolph CC	5	*	4	*	7	*	6	*	34	74%	39	79%	74%	WF	-6%	79%	WM	1.1
Richmond CC	16	*	4	*	3	*	1	*	17	*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Roanoke Chowan CC		*	3	*		*		*	5	*	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robeson CC	7	*	10	*	1	*	3	*	9	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rockingham CC	19	*	18	*	4	*	3	*	46	61%	64	56%	56%	WM	-5%	61%	WF	1.1
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	25	48%	33	61%	19	*	26	69%	77	69%	92	72%	48%	BF	-24%	72%	WM	1.5
Sampson CC	5	*	6	*	4	*		*	10	*	8	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandhills CC	8	*	26	27%	15	*	9	*	24	75%	33	67%	27%	BM	-48%	75%	WF	2.8
South Piedmont CC	4	*	7	*	6	*	6	*	22	59%	27	41%	41%	WM	-18%	59%	WF	1.5
Southeastern CC	11	*	7	*	4	*	2	*	19	*	27	89%	89%	WM	-	89%	WM	-
Southwestern CC		*	1	*	4	*	4	*	23	61%	16	*	61%	WF	-	61%	WF	-
Stanly CC	6	*	2	*		*		*	18	*	25	60%	60%	WM	-	60%	WM	-
Surry CC		*	2	*	10	*	4	*	44	64%	34	62%	62%	WM	-2%	64%	WF	1.0
Tri-County CC		*		*	1	*		*	13	*	8	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vance-Granville CC	21	52%	26	31%	5	*	1	*	39	56%	32	69%	31%	BM	-38%	69%	WM	2.2
Wake TCC	207	35%	233	33%	197	57%	178	47%	501	61%	715	61%	33%	BM	-28%	61%	WF	1.9
Wayne CC	41	54%	12	*	26	69%	12	*	59	80%	37	65%	54%	BF	-26%	80%	WF	1.5
Western Piedmont CC	5	*	7	*	7	*	8	*	28	71%	41	71%	71%	WM	-1%	71%	WF	1.0
Wilkes CC	4	*		*	6	*	2	*	52	65%	31	74%	65%	WF	-9%	74%	WM	1.1
Wilson CC	23	30%	10	*	7	*	3	*	16	*	11	*	30%	BF	-	30%	BF	-

\* Less than 20




Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Successful Completion of College-Level Math

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level Math in first year

See tables for notes about disaggregation



# First Academic Year Successful Completion of College-Level Math by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level Math in first year

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>12893</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>558</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>2712</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>1807</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>6697</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-35%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>3.1</b>
Alamance CC	202	40%	5	*	7	*	23	26%	32	34%	115	44%	26%	BL	-18%	44%	WH	1.7
Asheville-Buncombe	250	37%	1	*	3	*	18	*	27	37%	183	38%	37%	HI	-1%	38%	WH	1.0
Beaufort County CC	25	20%		*		*	4	*	3	*	16	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bladen CC	21	24%	2	*		*	4	*	2	*	10	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blue Ridge CC	114	43%		*	1	*	6	*	11	*	87	46%	46%	WH	-	46%	WH	-
Brunswick CC	150	45%	1	*	2	*	22	27%	14	*	93	56%	27%	BL	-29%	56%	WH	2.1
Caldwell CC and TI	131	53%		*	1	*	7	*	10	*	105	53%	53%	WH	-	53%	WH	-
Cape Fear CC	630	33%	2	*	3	*	78	15%	63	37%	444	36%	15%	BL	-21%	37%	HI	2.4
Carteret CC	90	27%	1	*		*	2	*	5	*	75	27%	27%	WH	-	27%	WH	-
Catawba Valley CC	360	30%	2	*	36	47%	41	20%	48	23%	220	30%	20%	BL	-28%	47%	AS	2.4
Central Carolina CC	162	28%	1	*	2	*	33	9%	30	33%	87	33%	9%	BL	-24%	33%	WH	3.7
Central Piedmont CC	1885	35%	8	*	133	48%	520	19%	347	37%	709	43%	19%	BL	-29%	48%	AS	2.5
Cleveland CC	107	16%	2	*	2	*	18	*	11	*	65	20%	20%	WH	-	20%	WH	-
Coastal Carolina CC	613	33%	8	*	25	60%	99	24%	113	25%	360	37%	24%	BL	-36%	60%	AS	2.5
Coll of the Albemarle	103	27%		*	1	*	14	*	9	*	67	33%	33%	WH	-	33%	WH	-
Craven CC	168	30%		*	5	*	39	13%	23	26%	84	36%	13%	BL	-23%	36%	WH	2.8
Davidson County CC	223	38%	3	*	4	*	25	8%	22	41%	152	43%	8%	BL	-35%	43%	WH	5.4
Durham TCC	373	33%	2	*	21	62%	133	20%	95	31%	85	47%	20%	BL	-42%	62%	AS	3.0
Edgecombe CC	26	19%		*		*	11	*	1	*	14	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fayetteville TCC	360	27%	7	*	13	*	142	15%	55	24%	106	33%	15%	BL	-18%	33%	WH	2.1
Forsyth TCC	451	38%		*	7	*	107	24%	70	43%	239	42%	24%	BL	-19%	43%	HI	1.8
Gaston College	310	38%		*	5	*	36	25%	29	41%	150	43%	25%	BL	-18%	43%	WH	1.7
Guilford TCC	500	25%	2	*	48	48%	189	14%	61	26%	169	32%	14%	BL	-34%	48%	AS	3.4
Halifax CC	28	18%	1	*		*	15	*		*	12	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Haywood CC	73	40%	1	*		*	1	*	3	*	61	38%	38%	WH	-	38%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	37	32%		*		*	5	*	1	*	27	37%	37%	WH	-	37%	WH	-
James Sprunt CC	34	18%		*		*	6	*	6	*	18	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnston CC	253	38%	1	*	4	*	39	21%	30	37%	162	41%	21%	BL	-20%	41%	WH	2.0
Lenoir CC	81	26%		*	1	*	35	3%	5	*	39	44%	3%	BL	-41%	44%	WH	15.3
Martin CC	6	*		*	1	*	3	*		*	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	13	*		*		*	1	*	2	*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	14	*		*		*	1	*	3	*	10	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mitchell CC	276	25%	2	*	10	*	33	18%	30	17%	188	25%	17%	HI	-8%	25%	WH	1.5
Montgomery CC	17	*	1	*		*	8	*	4	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nash CC	98	39%	1	*	2	*	23	39%	12	*	50	36%	36%	WH	-3%	39%	BL	1.1
Pamlico CC	4	*		*		*	1	*		*	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Piedmont CC	40	23%	1	*		*	8	*		*	28	21%	21%	WH	-	21%	WH	-
Pitt CC	351	21%	2	*	4	*	144	13%	36	17%	142	30%	13%	BL	-17%	30%	WH	2.4
Randolph CC	126	47%	2	*	2	*	9	*	13	*	73	48%	48%	WH	-	48%	WH	-
Richmond CC	68	24%	7	*	1	*	20	20%	4	*	24	29%	20%	BL	-9%	29%	WH	1.5
Roanoke-Chowan CC	11	*		*		*	3	*		*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robeson CC	67	28%	27	30%	2	*	17	*	4	*	13	*	30%	AI	-	30%	AI	-
Rockingham CC	168	28%	1	*	2	*	37	8%	7	*	110	36%	8%	BL	-28%	36%	WH	4.5
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	296	30%	2	*	8	*	58	16%	45	42%	169	32%	16%	BL	-27%	42%	HI	2.7
Sampson CC	36	25%	1	*		*	11	*	4	*	18	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandhills CC	128	22%	2	*		*	34	6%	24	21%	57	28%	6%	BL	-22%	28%	WH	4.8
South Piedmont CC	82	21%		*	1	*	11	*	12	*	49	27%	27%	WH	-	27%	WH	-
Southeastern CC	82	40%	8	*		*	18	*	6	*	46	43%	43%	WH	-	43%	WH	-
Southwestern CC	56	30%	3	*	1	*	1	*	8	*	39	41%	41%	WH	-	41%	WH	-
Stanly CC	62	44%		*	5	*	8	*		*	43	44%	44%	WH	-	44%	WH	-
Surry CC	100	44%	1	*		*	2	*	14	*	78	47%	47%	WH	-	47%	WH	-
Tri-County CC	22	23%		*		*		*	1	*	21	24%	24%	WH	-	24%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	133	31%		*	1	*	47	19%	6	*	71	37%	19%	BL	-17%	37%	WH	1.9
Wake TCC	2384	33%	13	*	172	49%	440	15%	375	30%	1216	39%	15%	BL	-34%	49%	AS	3.3
Wayne CC	207	29%	2	*	8	*	53	17%	38	29%	96	31%	17%	BL	-14%	31%	WH	1.8
Western Piedmont CC	110	35%	2	*	12	*	12	*	15	*	69	33%	33%	WH	-	33%	WH	-
Wilkes CC	103	42%		*	1	*	4	*	8	*	83	41%	41%	WH	-	41%	WH	-
Wilson CC	73	21%		*	1	*	33	9%	10	*	27	33%	9%	BL	-24%	33%	WH	3.7

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

## First Academic Year Successful Completion of College-Level Math by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) transfer program students successfully completing college-level Math in first year

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>1269</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>1443</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>931</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>876</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>3217</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>3480</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-22%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>WM</b>	<b>2.3</b>
Alamance CC	7	*	16	*	21	38%	11	*	60	48%	55	40%	38%	HF	-10%	48%	WF	1.3
Asheville-Buncombe	10	*	8	*	8	*	19	*	84	36%	99	39%	36%	WF	-4%	39%	WM	1.1
Beaufort County CC	3	*	1	*	1	*	2	*	6	*	10	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Bladen CC		*	4	*	1	*	1	*	6	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Blue Ridge CC	2	*	4	*	4	*	7	*	31	48%	56	45%	45%	WM	-4%	48%	WF	1.1
Brunswick CC	8	*	14	*	9	*	5	*	48	48%	45	64%	48%	WF	-17%	64%	WM	1.3
Caldwell CC and TI		*	7	*	3	*	7	*	51	53%	54	54%	53%	WF	-1%	54%	WM	1.0
Cape Fear CC	32	22%	46	11%	32	34%	31	39%	221	37%	223	35%	11%	BM	-28%	39%	HM	3.6
Carteret CC	1	*	1	*	3	*	2	*	38	34%	37	19%	19%	WM	-15%	34%	WF	1.8
Catawba Valley CC	12	*	29	17%	18	*	30	27%	102	35%	118	26%	17%	BM	-18%	35%	WF	2.0
Central Carolina CC	20	10%	13	*	17	*	13	*	52	29%	35	40%	10%	BF	-30%	40%	WM	4.0
Central Piedmont CC	261	22%	259	17%	186	38%	161	36%	301	45%	408	41%	17%	BM	-29%	45%	WF	2.7
Cleveland CC	6	*	12	*	5	*	6	*	34	21%	31	19%	19%	WM	-1%	21%	WF	1.1
Coastal Carolina CC	50	24%	49	24%	58	24%	55	25%	195	36%	165	39%	24%	BF	-15%	39%	WM	1.6
Coll of the Albemarle	6	*	8	*	3	*	6	*	41	34%	26	31%	31%	WM	-3%	34%	WF	1.1
Craven CC	20	0%	19	*	8	*	15	*	32	28%	52	40%	0%	BF	-40%	40%	WM	99.9
Davidson County CC	5	*	20	0%	10	*	12	*	89	44%	63	43%	0%	BM	-44%	44%	WF	99.9
Durham TCC	57	21%	76	20%	42	24%	53	36%	37	38%	48	54%	20%	BM	-34%	54%	WM	2.7
Edgecombe CC	5	*	6	*		*	1	*	8	*	6	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fayetteville TCC	64	13%	78	18%	30	27%	25	20%	62	29%	44	39%	13%	BF	-26%	39%	WM	3.1
Forsyth TCC	49	27%	58	22%	37	43%	33	42%	109	39%	130	45%	22%	BM	-22%	45%	WM	2.0
Gaston College	21	19%	15	*	16	*	13	*	87	39%	63	49%	19%	BF	-30%	49%	WM	2.6
Guilford TCC	83	18%	106	11%	26	23%	35	29%	78	29%	91	34%	11%	BM	-23%	34%	WM	3.0
Halifax CC	7	*	8	*		*		*	5	*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Haywood CC	1	*		*	1	*	2	*	34	38%	27	37%	37%	WM	-1%	38%	WF	1.0
Isothermal CC	1	*	4	*		*	1	*	21	48%	6	*	48%	WF	-	48%	WF	-
James Sprunt CC	5	*	1	*	5	*	1	*	11	*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Johnston CC	15	*	24	25%	20	45%	10	*	77	38%	85	44%	25%	BM	-20%	45%	HF	1.8
Lenoir CC	12	*	23	4%	2	*	3	*	14	*	25	40%	4%	BM	-36%	40%	WM	9.2
Martin CC	2	*	1	*		*		*	2	*		*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC		*	1	*		*	2	*	4	*	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*		*	3	*		*	7	*	3	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mitchell CC	15	*	18	*	12	*	18	*	104	27%	84	23%	23%	WM	-4%	27%	WF	1.2
Montgomery CC	4	*	4	*	1	*	3	*	3	*	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nash CC	6	*	17	*	7	*	5	*	25	28%	25	44%	28%	WF	-16%	44%	WM	1.6
Pamlico CC	1	*		*		*		*	2	*	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Piedmont CC	5	*	3	*		*		*	15	*	13	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pitt CC	65	14%	79	11%	16	*	20	20%	65	34%	77	26%	11%	BM	-22%	34%	WF	3.0
Randolph CC	5	*	4	*	7	*	6	*	34	41%	39	54%	41%	WF	-13%	54%	WM	1.3
Richmond CC	16	*	4	*	3	*	1	*	17	*	7	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Roanoke Chowan CC		*	3	*		*		*	5	*	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Robeson CC	7	*	10	*	1	*	3	*	9	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rockingham CC	19	*	18	*	4	*	3	*	46	39%	64	34%	34%	WM	-5%	39%	WF	1.1
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	25	16%	33	15%	19	*	26	46%	77	39%	92	26%	15%	BM	-31%	46%	HM	3.0
Sampson CC	5	*	6	*	4	*		*	10	*	8	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sandhills CC	8	*	26	8%	15	*	9	*	24	33%	33	24%	8%	BM	-26%	33%	WF	4.3
South Piedmont CC	4	*	7	*	6	*	6	*	22	18%	27	33%	18%	WF	-15%	33%	WM	1.8
Southeastern CC	11	*	7	*	4	*	2	*	19	*	27	67%	67%	WM	-	67%	WM	-
Southwestern CC		*	1	*	4	*	4	*	23	43%	16	*	43%	WF	-	43%	WF	-
Stanly CC	6	*	2	*		*		*	18	*	25	48%	48%	WM	-	48%	WM	-
Surry CC		*	2	*	10	*	4	*	44	41%	34	56%	41%	WF	-15%	56%	WM	1.4
Tri-County CC		*		*	1	*		*	13	*	8	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vance-Granville CC	21	19%	26	19%	5	*	1	*	39	36%	32	38%	19%	BF	-18%	38%	WM	2.0
Wake TCC	207	13%	233	17%	197	29%	178	31%	501	40%	715	39%	13%	BF	-27%	40%	WF	3.0
Wayne CC	41	17%	12	*	26	31%	12	*	59	32%	37	30%	17%	BF	-15%	32%	WF	1.9
Western Piedmont CC	5	*	7	*	7	*	8	*	28	25%	41	39%	25%	WF	-14%	39%	WM	1.6
Wilkes CC	4	*		*	6	*	2	*	52	37%	31	48%	37%	WF	-12%	48%	WM	1.3
Wilson CC	23	4%	10	*	7	*	3	*	16	*	11	*	4%	BF	-	4%	BF	-

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK






# Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.00 or Above

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a grade point average of 2.00 or above during their first academic year

See tables for notes about disaggregation

- Black Female
- Hispanic Female
- White Female
- Black Male
- Hispanic Male
- White Male



### First Academic Year Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.00 or Above by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a grade point average of 2.00 or above during their first academic year

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-27%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>1.6</b>
Alamance CC	509	70%	9	*	14	*	80	56%	83	67%	281	75%	56%	BL	-19%	75%	WH	1.3
Asheville-Buncombe	563	67%	4	*	9	*	41	54%	68	63%	403	69%	54%	BL	-16%	69%	WH	1.3
Beaufort County CC	93	55%	0	*	0	*	20	25%	8	*	58	59%	25%	BL	-34%	59%	WH	2.3
Bladen CC	111	50%	29	48%	0	*	22	27%	9	*	36	72%	27%	BL	-45%	72%	WH	2.6
Blue Ridge CC	280	69%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	73%	204	72%	72%	WH	0%	73%	HI	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	59%	2	*	2	*	29	45%	19	*	141	67%	45%	BL	-22%	67%	WH	1.5
Caldwell CC and TI	328	66%	1	*	3	*	30	37%	31	71%	248	71%	37%	BL	-34%	71%	HI	1.9
Cape Fear CC	1200	54%	10	*	12	*	162	39%	123	58%	815	57%	39%	BL	-19%	58%	HI	1.5
Carteret CC	211	59%	2	*	1	*	21	57%	13	*	162	58%	57%	BL	-1%	58%	WH	1.0
Catawba Valley CC	656	60%	4	*	56	77%	66	39%	96	58%	412	60%	39%	BL	-37%	77%	AS	1.9
Central Carolina CC	516	57%	3	*	11	*	124	47%	104	56%	238	63%	47%	BL	-16%	63%	WH	1.3
Central Piedmont CC	3496	51%	14	*	233	60%	1054	38%	671	53%	1233	61%	38%	BL	-23%	61%	WH	1.6
Cleveland CC	564	80%	5	*	7	*	123	72%	33	85%	368	83%	72%	BL	-13%	85%	HI	1.2
Coastal Carolina CC	757	70%	11	*	26	77%	118	57%	126	69%	466	74%	57%	BL	-20%	77%	AS	1.4
Coll of the Albemarle	323	68%	1	*	5	*	71	48%	16	*	202	78%	48%	BL	-30%	78%	WH	1.6
Craven CC	378	69%	3	*	17	*	84	58%	35	80%	204	70%	58%	BL	-22%	80%	HI	1.4
Davidson County CC	451	60%	3	*	8	*	48	33%	45	51%	311	65%	33%	BL	-32%	65%	WH	2.0
Durham TCC	725	53%	5	*	50	54%	271	41%	180	55%	157	68%	41%	BL	-26%	68%	WH	1.6
Edgecombe CC	139	59%	1	*	0	*	74	47%	7	*	50	72%	47%	BL	-25%	72%	WH	1.5
Fayetteville TCC	1407	58%	46	67%	41	80%	571	45%	201	57%	402	71%	45%	BL	-36%	80%	AS	1.8
Forsyth TCC	1273	60%	1	*	21	71%	300	42%	262	60%	610	69%	42%	BL	-29%	71%	AS	1.7
Gaston College	668	63%	0	*	9	*	68	34%	58	62%	354	67%	34%	BL	-33%	67%	WH	2.0
Guilford TCC	1383	54%	5	*	108	75%	487	36%	175	61%	508	66%	36%	BL	-39%	75%	AS	2.1
Halifax CC	124	73%	3	*	1	*	68	66%	5	*	39	77%	66%	BL	-11%	77%	WH	1.2
Haywood CC	178	71%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	75%	75%	WH	-	75%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	67%	0	*	0	*	25	60%	3	*	104	72%	60%	BL	-12%	72%	WH	1.2
James Sprunt CC	128	65%	0	*	1	*	40	50%	27	63%	51	82%	50%	BL	-32%	82%	WH	1.6
Johnston CC	558	63%	1	*	6	*	101	47%	83	69%	337	68%	47%	BL	-22%	69%	HI	1.5
Lenoir CC	239	57%	1	*	3	*	95	34%	25	68%	112	74%	34%	BL	-40%	74%	WH	2.2
Martin CC	41	61%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	52%	52%	WH	-	52%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	57%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	67%	67%	WH	-	67%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	78%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	83%	83%	WH	-	83%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	65%	3	*	11	*	49	55%	47	53%	276	67%	53%	HI	-13%	67%	WH	1.3
Montgomery CC	92	52%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	54%	54%	WH	-	54%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	63%	14	*	3	*	101	41%	41	71%	146	74%	41%	BL	-33%	74%	WH	1.8
Pamlico CC	69	83%	1	*	2	*	24	83%	4	*	32	78%	78%	WH	-5%	83%	BL	1.1
Piedmont CC	121	74%	3	*	0	*	35	54%	5	*	67	78%	54%	BL	-23%	78%	WH	1.4
Pitt CC	906	52%	5	*	9	*	451	41%	93	54%	294	68%	41%	BL	-27%	68%	WH	1.7
Randolph CC	327	66%	3	*	3	*	26	31%	28	71%	212	67%	31%	BL	-41%	71%	HI	2.3
Richmond CC	192	49%	22	50%	1	*	63	32%	7	*	73	66%	32%	BL	-34%	66%	WH	2.1
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	68%	0	*	0	*	35	57%	1	*	19	*	57%	BL	-	57%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	51%	155	46%	3	*	64	39%	29	69%	52	63%	39%	BL	-30%	69%	HI	1.8
Rockingham CC	322	48%	1	*	2	*	61	36%	19	*	211	53%	36%	BL	-17%	53%	WH	1.5
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	59%	3	*	19	*	160	37%	121	60%	519	65%	37%	BL	-28%	65%	WH	1.8
Sampson CC	145	66%	3	*	0	*	37	54%	32	78%	64	69%	54%	BL	-24%	78%	HI	1.4
Sandhills CC	341	57%	22	59%	1	*	88	40%	51	53%	154	68%	40%	BL	-28%	68%	WH	1.7
South Piedmont CC	284	47%	0	*	1	*	49	37%	57	47%	154	51%	37%	BL	-14%	51%	WH	1.4
Southeastern CC	163	68%	15	*	0	*	45	47%	8	*	89	78%	47%	BL	-31%	78%	WH	1.7
Southwestern CC	170	67%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	65%	65%	WH	-	65%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	72%	0	*	11	*	33	70%	10	*	154	73%	70%	BL	-3%	73%	WH	1.0
Surry CC	319	68%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	68%	247	68%	68%	WH	0%	68%	HI	1.0
Tri-County CC	55	75%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	75%	75%	WH	-	75%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	59%	2	*	5	*	126	47%	30	60%	212	63%	47%	BL	-16%	63%	WH	1.3
Wake TCC	3724	56%	20	65%	239	63%	789	38%	641	54%	1801	64%	38%	BL	-27%	65%	AI	1.7
Wayne CC	416	71%	4	*	9	*	107	56%	80	71%	203	79%	56%	BL	-23%	79%	WH	1.4
Western Piedmont CC	239	68%	3	*	23	83%	22	50%	30	63%	161	69%	50%	BL	-33%	83%	AS	1.7
Wilkes CC	277	65%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	67%	221	67%	67%	HI	0%	67%	WH	1.0
Wilson CC	154	52%	0	*	1	*	63	41%	27	37%	55	71%	37%	HI	-34%	71%	WH	1.9

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

## First Academic Year Aggregate Grade Point Average 2.00 or Above by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a grade point average of 2.00 or above during their first academic year

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-30%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>1.8</b>
Alamance CC	43	60%	37	51%	52	73%	31	58%	148	77%	133	74%	51%	BM	-26%	77%	WF	1.5
Asheville-Buncombe	21	57%	20	50%	25	60%	43	65%	211	73%	192	66%	50%	BM	-23%	73%	WF	1.5
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	66%	29	52%	52%	WM	-14%	66%	WF	1.3
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	59%	14	*	59%	WF	-	59%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	68%	95	73%	109	72%	68%	HM	-5%	73%	WF	1.1
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	65%	72	68%	65%	WF	-3%	68%	WM	1.0
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	71%	103	70%	70%	WM	-1%	71%	WF	1.0
Cape Fear CC	76	42%	86	36%	63	49%	60	67%	423	59%	392	55%	36%	BM	-31%	67%	HM	1.8
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	64%	71	51%	51%	WM	-13%	64%	WF	1.3
Catawba Valley CC	22	59%	44	30%	36	72%	60	50%	230	64%	182	55%	30%	BM	-43%	72%	HF	2.4
Central Carolina CC	58	47%	66	47%	58	67%	46	41%	137	68%	101	55%	41%	HM	-27%	68%	WF	1.6
Central Piedmont CC	578	40%	476	35%	359	55%	312	51%	567	66%	666	57%	35%	BM	-21%	66%	WF	1.9
Cleveland CC	82	78%	41	59%	18	*	15	*	212	84%	156	82%	59%	BM	-26%	84%	WF	1.4
Coastal Carolina CC	58	60%	60	53%	63	76%	63	62%	240	75%	226	73%	53%	BM	-23%	76%	HF	1.4
Coll of the Albemarle	36	56%	35	40%	8	*	8	*	123	81%	79	72%	40%	BM	-41%	81%	WF	2.0
Craven CC	50	62%	34	53%	11	*	24	75%	99	75%	105	66%	53%	BM	-22%	75%	HM	1.4
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	38%	23	48%	22	55%	182	67%	129	63%	38%	BM	-29%	67%	WF	1.8
Durham TCC	143	45%	128	37%	93	60%	87	49%	63	76%	94	62%	37%	BM	-39%	76%	WF	2.1
Edgecombe CC	45	64%	29	21%	3	*	4	*	34	79%	16	*	21%	BM	-59%	79%	WF	3.8
Fayetteville TCC	288	48%	283	41%	102	61%	99	54%	211	77%	191	65%	41%	BM	-36%	77%	WF	1.9
Forsyth TCC	153	42%	147	42%	142	66%	120	53%	287	69%	323	69%	42%	BF	-27%	69%	WM	1.7
Gaston College	33	36%	35	31%	28	54%	30	70%	210	67%	144	66%	31%	BM	-39%	70%	HM	2.2
Guilford TCC	254	39%	233	32%	97	66%	78	55%	229	69%	279	63%	32%	BM	-37%	69%	WF	2.2
Halifax CC	30	57%	38	74%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	76%	57%	BF	-20%	76%	WM	1.3
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	75%	72	75%	75%	WM	0%	75%	WF	1.0
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	80%	40	60%	60%	WM	-20%	80%	WF	1.3
James Sprunt CC	32	47%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	80%	21	86%	47%	BF	-39%	86%	WM	1.8
Johnston CC	45	51%	56	43%	55	75%	28	57%	179	69%	158	68%	43%	BM	-32%	75%	HF	1.7
Lenoir CC	52	42%	43	23%	14	*	11	*	56	79%	56	70%	23%	BM	-55%	79%	WF	3.4
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	87%	28	79%	79%	WM	-8%	87%	WF	1.1
Mitchell CC	23	74%	26	38%	18	*	29	55%	141	73%	135	60%	38%	BM	-35%	74%	BF	1.9
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	59%	27	48%	48%	WM	-11%	59%	WF	1.2
Nash CC	56	39%	45	42%	24	71%	17	*	69	78%	77	70%	39%	BF	-39%	78%	WF	2.0
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	86%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	86%	86%	WM	-1%	86%	BM	1.0
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	85%	34	71%	71%	WM	-14%	85%	WF	1.2
Pitt CC	249	43%	202	39%	50	66%	43	40%	139	74%	155	63%	39%	BM	-35%	74%	WF	1.9
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	70%	93	63%	63%	WM	-6%	70%	WF	1.1
Richmond CC	41	37%	22	23%	3	*	4	*	40	65%	33	67%	23%	BM	-44%	67%	WM	2.9
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	54%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	54%	BF	-	54%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	44%	23	30%	18	*	11	*	32	66%	20	60%	30%	BM	-35%	66%	WF	2.2
Rockingham CC	33	30%	28	43%	12	*	7	*	103	52%	108	53%	30%	BF	-22%	53%	WM	1.7
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	38%	70	36%	58	66%	63	56%	266	70%	253	60%	36%	BM	-35%	70%	WF	2.0
Sampson CC	22	50%	15	*	22	73%	10	*	38	66%	26	73%	50%	BF	-23%	73%	WM	1.5
Sandhills CC	32	56%	56	30%	30	53%	21	52%	78	76%	76	59%	30%	BM	-45%	76%	WF	2.5
South Piedmont CC	33	36%	16	*	32	56%	25	36%	78	55%	76	46%	36%	HM	-20%	56%	HF	1.6
Southeastern CC	25	48%	20	45%	6	*	2	*	38	66%	51	86%	45%	BM	-41%	86%	WM	1.9
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	71%	47	55%	55%	WM	-15%	71%	WF	1.3
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	73%	75	72%	72%	WM	-1%	73%	WF	1.0
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	79%	20	55%	146	64%	101	74%	55%	HM	-24%	79%	HF	1.4
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	62%	27	89%	62%	WF	-27%	89%	WM	1.4
Vance-Granville CC	71	49%	55	44%	19	*	11	*	120	61%	92	66%	44%	BM	-23%	66%	WM	1.5
Wake TCC	396	40%	393	36%	356	56%	285	51%	738	70%	1063	61%	36%	BM	-33%	70%	WF	1.9
Wayne CC	67	58%	40	53%	40	75%	40	68%	92	83%	111	77%	53%	BM	-30%	83%	WF	1.6
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	74%	73	63%	63%	WM	-11%	74%	WF	1.2
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	71%	12	*	129	64%	92	72%	64%	WF	-8%	72%	WM	1.1
Wilson CC	34	38%	29	45%	14	*	13	*	30	77%	25	64%	38%	BF	-38%	77%	WF	2.0

\* Less than 20



Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK


## Successful Completion of 66.7% of Credits Attempted

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students successfully completing 66.7% of credits during their first year

See tables for notes about disaggregation



- Black Female
- Hispanic Female
- White Female
- Black Male
- Hispanic Male
- White Male



**First Academic Year Successful Completion of 66.7% of Credits Attempted by Race/Ethnicity**

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students successfully completing 66.7% of credits during their first year

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-26%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>1.7</b>
Alamance CC	509	62%	9	*	14	*	80	48%	83	58%	281	69%	48%	BL	-22%	69%	WH	1.5
Asheville-Buncombe	563	54%	4	*	9	*	41	41%	68	44%	403	57%	41%	BL	-16%	57%	WH	1.4
Beaufort County CC	93	45%	0	*	0	*	20	20%	8	*	58	45%	20%	BL	-25%	45%	WH	2.2
Bladen CC	111	44%	29	41%	0	*	22	14%	9	*	36	67%	14%	BL	-53%	67%	WH	4.9
Blue Ridge CC	280	55%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	63%	204	58%	58%	WH	-5%	63%	HI	1.1
Brunswick CC	217	52%	2	*	2	*	29	34%	19	*	141	60%	34%	BL	-26%	60%	WH	1.7
Caldwell CC and TI	328	54%	1	*	3	*	30	23%	31	55%	248	58%	23%	BL	-35%	58%	WH	2.5
Cape Fear CC	1200	48%	10	*	12	*	162	31%	123	54%	815	51%	31%	BL	-23%	54%	HI	1.7
Carteret CC	211	54%	2	*	1	*	21	57%	13	*	162	51%	51%	WH	-7%	57%	BL	1.1
Catawba Valley CC	656	51%	4	*	56	66%	66	29%	96	52%	412	52%	29%	BL	-37%	66%	AS	2.3
Central Carolina CC	516	49%	3	*	11	*	124	38%	104	49%	238	53%	38%	BL	-15%	53%	WH	1.4
Central Piedmont CC	3496	47%	14	*	233	53%	1054	36%	671	48%	1233	56%	36%	BL	-20%	56%	WH	1.5
Cleveland CC	564	76%	5	*	7	*	123	67%	33	76%	368	79%	67%	BL	-12%	79%	WH	1.2
Coastal Carolina CC	757	62%	11	*	26	65%	118	48%	126	56%	466	67%	48%	BL	-18%	67%	WH	1.4
Coll of the Albemarle	323	59%	1	*	5	*	71	37%	16	*	202	69%	37%	BL	-32%	69%	WH	1.9
Craven CC	378	59%	3	*	17	*	84	38%	35	66%	204	64%	38%	BL	-28%	66%	HI	1.7
Davidson County CC	451	53%	3	*	8	*	48	29%	45	40%	311	58%	29%	BL	-28%	58%	WH	2.0
Durham TCC	725	46%	5	*	50	54%	271	35%	180	46%	157	59%	35%	BL	-24%	59%	WH	1.7
Edgecombe CC	139	49%	1	*	0	*	74	36%	7	*	50	70%	36%	BL	-34%	70%	WH	1.9
Fayetteville TCC	1407	49%	46	65%	41	76%	571	37%	201	48%	402	61%	37%	BL	-39%	76%	AS	2.1
Forsyth TCC	1273	53%	1	*	21	62%	300	36%	262	54%	610	61%	36%	BL	-26%	62%	AS	1.7
Gaston College	668	54%	0	*	9	*	68	28%	58	53%	354	56%	28%	BL	-29%	56%	WH	2.0
Guilford TCC	1383	47%	5	*	108	63%	487	31%	175	51%	508	58%	31%	BL	-32%	63%	AS	2.1
Halifax CC	124	65%	3	*	1	*	68	60%	5	*	39	64%	60%	BL	-4%	64%	WH	1.1
Haywood CC	178	62%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	65%	65%	WH	-	65%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	59%	0	*	0	*	25	48%	3	*	104	63%	48%	BL	-15%	63%	WH	1.3
James Sprunt CC	128	55%	0	*	1	*	40	38%	27	59%	51	73%	38%	BL	-35%	73%	WH	1.9
Johnston CC	558	56%	1	*	6	*	101	40%	83	57%	337	62%	40%	BL	-22%	62%	WH	1.6
Lenoir CC	239	50%	1	*	3	*	95	25%	25	60%	112	68%	25%	BL	-43%	68%	WH	2.7
Martin CC	41	56%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	48%	48%	WH	-	48%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	49%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	54%	54%	WH	-	54%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	74%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	78%	78%	WH	-	78%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	54%	3	*	11	*	49	47%	47	47%	276	54%	47%	HI	-8%	54%	WH	1.2
Montgomery CC	92	47%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	48%	48%	WH	-	48%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	49%	14	*	3	*	101	32%	41	59%	146	58%	32%	BL	-27%	59%	HI	1.8
Pamlico CC	69	77%	1	*	2	*	24	79%	4	*	32	69%	69%	WH	-10%	79%	BL	1.2
Piedmont CC	121	67%	3	*	0	*	35	46%	5	*	67	72%	46%	BL	-26%	72%	WH	1.6
Pitt CC	906	44%	5	*	9	*	451	33%	93	43%	294	60%	33%	BL	-27%	60%	WH	1.8
Randolph CC	327	62%	3	*	3	*	26	31%	28	75%	212	62%	31%	BL	-44%	75%	HI	2.4
Richmond CC	192	44%	22	55%	1	*	63	25%	7	*	73	60%	25%	BL	-35%	60%	WH	2.4
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	56%	0	*	0	*	35	46%	1	*	19	*	46%	BL	-	46%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	43%	155	39%	3	*	64	30%	29	66%	52	50%	30%	BL	-36%	66%	HI	2.2
Rockingham CC	322	42%	1	*	2	*	61	28%	19	*	211	47%	28%	BL	-20%	47%	WH	1.7
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	49%	3	*	19	*	160	27%	121	53%	519	55%	27%	BL	-28%	55%	WH	2.1
Sampson CC	145	57%	3	*	0	*	37	43%	32	69%	64	61%	43%	BL	-26%	69%	HI	1.6
Sandhills CC	341	42%	22	36%	1	*	88	28%	51	43%	154	50%	28%	BL	-22%	50%	WH	1.8
South Piedmont CC	284	44%	0	*	1	*	49	24%	57	54%	154	48%	24%	BL	-30%	54%	HI	2.2
Southeastern CC	163	53%	15	*	0	*	45	33%	8	*	89	62%	33%	BL	-28%	62%	WH	1.9
Southwestern CC	170	56%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	57%	57%	WH	-	57%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	62%	0	*	11	*	33	64%	10	*	154	62%	62%	WH	-1%	64%	BL	1.0
Surry CC	319	59%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	61%	247	58%	58%	WH	-3%	61%	HI	1.1
Tri-County CC	55	73%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	74%	74%	WH	-	74%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	50%	2	*	5	*	126	40%	30	47%	212	54%	40%	BL	-13%	54%	WH	1.3
Wake TCC	3724	50%	20	60%	239	59%	789	32%	641	50%	1801	57%	32%	BL	-28%	60%	AI	1.9
Wayne CC	416	58%	4	*	9	*	107	39%	80	60%	203	68%	39%	BL	-29%	68%	WH	1.7
Western Piedmont CC	239	58%	3	*	23	74%	22	41%	30	53%	161	58%	41%	BL	-33%	74%	AS	1.8
Wilkes CC	277	54%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	53%	221	56%	53%	HI	-3%	56%	WH	1.1
Wilson CC	154	44%	0	*	1	*	63	35%	27	30%	55	58%	30%	HI	-29%	58%	WH	2.0

\* Less than 20

Note: Disaggregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

## First Academic Year Successful Completion of 66.7% of Credits Attempted by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students successfully completing 66.7% of credits during their first year

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>56%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-28%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>1.8</b>
Alamance CC	43	56%	37	38%	52	67%	31	42%	148	70%	133	68%	38%	BM	-32%	70%	WF	1.9
Asheville-Buncombe	21	48%	20	35%	25	48%	43	42%	211	57%	192	57%	35%	BM	-22%	57%	WF	1.6
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	52%	29	38%	38%	WM	-14%	52%	WF	1.4
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	55%	14	*	55%	WF	-	55%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	60%	95	54%	109	61%	54%	WF	-8%	61%	WM	1.1
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	59%	72	61%	59%	WF	-2%	61%	WM	1.0
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	59%	103	57%	57%	WM	-2%	59%	WF	1.0
Cape Fear CC	76	33%	86	30%	63	48%	60	62%	423	54%	392	48%	30%	BM	-31%	62%	HM	2.0
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	57%	71	42%	42%	WM	-15%	57%	WF	1.4
Catawba Valley CC	22	50%	44	18%	36	64%	60	45%	230	56%	182	47%	18%	BM	-46%	64%	HF	3.5
Central Carolina CC	58	38%	66	38%	58	59%	46	37%	137	57%	101	48%	37%	HM	-22%	59%	HF	1.6
Central Piedmont CC	578	39%	476	32%	359	52%	312	43%	567	60%	666	51%	32%	BM	-28%	60%	WF	1.9
Cleveland CC	82	72%	41	59%	18	*	15	*	212	82%	156	76%	59%	BM	-23%	82%	WF	1.4
Coastal Carolina CC	58	52%	60	45%	63	60%	63	52%	240	66%	226	67%	45%	BM	-22%	67%	WM	1.5
Coll of the Albemarle	36	36%	35	37%	8	*	8	*	123	72%	79	65%	36%	BF	-35%	72%	WF	2.0
Craven CC	50	40%	34	35%	11	*	24	58%	99	66%	105	62%	35%	BM	-30%	66%	WF	1.9
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	32%	23	35%	22	45%	182	59%	129	55%	32%	BM	-27%	59%	WF	1.8
Durham TCC	143	38%	128	32%	93	47%	87	45%	63	71%	94	51%	32%	BM	-39%	71%	WF	2.2
Edgecombe CC	45	51%	29	14%	3	*	4	*	34	79%	16	*	14%	BM	-66%	79%	WF	5.8
Fayetteville TCC	288	42%	283	31%	102	52%	99	44%	211	63%	191	59%	31%	BM	-32%	63%	WF	2.0
Forsyth TCC	153	35%	147	36%	142	60%	120	47%	287	59%	323	63%	35%	BF	-28%	63%	WM	1.8
Gaston College	33	27%	35	29%	28	43%	30	63%	210	58%	144	54%	27%	BF	-36%	63%	HM	2.3
Guilford TCC	254	32%	233	29%	97	52%	78	51%	229	60%	279	56%	29%	BM	-31%	60%	WF	2.0
Halifax CC	30	50%	38	68%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	62%	50%	BF	-18%	68%	BM	1.4
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	64%	72	65%	64%	WF	-1%	65%	WM	1.0
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	69%	40	55%	55%	WM	-14%	69%	WF	1.3
James Sprunt CC	32	34%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	73%	21	71%	34%	BF	-39%	73%	WF	2.1
Johnston CC	45	44%	56	36%	55	67%	28	36%	179	62%	158	62%	36%	HM	-32%	67%	HF	1.9
Lenoir CC	52	33%	43	16%	14	*	11	*	56	70%	56	66%	16%	BM	-53%	70%	WF	4.3
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	80%	28	75%	75%	WM	-5%	80%	WF	1.1
Mitchell CC	23	65%	26	31%	18	*	29	45%	141	59%	135	50%	31%	BM	-34%	65%	BF	2.1
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	52%	27	44%	44%	WM	-7%	52%	WF	1.2
Nash CC	56	32%	45	31%	24	58%	17	*	69	57%	77	58%	31%	BM	-27%	58%	WM	1.9
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	86%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	81%	81%	WM	-5%	86%	BM	1.1
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	73%	34	71%	71%	WM	-2%	73%	WF	1.0
Pitt CC	249	34%	202	32%	50	48%	43	37%	139	62%	155	58%	32%	BM	-30%	62%	WF	2.0
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	66%	93	57%	57%	WM	-9%	66%	WF	1.2
Richmond CC	41	27%	22	23%	3	*	4	*	40	58%	33	64%	23%	BM	-41%	64%	WM	2.8
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	38%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	38%	BF	-	38%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	32%	23	26%	18	*	11	*	32	50%	20	50%	26%	BM	-24%	50%	WM	1.9
Rockingham CC	33	30%	28	25%	12	*	7	*	103	47%	108	48%	25%	BM	-23%	48%	WM	1.9
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	30%	70	23%	58	57%	63	49%	266	63%	253	47%	23%	BM	-40%	63%	WF	2.8
Sampson CC	22	45%	15	*	22	68%	10	*	38	61%	26	62%	45%	BF	-23%	68%	HF	1.5
Sandhills CC	32	38%	56	23%	30	43%	21	43%	78	62%	76	38%	23%	BM	-38%	62%	WF	2.7
South Piedmont CC	33	30%	16	*	32	63%	25	44%	78	51%	76	45%	30%	BF	-32%	63%	HF	2.1
Southeastern CC	25	36%	20	30%	6	*	2	*	38	47%	51	73%	30%	BM	-43%	73%	WM	2.4
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	62%	47	49%	49%	WM	-13%	62%	WF	1.3
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	63%	75	61%	61%	WM	-2%	63%	WF	1.0
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	67%	20	55%	146	54%	101	63%	54%	WF	-13%	67%	HF	1.2
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	62%	27	85%	62%	WF	-24%	85%	WM	1.4
Vance-Granville CC	71	45%	55	35%	19	*	11	*	120	53%	92	54%	35%	BM	-20%	54%	WM	1.6
Wake TCC	396	33%	393	31%	356	53%	285	46%	738	61%	1063	54%	31%	BM	-30%	61%	WF	2.0
Wayne CC	67	34%	40	48%	40	58%	40	63%	92	66%	111	69%	34%	BF	-35%	69%	WM	2.0
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	61%	73	55%	55%	WM	-7%	61%	WF	1.1
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	54%	12	*	129	53%	92	60%	53%	WF	-7%	60%	WM	1.1
Wilson CC	34	35%	29	34%	14	*	13	*	30	60%	25	56%	34%	BM	-26%	60%	WF	1.7

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK






## Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP)

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a GPA of 2.00 or above and successfully completing 66.7% of credits

See tables for notes about disaggregation

- Black Female
- Hispanic Female
- White Female
- Black Male
- Hispanic Male
- White Male



# First Academic Year Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP) by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a GPA of 2.00 or above and successfully completing 66.7% of credits

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-27%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>1.8</b>
Alamance CC	509	61%	9	*	14	*	80	45%	83	55%	281	68%	45%	BL	-23%	68%	WH	1.5
Asheville-Buncombe	563	53%	4	*	9	*	41	41%	68	43%	403	57%	41%	BL	-15%	57%	WH	1.4
Beaufort County CC	93	43%	0	*	0	*	20	15%	8	*	58	43%	15%	BL	-28%	43%	WH	2.9
Bladen CC	111	41%	29	41%	0	*	22	14%	9	*	36	64%	14%	BL	-50%	64%	WH	4.7
Blue Ridge CC	280	54%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	60%	204	57%	57%	WH	-3%	60%	HI	1.1
Brunswick CC	217	51%	2	*	2	*	29	31%	19	*	141	60%	31%	BL	-29%	60%	WH	1.9
Caldwell CC and TI	328	53%	1	*	3	*	30	23%	31	55%	248	58%	23%	BL	-35%	58%	WH	2.5
Cape Fear CC	1200	46%	10	*	12	*	162	31%	123	51%	815	49%	31%	BL	-20%	51%	HI	1.7
Carteret CC	211	53%	2	*	1	*	21	52%	13	*	162	50%	50%	WH	-2%	52%	BL	1.0
Catawba Valley CC	656	51%	4	*	56	66%	66	29%	96	52%	412	52%	29%	BL	-37%	66%	AS	2.3
Central Carolina CC	516	48%	3	*	11	*	124	38%	104	46%	238	52%	38%	BL	-14%	52%	WH	1.4
Central Piedmont CC	3496	45%	14	*	233	53%	1054	33%	671	46%	1233	54%	33%	BL	-21%	54%	WH	1.6
Cleveland CC	564	74%	5	*	7	*	123	67%	33	76%	368	77%	67%	BL	-11%	77%	WH	1.2
Coastal Carolina CC	757	61%	11	*	26	65%	118	48%	126	56%	466	65%	48%	BL	-17%	65%	WH	1.4
Coll of the Albemarle	323	58%	1	*	5	*	71	34%	16	*	202	68%	34%	BL	-34%	68%	WH	2.0
Craven CC	378	58%	3	*	17	*	84	38%	35	66%	204	63%	38%	BL	-28%	66%	HI	1.7
Davidson County CC	451	52%	3	*	8	*	48	27%	45	40%	311	57%	27%	BL	-30%	57%	WH	2.1
Durham TCC	725	44%	5	*	50	54%	271	33%	180	43%	157	58%	33%	BL	-25%	58%	WH	1.7
Edgecombe CC	139	48%	1	*	0	*	74	36%	7	*	50	68%	36%	BL	-32%	68%	WH	1.9
Fayetteville TCC	1407	48%	46	61%	41	76%	571	36%	201	47%	402	61%	36%	BL	-40%	76%	AS	2.1
Forsyth TCC	1273	51%	1	*	21	62%	300	34%	262	53%	610	60%	34%	BL	-28%	62%	AS	1.8
Gaston College	668	52%	0	*	9	*	68	25%	58	53%	354	55%	25%	BL	-30%	55%	WH	2.2
Guilford TCC	1383	45%	5	*	108	62%	487	28%	175	48%	508	57%	28%	BL	-34%	62%	AS	2.2
Halifax CC	124	64%	3	*	1	*	68	59%	5	*	39	64%	59%	BL	-5%	64%	WH	1.1
Haywood CC	178	62%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	65%	65%	WH	-	65%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	58%	0	*	0	*	25	48%	3	*	104	62%	48%	BL	-14%	62%	WH	1.3
James Sprunt CC	128	54%	0	*	1	*	40	38%	27	52%	51	73%	38%	BL	-35%	73%	WH	1.9
Johnston CC	558	55%	1	*	6	*	101	39%	83	55%	337	61%	39%	BL	-22%	61%	WH	1.6
Lenoir CC	239	49%	1	*	3	*	95	25%	25	60%	112	65%	25%	BL	-40%	65%	WH	2.6
Martin CC	41	56%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	48%	48%	WH	-	48%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	46%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	50%	50%	WH	-	50%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	74%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	78%	78%	WH	-	78%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	53%	3	*	11	*	49	45%	47	45%	276	54%	45%	HI	-9%	54%	WH	1.2
Montgomery CC	92	43%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	44%	44%	WH	-	44%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	47%	14	*	3	*	101	29%	41	59%	146	56%	29%	BL	-30%	59%	HI	2.0
Pamlico CC	69	77%	1	*	2	*	24	79%	4	*	32	69%	69%	WH	-10%	79%	BL	1.2
Piedmont CC	121	66%	3	*	0	*	35	46%	5	*	67	70%	46%	BL	-24%	70%	WH	1.5
Pitt CC	906	42%	5	*	9	*	451	31%	93	41%	294	58%	31%	BL	-26%	58%	WH	1.8
Randolph CC	327	61%	3	*	3	*	26	27%	28	64%	212	62%	27%	BL	-37%	64%	HI	2.4
Richmond CC	192	43%	22	45%	1	*	63	25%	7	*	73	60%	25%	BL	-35%	60%	WH	2.4
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	56%	0	*	0	*	35	46%	1	*	19	*	46%	BL	-	46%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	42%	155	37%	3	*	64	30%	29	66%	52	46%	30%	BL	-36%	66%	HI	2.2
Rockingham CC	322	42%	1	*	2	*	61	28%	19	*	211	46%	28%	BL	-19%	46%	WH	1.7
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	48%	3	*	19	*	160	26%	121	51%	519	55%	26%	BL	-29%	55%	WH	2.1
Sampson CC	145	57%	3	*	0	*	37	43%	32	69%	64	61%	43%	BL	-26%	69%	HI	1.6
Sandhills CC	341	41%	22	36%	1	*	88	27%	51	43%	154	49%	27%	BL	-21%	49%	WH	1.8
South Piedmont CC	284	43%	0	*	1	*	49	24%	57	47%	154	47%	24%	BL	-23%	47%	WH	1.9
Southeastern CC	163	53%	15	*	0	*	45	31%	8	*	89	62%	31%	BL	-31%	62%	WH	2.0
Southwestern CC	170	56%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	57%	57%	WH	-	57%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	62%	0	*	11	*	33	61%	10	*	154	62%	61%	BL	-1%	62%	WH	1.0
Surry CC	319	58%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	59%	247	57%	57%	WH	-2%	59%	HI	1.0
Tri-County CC	55	73%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	74%	74%	WH	-	74%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	48%	2	*	5	*	126	37%	30	47%	212	52%	37%	BL	-15%	52%	WH	1.4
Wake TCC	3724	48%	20	60%	239	57%	789	31%	641	47%	1801	55%	31%	BL	-29%	60%	AI	1.9
Wayne CC	416	57%	4	*	9	*	107	36%	80	58%	203	67%	36%	BL	-31%	67%	WH	1.9
Western Piedmont CC	239	57%	3	*	23	70%	22	41%	30	53%	161	58%	41%	BL	-29%	70%	AS	1.7
Wilkes CC	277	53%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	50%	221	55%	50%	HI	-5%	55%	WH	1.1
Wilson CC	154	42%	0	*	1	*	63	32%	27	30%	55	58%	30%	HI	-29%	58%	WH	2.0

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### First Academic Year Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP) by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with a GPA of 2.00 or above and successfully completing 66.7% of credits

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>BM</b>	<b>-28%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>1.9</b>
Alamance CC	43	51%	37	38%	52	65%	31	39%	148	70%	133	66%	38%	BM	-32%	70%	WF	1.8
Asheville-Buncombe	21	48%	20	35%	25	48%	43	40%	211	57%	192	57%	35%	BM	-22%	57%	WF	1.6
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	48%	29	38%	38%	WM	-10%	48%	WF	1.3
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	50%	14	*	50%	WF	-	50%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	56%	95	53%	109	61%	53%	WF	-8%	61%	WM	1.2
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	59%	72	61%	59%	WF	-2%	61%	WM	1.0
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	59%	103	56%	56%	WM	-3%	59%	WF	1.1
Cape Fear CC	76	32%	86	30%	63	43%	60	60%	423	52%	392	46%	30%	BM	-30%	60%	HM	2.0
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	57%	71	41%	41%	WM	-16%	57%	WF	1.4
Catawba Valley CC	22	50%	44	18%	36	64%	60	45%	230	56%	182	47%	18%	BM	-46%	64%	HF	3.5
Central Carolina CC	58	38%	66	38%	58	57%	46	33%	137	56%	101	47%	33%	HM	-24%	57%	HF	1.7
Central Piedmont CC	578	36%	476	30%	359	50%	312	42%	567	59%	666	50%	30%	BM	-29%	59%	WF	2.0
Cleveland CC	82	72%	41	56%	18	*	15	*	212	81%	156	73%	56%	BM	-25%	81%	WF	1.4
Coastal Carolina CC	58	52%	60	45%	63	60%	63	52%	240	65%	226	66%	45%	BM	-21%	66%	WM	1.5
Coll of the Albemarle	36	36%	35	31%	8	*	8	*	123	72%	79	62%	31%	BM	-40%	72%	WF	2.3
Craven CC	50	40%	34	35%	11	*	24	58%	99	66%	105	60%	35%	BM	-30%	66%	WF	1.9
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	29%	23	35%	22	45%	182	59%	129	54%	29%	BM	-29%	59%	WF	2.0
Durham TCC	143	36%	128	30%	93	47%	87	39%	63	68%	94	51%	30%	BM	-39%	68%	WF	2.3
Edgecombe CC	45	51%	29	14%	3	*	4	*	34	76%	16	*	14%	BM	-63%	76%	WF	5.5
Fayetteville TCC	288	41%	283	30%	102	50%	99	43%	211	63%	191	59%	30%	BM	-33%	63%	WF	2.1
Forsyth TCC	153	34%	147	35%	142	58%	120	47%	287	58%	323	61%	34%	BF	-27%	61%	WM	1.8
Gaston College	33	24%	35	26%	28	43%	30	63%	210	57%	144	53%	24%	BF	-39%	63%	HM	2.6
Guilford TCC	254	30%	233	26%	97	49%	78	46%	229	59%	279	54%	26%	BM	-33%	59%	WF	2.3
Halifax CC	30	50%	38	66%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	62%	50%	BF	-16%	66%	BM	1.3
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	64%	72	65%	64%	WF	-1%	65%	WM	1.0
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	67%	40	53%	53%	WM	-15%	67%	WF	1.3
James Sprunt CC	32	34%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	73%	21	71%	34%	BF	-39%	73%	WF	2.1
Johnston CC	45	42%	56	36%	55	65%	28	36%	179	61%	158	60%	36%	HM	-30%	65%	HF	1.8
Lenoir CC	52	33%	43	16%	14	*	11	*	56	68%	56	63%	16%	BM	-52%	68%	WF	4.2
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	80%	28	75%	75%	WM	-5%	80%	WF	1.1
Mitchell CC	23	65%	26	27%	18	*	29	41%	141	59%	135	49%	27%	BM	-38%	65%	BF	2.4
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	52%	27	37%	37%	WM	-15%	52%	WF	1.4
Nash CC	56	29%	45	29%	24	58%	17	*	69	55%	77	57%	29%	BF	-30%	58%	HF	2.0
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	86%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	81%	81%	WF	-5%	86%	BM	1.1
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	73%	34	68%	68%	WM	-5%	73%	WF	1.1
Pitt CC	249	32%	202	31%	50	46%	43	35%	139	60%	155	56%	31%	BM	-29%	60%	WF	1.9
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	66%	93	57%	57%	WM	-9%	66%	WF	1.2
Richmond CC	41	27%	22	23%	3	*	4	*	40	58%	33	64%	23%	BM	-41%	64%	WM	2.8
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	38%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	38%	BF	-	38%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	32%	23	26%	18	*	11	*	32	47%	20	45%	26%	BM	-21%	47%	WF	1.8
Rockingham CC	33	30%	28	25%	12	*	7	*	103	47%	108	46%	25%	BM	-22%	47%	WF	1.9
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	29%	70	21%	58	53%	63	49%	266	62%	253	47%	21%	BM	-41%	62%	WF	2.9
Sampson CC	22	45%	15	*	22	68%	10	*	38	61%	26	62%	45%	BF	-23%	68%	HF	1.5
Sandhills CC	32	38%	56	21%	30	43%	21	43%	78	60%	76	37%	21%	BM	-39%	60%	WF	2.8
South Piedmont CC	33	30%	16	*	32	56%	25	36%	78	51%	76	43%	30%	BF	-26%	56%	HF	1.9
Southeastern CC	25	32%	20	30%	6	*	2	*	38	47%	51	73%	30%	BM	-43%	73%	WM	2.4
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	62%	47	49%	49%	WM	-13%	62%	WF	1.3
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	63%	75	60%	60%	WM	-3%	63%	WF	1.1
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	63%	20	55%	146	53%	101	63%	53%	WF	-11%	63%	WM	1.2
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	62%	27	85%	62%	WF	-24%	85%	WM	1.4
Vance-Granville CC	71	42%	55	31%	19	*	11	*	120	51%	92	54%	31%	BM	-23%	54%	WM	1.8
Wake TCC	396	32%	393	30%	356	49%	285	44%	738	60%	1063	52%	30%	BM	-31%	60%	WF	2.0
Wayne CC	67	31%	40	45%	40	58%	40	58%	92	66%	111	68%	31%	BF	-37%	68%	WM	2.2
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	61%	73	55%	55%	WM	-7%	61%	WF	1.1
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	54%	12	*	129	53%	92	59%	53%	WF	-6%	59%	WM	1.1
Wilson CC	34	35%	29	28%	14	*	13	*	30	60%	25	56%	28%	BM	-32%	60%	WF	2.2

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races




PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Successful Completion of 20 or More College-Level Hours

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 20 or more successful college-level hours during their first academic year

See tables for notes about disaggregation

Note: Scale 0-75% to illuminate group differences



### First Academic Year Successful Completion of 20 or More College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 20 or more successful college-level hours during their first academic year

College	Total		American Indian (AI)		Asian (AS)		Black (BL)		Hispanic (HI)		White (WH)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>28683</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>469</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>6692</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>4033</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>14402</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>BL</b>	<b>-21%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>AS</b>	<b>2.3</b>
Alamance CC	509	38%	9	*	14	*	80	26%	83	30%	281	46%	26%	BL	-19%	46%	WH	1.7
Asheville-Buncombe	563	29%	4	*	9	*	41	20%	68	19%	403	33%	19%	HI	-13%	33%	WH	1.7
Beaufort County CC	93	24%	0	*	0	*	20	5%	8	*	58	26%	5%	BL	-21%	26%	WH	5.2
Bladen CC	111	28%	29	28%	0	*	22	5%	9	*	36	39%	5%	BL	-34%	39%	WH	8.6
Blue Ridge CC	280	37%	0	*	4	*	13	*	40	38%	204	38%	38%	HI	-1%	38%	WH	1.0
Brunswick CC	217	37%	2	*	2	*	29	24%	19	*	141	45%	24%	BL	-21%	45%	WH	1.9
Caldwell CC and TI	328	34%	1	*	3	*	30	10%	31	35%	248	39%	10%	BL	-29%	39%	WH	3.9
Cape Fear CC	1200	33%	10	*	12	*	162	19%	123	35%	815	36%	19%	BL	-17%	36%	WH	1.9
Carteret CC	211	36%	2	*	1	*	21	24%	13	*	162	38%	24%	BL	-14%	38%	WH	1.6
Catawba Valley CC	656	35%	4	*	56	54%	66	21%	96	33%	412	35%	21%	BL	-32%	54%	AS	2.5
Central Carolina CC	516	29%	3	*	11	*	124	27%	104	30%	238	30%	27%	BL	-2%	30%	WH	1.1
Central Piedmont CC	3496	26%	14	*	233	31%	1054	16%	671	26%	1233	35%	16%	BL	-19%	35%	WH	2.2
Cleveland CC	564	23%	5	*	7	*	123	13%	33	30%	368	25%	13%	BL	-17%	30%	HI	2.3
Coastal Carolina CC	757	36%	11	*	26	50%	118	23%	126	27%	466	41%	23%	BL	-27%	50%	AS	2.2
Coll of the Albemarle	323	34%	1	*	5	*	71	20%	16	*	202	42%	20%	BL	-22%	42%	WH	2.1
Craven CC	378	32%	3	*	17	*	84	14%	35	43%	204	33%	14%	BL	-29%	43%	HI	3.0
Davidson County CC	451	34%	3	*	8	*	48	15%	45	36%	311	37%	15%	BL	-22%	37%	WH	2.5
Durham TCC	725	18%	5	*	50	32%	271	9%	180	21%	157	31%	9%	BL	-23%	32%	AS	3.6
Edgecombe CC	139	26%	1	*	0	*	74	19%	7	*	50	34%	19%	BL	-15%	34%	WH	1.8
Fayetteville TCC	1407	27%	46	35%	41	39%	571	18%	201	23%	402	39%	18%	BL	-21%	39%	WH	2.1
Forsyth TCC	1273	35%	1	*	21	38%	300	20%	262	34%	610	42%	20%	BL	-21%	42%	WH	2.1
Gaston College	668	34%	0	*	9	*	68	12%	58	31%	354	36%	12%	BL	-24%	36%	WH	3.1
Guilford TCC	1383	27%	5	*	108	39%	487	15%	175	32%	508	36%	15%	BL	-24%	39%	AS	2.6
Halifax CC	124	27%	3	*	1	*	68	22%	5	*	39	33%	22%	BL	-11%	33%	WH	1.5
Haywood CC	178	48%	2	*	1	*	6	*	5	*	145	49%	49%	WH	-	49%	WH	-
Isothermal CC	146	46%	0	*	0	*	25	36%	3	*	104	50%	36%	BL	-14%	50%	WH	1.4
James Sprunt CC	128	33%	0	*	1	*	40	18%	27	26%	51	51%	18%	BL	-33%	51%	WH	2.9
Johnston CC	558	32%	1	*	6	*	101	15%	83	25%	337	39%	15%	BL	-24%	39%	WH	2.6
Lenoir CC	239	36%	1	*	3	*	95	14%	25	40%	112	53%	14%	BL	-39%	53%	WH	3.8
Martin CC	41	46%	0	*	1	*	15	*	0	*	21	38%	38%	WH	-	38%	WH	-
Mayland CC	37	35%	1	*	1	*	1	*	4	*	24	38%	38%	WH	-	38%	WH	-
McDowell TCC	69	39%	0	*	2	*	1	*	6	*	58	41%	41%	WH	-	41%	WH	-
Mitchell CC	402	31%	3	*	11	*	49	16%	47	26%	276	33%	16%	BL	-17%	33%	WH	2.0
Montgomery CC	92	29%	1	*	1	*	18	*	18	*	54	33%	33%	WH	-	33%	WH	-
Nash CC	327	26%	14	*	3	*	101	18%	41	29%	146	29%	18%	BL	-11%	29%	HI	1.6
Pamlico CC	69	49%	1	*	2	*	24	58%	4	*	32	38%	38%	WH	-21%	58%	BL	1.6
Piedmont CC	121	31%	3	*	0	*	35	29%	5	*	67	31%	29%	BL	-3%	31%	WH	1.1
Pitt CC	906	29%	5	*	9	*	451	18%	93	30%	294	44%	18%	BL	-26%	44%	WH	2.5
Randolph CC	327	43%	3	*	3	*	26	12%	28	39%	212	46%	12%	BL	-35%	46%	WH	4.0
Richmond CC	192	27%	22	23%	1	*	63	13%	7	*	73	40%	13%	BL	-27%	40%	WH	3.1
Roanoke Chowan CC	57	33%	0	*	0	*	35	20%	1	*	19	*	20%	BL	-	20%	BL	-
Robeson CC	320	24%	155	21%	3	*	64	17%	29	34%	52	29%	17%	BL	-17%	34%	HI	2.0
Rockingham CC	322	28%	1	*	2	*	61	10%	19	*	211	32%	10%	BL	-22%	32%	WH	3.3
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	869	28%	3	*	19	*	160	11%	121	31%	519	33%	11%	BL	-22%	33%	WH	3.1
Sampson CC	145	42%	3	*	0	*	37	16%	32	59%	64	48%	16%	BL	-43%	59%	HI	3.7
Sandhills CC	341	27%	22	23%	1	*	88	14%	51	22%	154	34%	14%	BL	-21%	34%	WH	2.5
South Piedmont CC	284	19%	0	*	1	*	49	8%	57	21%	154	21%	8%	BL	-13%	21%	HI	2.6
Southeastern CC	163	44%	15	*	0	*	45	20%	8	*	89	52%	20%	BL	-32%	52%	WH	2.6
Southwestern CC	170	30%	19	*	3	*	2	*	12	*	125	35%	35%	WH	-	35%	WH	-
Stanly CC	229	41%	0	*	11	*	33	39%	10	*	154	39%	39%	WH	0%	39%	BL	1.0
Surry CC	319	40%	2	*	2	*	8	*	44	34%	247	41%	34%	HI	-7%	41%	WH	1.2
Tri-County CC	55	45%	1	*	0	*	0	*	1	*	53	45%	45%	WH	-	45%	WH	-
Vance-Granville CC	395	33%	2	*	5	*	126	25%	30	23%	212	35%	23%	HI	-12%	35%	WH	1.5
Wake TCC	3724	29%	20	30%	239	33%	789	14%	641	26%	1801	35%	14%	BL	-22%	35%	WH	2.6
Wayne CC	416	38%	4	*	9	*	107	23%	80	31%	203	49%	23%	BL	-25%	49%	WH	2.1
Western Piedmont CC	239	36%	3	*	23	57%	22	14%	30	27%	161	39%	14%	BL	-43%	57%	AS	4.1
Wilkes CC	277	44%	0	*	3	*	7	*	36	56%	221	43%	43%	WH	-13%	56%	HI	1.3
Wilson CC	154	27%	0	*	1	*	63	17%	27	26%	55	38%	17%	BL	-21%	38%	WH	2.2

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races

### First Academic Year Successful Completion of 20 or More College-Level Hours by Race/Ethnicity and Gender

Percentage of fall 2017 first-time Curriculum (non-high school) students with 20 or more successful college-level hours during their first academic year

College	Black Female (BF)		Black Male (BM)		Hispanic Female (HF)		Hispanic Male (HM)		White Female (WF)		White Male (WM)		Largest Success Gap					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Min	Pop	Gap	Max	Pop	Ratio
<b>State Total</b>	<b>3528</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>3164</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>2145</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>1888</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>7285</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>7117</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>BF</b>	<b>-21%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>WF</b>	<b>2.3</b>
Alamance CC	43	33%	37	19%	52	38%	31	16%	148	42%	133	50%	16%	HM	-33%	50%	WM	3.1
Asheville-Buncombe	21	24%	20	15%	25	16%	43	21%	211	29%	192	36%	15%	BM	-21%	36%	WM	2.4
Beaufort County CC	15	*	5	*	4	*	4	*	29	24%	29	28%	24%	WF	-3%	28%	WM	1.1
Bladen CC	8	*	14	*	4	*	5	*	22	36%	14	*	36%	WF	-	36%	WF	-
Blue Ridge CC	8	*	5	*	15	*	25	36%	95	34%	109	42%	34%	WF	-9%	42%	WM	1.3
Brunswick CC	14	*	15	*	13	*	6	*	69	42%	72	47%	42%	WF	-5%	47%	WM	1.1
Caldwell CC and TI	14	*	16	*	14	*	17	*	145	41%	103	35%	35%	WM	-6%	41%	WF	1.2
Cape Fear CC	76	21%	86	16%	63	29%	60	42%	423	38%	392	34%	16%	BM	-25%	42%	HM	2.6
Carteret CC	11	*	10	*	9	*	4	*	91	38%	71	37%	37%	WM	-2%	38%	WF	1.1
Catawba Valley CC	22	32%	44	16%	36	36%	60	32%	230	35%	182	35%	16%	BM	-20%	36%	HF	2.3
Central Carolina CC	58	22%	66	32%	58	33%	46	26%	137	26%	101	36%	22%	BF	-13%	36%	WM	1.6
Central Piedmont CC	578	17%	476	16%	359	28%	312	25%	567	37%	666	33%	16%	BM	-21%	37%	WF	2.4
Cleveland CC	82	9%	41	22%	18	*	15	*	212	24%	156	28%	9%	BF	-19%	28%	WM	3.2
Coastal Carolina CC	58	28%	60	18%	63	32%	63	22%	240	44%	226	37%	18%	BM	-26%	44%	WF	2.4
Coll of the Albemarle	36	22%	35	17%	8	*	8	*	123	42%	79	41%	17%	BM	-25%	42%	WF	2.5
Craven CC	50	12%	34	18%	11	*	24	33%	99	32%	105	34%	12%	BF	-22%	34%	WM	2.9
Davidson County CC	14	*	34	21%	23	30%	22	41%	182	38%	129	35%	21%	BM	-20%	41%	HM	2.0
Durham TCC	143	9%	128	9%	93	17%	87	24%	63	29%	94	32%	9%	BM	-23%	32%	WM	3.7
Edgecombe CC	45	24%	29	10%	3	*	4	*	34	38%	16	*	10%	BM	-28%	38%	WF	3.7
Fayetteville TCC	288	20%	283	17%	102	26%	99	20%	211	42%	191	36%	17%	BM	-25%	42%	WF	2.5
Forsyth TCC	153	17%	147	24%	142	36%	120	33%	287	39%	323	44%	17%	BF	-27%	44%	WM	2.6
Gaston College	33	12%	35	11%	28	21%	30	40%	210	35%	144	38%	11%	BM	-29%	40%	HM	3.5
Guilford TCC	254	14%	233	15%	97	32%	78	32%	229	36%	279	36%	14%	BF	-22%	36%	WM	2.5
Halifax CC	30	17%	38	26%	3	*	2	*	18	*	21	33%	17%	BF	-17%	33%	WM	2.0
Haywood CC	4	*	2	*	2	*	3	*	73	49%	72	49%	49%	WM	-1%	49%	WF	1.0
Isothermal CC	12	*	13	*	1	*	2	*	64	52%	40	48%	48%	WM	-4%	52%	WF	1.1
James Sprunt CC	32	16%	8	*	17	*	10	*	30	57%	21	43%	16%	BF	-41%	57%	WF	3.6
Johnston CC	45	13%	56	16%	55	27%	28	21%	179	41%	158	37%	13%	BF	-27%	41%	WF	3.1
Lenoir CC	52	17%	43	9%	14	*	11	*	56	50%	56	55%	9%	BM	-46%	55%	WM	6.0
Martin CC	10	*	5	*	0	*	0	*	17	*	4	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mayland CC	0	*	1	*	0	*	4	*	13	*	11	*	-	-	-	-	-	-
McDowell TCC	1	*	0	*	4	*	2	*	30	43%	28	39%	39%	WM	-4%	43%	WF	1.1
Mitchell CC	23	17%	26	15%	18	*	29	31%	141	36%	135	30%	15%	BM	-21%	36%	WF	2.4
Montgomery CC	10	*	8	*	7	*	11	*	27	22%	27	44%	22%	WF	-22%	44%	WM	2.0
Nash CC	56	21%	45	13%	24	33%	17	*	69	30%	77	27%	13%	BM	-20%	33%	HF	2.5
Pamlico CC	2	*	22	64%	0	*	4	*	11	*	21	48%	48%	WM	-16%	64%	BM	1.3
Piedmont CC	17	*	18	*	4	*	1	*	33	45%	34	18%	18%	WM	-28%	45%	WF	2.6
Pitt CC	249	16%	202	19%	50	34%	43	26%	139	48%	155	41%	16%	BF	-32%	48%	WF	2.9
Randolph CC	16	*	10	*	16	*	12	*	119	51%	93	40%	40%	WM	-11%	51%	WF	1.3
Richmond CC	41	10%	22	18%	3	*	4	*	40	33%	33	48%	10%	BF	-39%	48%	WM	5.0
Roanoke Chowan CC	24	17%	11	*	1	*	0	*	10	*	9	*	17%	BF	-	17%	BF	-
Robeson CC	41	15%	23	22%	18	*	11	*	32	28%	20	30%	15%	BF	-15%	30%	WM	2.1
Rockingham CC	33	15%	28	4%	12	*	7	*	103	33%	108	31%	4%	BM	-29%	33%	WF	9.2
Rowan-Cabarrus CC	90	11%	70	10%	58	38%	63	24%	266	36%	253	29%	10%	BM	-28%	38%	HF	3.8
Sampson CC	22	18%	15	*	22	59%	10	*	38	45%	26	54%	18%	BF	-41%	59%	HF	3.3
Sandhills CC	32	13%	56	14%	30	17%	21	29%	78	42%	76	26%	13%	BF	-30%	42%	WF	3.4
South Piedmont CC	33	6%	16	*	32	25%	25	16%	78	17%	76	25%	6%	BF	-19%	25%	WM	4.1
Southeastern CC	25	16%	20	25%	6	*	2	*	38	37%	51	63%	16%	BF	-47%	63%	WM	3.9
Southwestern CC	0	*	2	*	6	*	6	*	78	38%	47	30%	30%	WM	-9%	38%	WF	1.3
Stanly CC	14	*	19	*	9	*	1	*	79	38%	75	40%	38%	WF	-2%	40%	WM	1.1
Surry CC	4	*	4	*	24	29%	20	40%	146	35%	101	50%	29%	HF	-20%	50%	WM	1.7
Tri-County CC	0	*	0	*	1	*	0	*	26	31%	27	59%	31%	WF	-28%	59%	WM	1.9
Vance-Granville CC	71	25%	55	25%	19	*	11	*	120	36%	92	35%	25%	BF	-10%	36%	WF	1.4
Wake TCC	396	14%	393	14%	356	25%	285	27%	738	36%	1063	35%	14%	BF	-22%	36%	WF	2.6
Wayne CC	67	19%	40	30%	40	25%	40	38%	92	49%	111	49%	19%	BF	-30%	49%	WF	2.5
Western Piedmont CC	12	*	10	*	15	*	15	*	88	33%	73	45%	33%	WF	-12%	45%	WM	1.4
Wilkes CC	6	*	1	*	24	54%	12	*	129	37%	92	51%	37%	WF	-17%	54%	HF	1.5
Wilson CC	34	24%	29	10%	14	*	13	*	30	40%	25	36%	10%	BM	-30%	40%	WF	3.9

\* Less than 20

Note: Dissagregation does not include American Indian, Asian, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or Multiple Races